## ENGINEERING FIELD MANUAL Supplement to Chapter 4

## FOUNDATION ANALYSIS FOR STRUCTURES USED IN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

## GENERAL

This supplement discusses the factors involved in determining soil bearing capacity and provides simplified methods for estimating soil bearing capacity.

The great increase in the installation of commercial structures for animal waste storage in recent years has increased our need to understand soil foundations and their capacity to support structures. This supplement provides an understanding of bearing capacity and the factors involved in its determination. Simplified computational methods for soil bearing capacity and settlement and an example of their use are included.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Definitions
Soil Bearing Capacity and Settlement
Bearing Capacity Equation4-40
Settlement
Appendix
A Shallow Bearing Capacity Equation
Figures
4-1S Water Table Correction Factors

## Definitions

Parentheses ( ) will be used to group terms.

•

A dot "." will be used to indicate multiplication.

В	Footing width.
Bearing capacity	The maximum footing pressure that can be permitted on a soil, giving consid- eration to all pertinent factors.
c	Total unit cohesion, psf.
č,bar c	Effective unit cohesion, psf (sometimes written c').
Cc	Compression index.
Cr	Recompression index.
Consolidation	Reduction in the volume of a <u>soil</u> due to increased loading.
e	Void ratio, volume of voids divided by the volume of solids.
g	Footing shape factor for the shallow bearing capacity equation.
Gs	Specific gravity of the soil grains.
Y,gamma	Unit weight of soil, pcf.
k	Footing shape factor for the shallow bearing capacity equation.
N	Blow count. The number of blows with a 140 lb hammer dropped 30 in required to drive a standard sampler 1 ft.
NC,Nq, <b>N</b> √	Bearing capacity factors from figure 4-3S.
po	The before construction soil load at the center of a strata or increment.
ΔP	The increase in load on a soil at the center of a strata or increment.
	(July, 1987) 4-37

Total angle of internal friction of a b,phi soil. Ø,bar phi Effective angle of internal friction of soil (Sometimes written  $\phi'$ ). The overburden pressure at the base of q a footing. Allowable bearing capacity, usually qa qult/3.Ultimate bearing capacity. (Computed qult bearing capacity with no factor of safety). R/C Reinforced concrete. Settlement, S Downward movement of a structure due to soil consolidation. Settlement, allowable- The maximum settlement or maximum differential settlement that will not cause structure malfunction. Settlement, differential- The difference between the settlement at two points on a structure. Settlement, total- The sum of the immediate and long term settlements at a given point on a structure foundation. Settlement, uniform- The same total settlement at all points on a structure foundation. Shallow Footing- A footing whose depth below the ground surface is less than or equal to its width. W, W' Water table elevation correction factors for use in the shallow bearing capacity equation.

(July, 1987)

Soil Bearing Capacity and Settlement

When designing animal waste storage and other conservation structures, a foundation's bearing capacity must be determined. Standard structure drawings will often call for a minimum soil bearing capacity, such as, 2,000 pounds per square foot. However, referring to a soil bearing capacity is a gross oversimplification. Structures must be designed so the loadings will not cause the soil beneath the footing to fail by shear, and settlements will not distress the structure. Foundation design is more often controlled by settlement than by soil shear strength.

Bearing capacity is determined by three soil factors;

Shear strength Compressibility Water table elevation

And three structure characteristics;

Foundation size and shape

Foundation depth

The structures' ability to settle without distress

#### Bearing Capacity Equation

Bearing capacity equations have been developed for both sand and clay soils based on theoretical analyses and model tests. The equation described below applies to shallow footings. Foundations for structures used in resource management systems will usually fit the definition for shallow footings. A shallow footing's depth below the ground surface is equal to or less than the footing's width. Footings that do not meet this criteria because their depth is greater than their width may still be conservatively designed by assuming the footing depth equal to the width.

for Class V or smaller structures the shallow bearing capacity equation is recommended when sizing footings for failure against shear. The equation is given below and in the appendix, Part A. Part A includes a sketch and definitions of the terms.

 $qult = (g \circ c \circ Nc) + (q \circ Nq) + (k \circ V \circ B \circ NV)$ 

quit = Ultimate Bearing Capacity. (The maximum unit loading a soil can support without failing in shear.)

Nc, Nq, and NY are bearing capacity factors. They are given in Appendix A, Table 4-35. They depend on the  $\phi$  or  $\phi$  soil strength parameter.

July, 198/

The first term in the equation is  $(g \cdot c \cdot Nc)$ . For continuous linear footings, such as for walls, g = 1.0 and for square and round footings, such as for columns, g = 1.3. the c is the cohesion ( $\overline{c}$  or c) soils strength parameter. This term accounts for the cohesive strength of the soil.

The second term is (q . Nq). q is the load per unit area (lb per sq. ft) on a horizontal plane beside the footing at the footing bottom elevation. This term accounts for the effect of overburden confining the soil beneath the footing. In some cases this term accounts for a major portion of the computed ultimate bearing capacity. Even small, 1 to 2-foot, backfill depths can be significant with noncohesive soils. In the case of eccentric loadings caused by a backfilled wall with an empty tank or a loaded tank with little backfill, both empty tank and full tank conditions may need to be checked to find the most critical case.

The third term is  $(k \cdot Y \cdot B \cdot N Y)$ . k is dependent on the footing shape. Y is the unit weight of soil <u>below</u> the footing. Use moist soil weights. B is the footing width. Notice the value of the third term is directly proportional to the footing width. This term represents the frictional shearing strength of the soil beneath the footing.

Footing size for the soil bearing equation is measured on each structurally independent unit. The "B" for precast retaining wall units used as structure walls with a poured concrete floor is the B of each unit. Units set in line to form a continuous wall should be treated as a continuous footing rather than separate rectangular footings. However,

July, 1987

when estimating settlement, the total loaded area must be considered because part of the load from one unit is spread to the soil beneath adjacent units and contributes to their settlement.

If the water table is at a distance of more than "B" below the footing bottom, then it does not affect bearing capacity. If the water table is between the bottom and depth B below the footing, the  $(k \circ \checkmark \circ B \circ N \checkmark)$ factor must be modified by adding a water table correction factor W'. The third term is then  $(W' \circ k \circ \checkmark \circ B \circ N \checkmark)$ . If the water table is above the bottom of the footing, then the term  $(q \circ Nq)$  must also be corrected by adding W. The second term is then  $(W \circ q \circ Nq)$  W' and W can be read from figure 4-1S.



### WATER TABLE CORRECTION FACTORS

FIGURE 4-1S

Settlement

Two settlement conditions must be considered. They are uniform settlement and differential settlement.

Total settlement is the sum of immediate and long-term settlements at a given point on the structure foundation. If all points in the foundation have the same total settlement, the structure settles uniformly. Since settlement is uniform, uneven stresses are not created in the structure.

Most structures can withstand fairly large uniform settlement, especially if the potential settlement is anticipated and provisions are made for it in design. Uniform settlement can cause problems such as: shearing or malfunction of loading and unloading conduits and fixtures and disruption of surface and subsurface drainage.

Even very small differential settlements can cause structural damage such as cracking of concrete, opening of joints, and bending and dimpling of steel structures. Part B of the Appendix contains a chart of allowable settlements for various structure types.

Any loading of the soil causes settlement due to (a) relocation and consolidation of the soil particles, and (b) movement of water and/or air from the void spaces. Conversely, any excavation or structure removal unloads the underlying soil so that new loads will cause only small

July, 1987

settlements until the reloading exceeds the previous load. Soils that have not been previously loaded greater than at present are called "normally consolidated." Soils previously subjected to greater loadings than present are called "overconsolidated" or "preconsolidated".

Loads on certain compressible soils can cause significant consolidation to a depth where the weight of the imposed load is 10% of the existing overburden pressure. This can be quite deep. Figure 4-2S page 4-46 gives an example of the computation of this depth. Settlements will be large in soft fine grained soils and in low density sands. Rock, dense tills, gravels, and highly overconsolidated fine grained soils will have few or no settlement problems.

. .

Extensive geologic investigation, sampling, and testing are required to reliably estimate settlement. However, depending on the soil and the characteristics of the structure, settlement may be reasonably estimated using the methods and guidelines described in the Appendix. These methods should not be applied to high hazard structures or where soil characteristics outlined in the Appendix can not be reasonably estimated. Rationals for determining the significance of the settlement and estimated values should be documented in the design file.

Differential settlements occur when foundation soils are not uniform and/or when loadings are nonuniform and under large flexible foundations and floors on compressible foundations. Relatively small differential settlements (1 to 2 inches) will cause distress in many structures

July, 1987

especially concrete structures. When total settlement is large, a high potential for large differential settlement usually exists. It is important to select uniform foundation soil conditions and to keep loading uniform. For example, it would be poor practice to place a structure partially on rock and partially on a compressible soil. Also, a foundation should not be constructed partially on a dense till and partially on a compressible alluvium. Where compressible soils occur in a foundation, an investigation must be adequate to assure the compressible material is of uniform depth. In some situations sampling and testing may be required. Examples of loadings and resultant settlements are given in the Appendix.

## Depth of Significant Consolidation

A 60 ft by 96 ft rectangular animal waste storage tank sets on the ground surface. When loaded, it exerts 1400 pounds per square foot (psf) on the foundation soil. The foundation soil weighs 125 pcf. It is deep to the water table. Assume the load spreads at a slope of 1/2:1. At what depth is the load imposed by the loaded tank less than 10% of the existing overburden pressure?



60

looded by

at depth "d

ton

area

= (60.96') - 1400 pcf WH= B.064,000 16 Compare the imposed load and existing overburden pressure at depth "d". try d= 45ft Compute Imposed load 10= Wr/ area AG=8064,000/(60+d) - (96+d)  $\Delta \sigma = 545 psf$ Compute overburden pressure of "d" 00 = 125pcf . 45ft  $\sigma \overline{\sigma} = \underline{5625psf}$ Compare Dowith 50 (Act/00) · 100 = (545/5625) · 100 (40%)-100=9.7% < 10% At 45 ft depth the imposed load becomes less than 10% of the overburden

Compute the total Wt. of

the tank and contents. Wt = Area · Wt/ Unit orea

Figure 4-2S

July 1987

# APPENDIX

.

PART	SUBJECT
A	The Shallow Bearing Capacity Equation
В	Maximum Allowable Settlement for Structures Used in Resource Management systems
с	Guide for Estimating Soil Strengths4-51
D	Settlement on Sand4-52
E	Settlement on Clay4-54
F	Example

THE SHALLOW BEARING CAPACITY EQUATION



Df<B

 $qult = (g \cdot c \cdot N_c) + (W \cdot q \cdot Nq) + (W' \cdot k \cdot I' \cdot B \cdot N_r)$ qult = Ultimate Soil Bearing Capacity qa = qult/3 = Allowable Bearing Capacity  $\overline{c}$  or c = Soil Cohesion Parameter $\phi$  or  $\phi$  = Soil Friction Parameter g & k Footing Shape Factors Continous Footing g = 1.0, k = 0.5Square Footing g = 1.3, k = 0.4g = 1.3, k = 0.3Round Footing Df= Depth of Footing Y = Soil Unit Weight q = Df.Y, Effective Vertical Soil Pressure B = Footing Width Nc, Nq, Ny = Bearing Capicity Factors W, W' = Watertable Correction Factors

July 1987

# BEARING CAPACITY FACTORS

. .

.

	Nc	Ną.	Nim
Φ	INC	ING	Nø
0	5.7	1.0	0.0
5	6.7	1.4	0.2
10	8.0	1.9	0.5
15	9.7	2.7	0.9
20	11.8	3.9	1.7
25	14.8	5.6	3.2
30	22.6	11.1	8.5
35	48.0	32.8	35.2
40	95.7	81.3	100.4

# Figure 4-3S

This chart lists local shear factors for  $\phi < 28^\circ$ , general shear factors for  $\phi > 38^\circ$ , and interpolates between local and general factors between  $\phi = 28^\circ \& \phi = 38^\circ$ .

,

### PART B

## MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE SETTLEMENT for STRUCTURES USED IN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS ( Maximum Settlement In Inches ) <u>1</u>/

STRUCTURE TYPE	20	WIDTH 40	OR DIAMETH 60	ER FEET 80	100
1	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5
2	0.7	1.5	2.0	3.0	3.5
3	1.2	2.5	3.5	5.0	6.0
4	2.5	5.0	7.0	10.0	12.0

- 1 (a) Masonry walls.(b) R/C walls, no cracking permitted.
- 2 (a) R/C walls, minor cracking may occur.
  (b) Precast R/C units that must remain watertight.
  (c) Steel tanks.
- 3 (a) Simple wood or steel framed structure.(b) Precast R/C units, leakage permitted.
- 4 Impervious earth lined structures.
- 1/

If the foundation soils are shown to be uniform through the depth of significant settlement the allowable settlements from the chart may be doubled. \*

Estimated allowable settlements are taken from observations and studies on buildings and structures. The maximum allowable settlements in the table are based on the assumption differential settlements may equal total settlement and occur at opposite sides of the structure. This assumption may not be conservative in that maximum and minimum settlement may be at intermediate points. If the foundation is uniform then differential settlements will be less than total settlement and the allowable settlement may be increased.

\* Appurtenances ( pipes, ramps, etc ) must be articulated at their contact with the structure to allow settlement without damage.

July 1987

# Part C

# ESTIMATING SHEAR STRENGTHS

The following guide may be used to estimate shear strength when test data are not available

Strength of Sands and Sands with Gravel Based on Estimated Density

c = 0

Soil D <b>ens</b> ity	N Blows/ft	र्क Deg
Very Loose	2	27
Loose	7	30
Medium	20	35
Dense	40	37
Very Dense	>50	40

Strength of Silts and Sandy Silts with Little or No Plasticity

Soil Density	c = 0	ø Deg
Loose		27
Dense		30

۰.

Strength of	Clays and phi =		Based On Consistency
Soil consistency	Cohesion psf		
Very soft	*	<2	Thumb will penetrate >1"
Soft	250	2-4	Thumb will penetrate about 1"
Firm	500	4-15	Thumb will penetrate about 1/4 "
Hard	2000	15-30	Readly indented with thumbnail
Very hard	4000	>30	Thumbnail will not indent

\* Requires special evaluation of shear strength

July 1987

### PART D

#### SETTLEMENT ON SAND

Settlement of structures on sand may be estimated from the results of standard penetration tests with the equation

 $qa = 720 \cdot (N-3) \cdot ((B+1)/2B)^2 \cdot W' \cdot Kd$ 

qa= Net increase in soil pressure in psf producing 1 inch of settlement.

N= Blow count from the standard penetration test.

B= Width of footing.

W'= Water reduction factor as defined in Part A.

Kd= 1+Df/B But no greater than 2.

Df= Footing depth below ground surface.

When B becomes very large then  $((B+1)/2B)^2$  approaches 0.25

When the footing depth (D) is very shallow in relation to the footing width, then Kd approaches 1.

When d/B > 1, W' = 1

Assuming a load on the foundation soil that is wide and at a shallow depth such as a manure tank set at the soil surface and a deep water table we can compute soil loadings that will produce 1 inch of settlement.

Sand Density	N Blows/ft	Load
Very Loose	2	21
Loose	7	700
Medium	20	3000
Dense	40	6000
Very Dense	50	8000

1/ Even very light loads will produce settlements in excess of 1 inch.

July 1987

Total settlement for any foundation load can be estimated by relating it to the load producing 1 inch of settlement. For example, on a sand of medium density, a 2000 lb load will produce 2/3 the settlement of a 3000 lb load or 2/3 of an inch. If the footing is large and flexible, the loading of the soil under the edge will be about 1/2 the loading under the center and settlements will be about 1/2 as much. On a large structure, a 5 inch thick reinforced concrete floor will act like a flexible floor.

To compute settlement for any load;

## PART E

### SETTLEMENT ON CLAY

The settlement of a structure on a clay soil foundation is estimated using consolidation theory. The void ratio and compression index (Cc) for the soil is needed in order to make the settlement computations.

If the soil has been loaded in the past with a greater load than it now has, it is said to be preconsolidated, overconsolidated or preloaded. Compacted fill has been preloaded by the compaction equipment. In the case of preconsolidated soil the, recompression index (Cr) is used in place of Cc in the computations. Cc and Cr are determined by consolidation testing of undisturbed samples from the foundation. Cc can be estimated from the liquid limit with the formula;

Cc= 0.009 (LL-10)

Or from the liquid limit and the void ratio with the formula

Cc=  $(0.0035 \cdot LL \cdot (eo - 0.4))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ Cr is usually 15 to 25% Of Cc.

Void ratio can be computed from the dry density and specific gravity of the soil with the formula;

e= (Gs·Yw/Yd)-1
e= void ratio
Yd= dry density of the soil in pcf
Gs= the specific gravity of the soil grains
Usually between 2.65 and 2.75
Yw= 62.4 pcf

The formula for settlement is;

 $S = ((Cc \cdot H)/(1+ec)) \cdot log10 ((po+_4p)/pc)$ 

July 1987

S= total settlement

Cc= compression index

- H= depth of compressible strata. Thick strata should be divided into 4 to 10 foot thick increments.
- po= The existing vertical soil pressure at the center of the stratd or incre-ment.
- eo= The void ratio of the compressable strata before loading.
- △p= The added load at the center of the strata or increment.
- log10= The logarithm of this number to the base 10.

## PART 5

### EXAMPLE

An animal waste storage structure with inside dimensions of 68 ft by 104 ft by 12 ft is to be constructed of precast R/C units. The units are 7 ft wide, 12 ft long and produce a 12 ft high wall. They weigh 2800 lb per ft of length. A 5 in thick R/C floor will be cast and all the joints will be sealed to produce a water tight structure. The units will be placed on a smoothed ground surface and backfilled on the outside to a depth of 2 ft. The foundation consists of 40 ft of firm silty clay with a liquid limit of 40. The dry unit weight is 90 pcf and the wet unit weight is 118 pcf. The unit weight of the animal waste is 65 pcf. The water table is 10 ft below the ground surface.

Assess the adequacy of the foundation soil to support this structure when it is full.



July 1987

NENTC HWHall 7-87 CHECKED BY Foundation Analysis Example OF Compute the Actual Under the Precast U. Loaid Son on the Units 12 ft 0-Waste in the toink . waste 0 4 ut Conch 71 Conchete + wtionimal wastet Wt. Sai Area 78 SZ 2-36 **e**t5 PS 1 July 1987 4-57

NENTC Example  
HWH 7-87  
Foundation Analysis 2/8  
Compute Allowable Bearing Capacity  
From part A  
Quit = 
$$(q \cdot c \cdot Nc)$$
 +  $(W: Q \cdot Nc)$   
From Part A  
Silly clay firm gives,  $A = 0$  and  $a = 500$  ps f  
From Part A, Toble 1,  $@ P = 0$  gives  
Na = 5.7,  $Ng = 1.0$ ,  $Nr = 0.0$   
 $Q = (2ff) (118Pcf)$   
= 236 ps f Effective Vertical Pressure  
Assume the precess units act like a  
Continuous footing with  $B = 7ff$   
 $g = 1.0$ ,  $K = 0.5$ ,  $Ym = 1/8$  pcf.  
From Page 4-42,  $d = 0$  which is greater the  $B = 7'$   
Therefore  $W' = 1.0$   
 $Quit = (1.0 \cdot 500 \cdot 5.7) + (1226 - 1.0) + (1 \cdot 0.5 \cdot 118 - 70.0)$   
 $= 2850 + 236 + 0 = 3086$  ps f  
 $IO 28^{5f}$  (B80<sup>55</sup>  
Allowable bearing Capacity is 0.K.  
July 1987 4-58

NENTC PECJECT Example CHECKED BY "JÒĐ"NO HWH or 3/8 Foundation Analysis HEET Estimate Actual Settlement Compute total with on foundation = 2800/4+ . 2 (69+105 974.400 wt.walls Wt. Floor = 150pef . 5/2 . (60.96) \_ 360,000 . \* = 5,516,160 65Acf - 68 104 - 12 wt. waste = 118 pcf · 1.2 (2(72+108)) = 84,960 wt. soil Total 6935,520165 Compute the increased loading (Ap) from the loaded Taxk at the surface and at 5, 15, 25 and 35 foot depths. Assume the load Spreads at a Kil Slope. Loading, Ap= to tat Arca 6435,522 B52 Psf Surface 5 depth 763 Psf = 15' dept h 623 Psf 25' dept h 5191PSF ; 35' depth 439 PSF July 1987 4-59

NENTC Example  
HWH 7-87  
Foundation Analysis even 
$$4/8$$
  
Compute the existing Vertical Soil  
pressures (p<sub>0</sub>) at 5, 15, 25 and 35 feat  
depth,  
p<sub>0</sub> (5<sup>ft</sup>) = (5<sup>ft</sup>) (118 pef) = 590, psf  
p<sub>0</sub> (15<sup>ft</sup>) = (10<sup>f</sup>) (118 pef) + (15<sup>ft</sup>) (56 pef) = 1460 pef  
p<sub>0</sub> (15<sup>ft</sup>) = (10<sup>f</sup>) (118 pef) + (15<sup>ft</sup>) (56 pef) = 2020 psf  
p<sub>0</sub> (15<sup>ft</sup>) = (10<sup>f</sup>) (118 pef) + (15<sup>ft</sup>) (56 pef) = 2030 psf  
p<sub>0</sub> (15<sup>ft</sup>) = (10<sup>f</sup>) (118 pef) + (25<sup>ft</sup>) (56 pef) = 2030 psf  
p<sub>0</sub> (15<sup>ft</sup>) = (10<sup>ft</sup>) (118 pef) + (25<sup>ft</sup>) (56 pef) = 2030 psf  
Estimate the void ratio  
 $e = \frac{G_5 X_{W}}{2d} = -1$   
 $\delta d = 90$  pef  
 $G_5$  (estimated) = 2.65<sup>ft</sup>  
 $e = 0.84^f$   
Estimate the Compression index  
From part E  
Cc = [0.0035<sup>ft</sup> + (1 = (26 - 0.4)]<sup>ft</sup>  
Cc = [0.0035<sup>ft</sup> + (1 = (26 - 0.4)]<sup>ft</sup>  
Cc = [0.0035<sup>ft</sup> + (1 = (26 - 0.4)]<sup>ft</sup>  
Cc = [0.0035<sup>ft</sup> + (25<sup>ft</sup>) (0.9<sup>ft</sup> - 0.4)]<sup>ft</sup>  
Cc = [0.0035<sup>ft</sup> + (25<sup>ft</sup>) (0.9<sup>ft</sup> - 0.4)]<sup>ft</sup>  
Cc = [0.25<sup>ft</sup>  
<sup>ft</sup>  
Bouyant weight of Soil below the water toble  
118 Pcf - 624 Paf 2 5<sup>ft</sup> Paf

NENTC CHECKED BY Example HWH 308 NO Foundation Analysis Compute Settlement 10 foot thic foundation. strata From part E  $S = \frac{C_c \cdot H}{1 + c_0} \log \frac{10 P_0 + \Delta P}{P_0}$  $= \frac{0.25 \cdot 10}{1 + 0.84} \log 10 \frac{P_0 + \Delta P}{P_0}$ = 1.36 log 10 Po+DP Po <u>Po</u> <u>ΔP</u> <u>Psf</u> <u>Psf</u> 590 763\_ Representative Strate feet 590 10 0 0.21 20 1460 623 10 20 30 2020 519 0.14 30 40 2580 439 0.09 Total Estimated Actual Settlement 0.93 ft 0.93 ++ 12 7/ = 11.1 Inckes or . Determine allowable settlement and compare with estimated settle ment part B Structure type 2, wedth 74, USE 00 Maximum Allowable Settlement = 3.0 inches 11.1 Inches is much greater then 3.0 inches Foundation is not adequate

NENTC HWH -7-87 CHECKED BY DITE Foundation Analysis SHEET 0- 6/8 Assume the silty clay is overconsolidated with a dry density of 105pcf Estimate the settlement. From part E The recompression index, cr is usuall 15 to 25% of ac, use 20%. From page 4-60, Cc=0.25  $C_{1} = 0.2 \cdot 0.25 = 0.05$  $e = \frac{G_{s} \delta w}{\delta d} - \frac{2.65 \cdot 62.4}{10.5} - 1$ = 0.57 S = 0.050 - 10140.57 = 0.32 /09 AP Representative Strate Do from (ft) takt) Ps 4 PSF Feet\_ 0 10 625 763 0.11 20 1570 623 0.05 0.03 30 2220 519 40 2860 439 ota l 0.21 or 19,21 2.5 Inches 2.5 ( 3.0 Sett OK 4-62 July 1987

NENTC Example WH CATE 7-87 CHECKED BY DATE JOB NO. WH Foundation\_ Analysis Assume the structure ibe to on a loose sand foundation with Sm= 125 / ff Compute allowable bearing capadity From part C Loose sand, N=7, @=30, C=0 From part A, toble Nc=22.6, Ng=11.1, Nz=8.5 941+=(g·c·Nc)+(W·g·Ng)+(W·K·8-B·Ns) quit = (1.0.0.22.6)+(1.250.11)+(1.5.125.7.85) = 0+2780+3720 9ult = 6500901 = 2017/3 = 2170 psf (allowable bearing 2170 psf > 880 psf Bearing Capacity 15 Adequate Notice\_ that 43% of the computed capacity comes from the bearing second term in the equation and dependent on the two feet 04 backf. July 1987 4-63

NENTC HWH 7-87 CHECKED BY DATE Foundation Analysis SF 8/8 SHELT Settle ment Estimate Actual part rom  $q_{a} = 720 \cdot (N-3)$ ).((8+1 N = 7, B = 74,Kd = 1+D/B = 1+2/74 = 1.0 W' From page 4-42 d W'= 0.57  $9a = 720 \cdot (7 - 3) \cdot ((74 + 1)(2 \cdot 74) \cdot 0.57 \cdot 1.0$ = 420 PSF produces / inch of Settlement S = Actual ground pressure 19a  $5 = \frac{860}{420} = 2.0$  inches 2. Q inches (3. Dinches allewable Foundation soil adequa 4-64 July 1987



LOG SHEET

TABLE	ž	Criteris for Describing Angularity of Coarse-	
		Grained Particles	

Description	Cniena
Angular	Particles have sharp edges and relatively plane sides with unpolished surfaces
Subangular	Particles are similar to angular description but have rounded "dges
Subrounded	Particles have nearly plane sides but have well-rounded corners and edges
Rounded	Particles have smoothly curved sides and no edges

#### TABLE 2 Criteria for Describing Particle Shape

The particle shape shall be described as follows where length, width, and thickness refer to the greatest, intermediate, and least dimensions of a particle, respectively.

Flat	Particles with width/thickness > 3
Elongated	Particles with length/width $> 3$
Flat and elon- gated	Particles meet criteria for both flat and elongated

#### TABLE 3 Criteria for Describing Moisture Condition

Description	Cniena			
Dry	Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch			
Moist	Damp but no visible water			
Wet	Visible free water, usually soil is below water - table			

#### TABLE 4 Criteria for Describing the Reaction With HCl

Description	Cniena
None	No visible reaction
Weak	Some reaction, with bubbles forming slowly
Strong	Violent reaction, with bubbles forming imme- diately

# TABLE 5 Criteria for Describing Consistency

Description	Criteria for fime-grained Saturated Soils	Penetropoter tone/ft*_or tg/cm	Std. Penetration Test (ASTR 2-1586 bioms/ft
very soft	Thumada will permentrate soll asona there I leads	+ 9.10	٢ ٢
50*1	Thussbe will paratrate soli about 1 inch	0.10 - 0.25	5 - 4
s 10	Thumber will inchent soli ebourt & inch	0.25 - 1.00	4 ~ 15
*erđ	Thumbor will more indiant basi our readily indianted with thumbonail	1.00 - 2.00	19 - 20
Yery Herd	Thumbhail will not indent soil	> 2.00	> 30

#### TABLE 6 Criteria for Describing Cementation

Description	Cniena
Weak	Crumbles or breaks with handling or little fin-
Moderate	Crumbles or breaks with considerable finger pressure
Strong	Will not crumble or break with finger pressure



#### TABLE 7 Criteria for Describing Structure

Description	Cniena		
Stratified	Alternating layers of varving material or color with layers at least 6 mm thick note thickness.		
Laminated	Alternating layers of varving material or color with the layers less than 6 mm thick, note thickness		
Fissured	Breaks along definite planes of fracture with little resistance to fracturing		
Slickensided	Fracture planes appear polished or glossy without stimated		
Blocks	Conesive soil that can be broken down into small angular lumps which resist turther breakdown		
Lensed	Inclusion of small pockets of different soils such as small lenses of sand scattered through a mass of clay, note thickness		
Homogeneous	Same color and appearance throughout		

#### TABLE 8 Criteria for Describing Dry Strength

	Description Criteria	
	None	The dry specimen crumbles into powder with mere pressure of handling
	Low	The dry specimen crumbles into powder with some finger pressure
	Medium	The dry specimen breaks into pieces or crum- bles with considerable finger pressure
ſ	High	The dry specimen cannot be broken with finger pressure. Specimen will break into pieces between thumb and a hard surface
	Very high	The dry specimen cannot be broken between the thumb and a hard surface

#### TABLE 9 Criteria for Describing Dilatancy

Description	Cniena	
None	No visible change in the specimen	
Slow	Water appears slowly on the surface of the specimen during shaking and does not dis- appear or disappears slowly upon squeezing	
Rари	Water appears quickly on the surface of the specimen during shaking and disappears quickly upon squeezing	

#### TABLE 10 Criteria for Describing Toughness

LABLE	10 Criteria for Describing Loughness
Description	Cntena
Low	Only slight pressure is required to roll the thread near the plastic limit. The thread and the lump are weak and soft
Medium	Medium pressure is required to roll the thread to near the plastic limit. The thread and the lump have medium stiffness
High	Considerable pressure is required to roll the thread to near the plastic limit. The thread and the lump have very high suffness
TABLE	E 11 Criteria for Describing Plasticity
Description	Спієпа
vonplastic	A "-in. (3-mm) thread cannot be rolled at any water content
-ow	The thread can barely be rolled and the lump cannot be formed when direr than the plastic limit
Medium	The thread is easy to roll and not much time is required to reach the plastic limit. The thread cannot be rerolled after reaching the plastic limit. The lump crumbles when direr than the plastic limit.
High	It takes considerable time rolling and kneading to reach the plastic limit. The thread can be rerolled several times after reaching the plas- tic limit. The lump can be formed without crumbling when drier than the plastic limit

#### CHECKLIST - DESCRIPTION OF COMPSE-GRAINED SOILS - ASTH 0-2488

- <u>Typical Hamp</u>: Boulders Cobbies Gravel Sand Add descriptive adjectives for minor constituants.
   <u>Gradation</u>: Well-graded Poorly-graded (Uniformity graded or gap-graded)
   <u>Size Distribution</u>: Percent gravel, sand, and fines in fraction finer than . 3-inch (76 mm), to meanest 5 percent. If desired, the percentages may be stated in terms indicating a range of values, as follows:
  - in terman indicating a range of values, as folio frace: < 5 % Few: 5 + 10 % Little: 15 25 % ---> Or, e.g., with gravel Some: 30 45 % ---> Or, 'e.g., gravelly Mostly: 50 100 %

- <u>Percent Cobbies and Boulders</u>: By volume.
   <u>Perticle Size Rense</u>: Gravel -- fine, coarse Sand -- fine, medium, coarse

- Sand -- fine, medium, coerse
   <u>Angularity of Coerse Material</u>: Angular Subengular Subrounded Rounded
   <u>Particle Shepe (if appropriate)</u>: Fist Elongated Flat and Elongated
   <u>Plasticity of Fines</u>: Nonplastic Low Medium High
   <u>Mineralogy</u>: Rock type for gravel, predominant minerals in sand. Note presence of mica flakes, shaley particles, and organic materials.
   <u>Color</u>: Use common terms of Numsell notation. Besed on moist or wet condition.
   <u>Odor (for dark-colored or unusual spils only)</u>: None Earthy Organic
   <u>Moisture Content</u>: Dry Noist Wet
- - -- for intact samples--

- --For intact samples-13. <u>Matural Density</u>: Loose Dense
  14. <u>Structurg</u>: Stratified Lensed Nonstratified
  15. <u>Comentation</u>: Week Moderate Strong (or pH)
  16. <u>Reaction (dilute HCL)</u>: None Week Strong (or pH)
  17. <u>Geologic Origin</u>: Examples Alluvium, Residuum, Colluvium, Glaciat till, Outwesh, Nume sand, Alluvial fan, Talus, etc.
  18. <u>Unified Soil Classification Symbol</u>: Estimate. (See Field Identification of Comma-grained Soils below.)

Note: Refer to Tables 1-11 for criteris for describing many of the above factors.

#### FIELD IDENTIFICATION - COARSE-GRAINED SOILS

		c	DARSE PARTICLE GR	OE SIZES	
Grade Boulders Large Co Small Co Coarse G Fine Gru Coarse S Medium S	bbies bbies (Exclude f revei vel and	12" 6" 3" rection 3/6" 1/4" 2.0	- 12" - 6" larger than 3" for - 3" - 3/4" - 4.76 sm 11	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	<u>Comperative Size</u> Basketbail or larger Cantaioupe to Basketbail Orange to Cantaloupe (lassification) Cherry to Orange Pee to Cherry Wheet Grain to Pee Sumar to Wheet Grain
Fine San				0 - 40	Flour to Sugar
	GRAVEL	More than half of coarse fraction (by weight) is larger than K-fnch.	CLEAN GRAVELS Will not leave a dirt stain on a wat palm.	substan interma Mostly sizes w	nge in grain sizes and tial amounts of all GM diate sizes. one size on a range of ith some intermediate GP lissing.
	AND GRAVELLY SOILS <sup>2</sup>	thatf of co (1) is large	DIRTY GRAVELS	identif Field I	nonplastic fines (for fication of fines are GM dentification of fine- i Soils for ML soils).
COARSE -		Nore than (by weigh	Will leave a dirt stain on a wet palm.	tion of tificat	: fines (for identifica- ) fines see Field Iden- GC :ion of Fine-grainsd or CL soils).
soils1		ct los K- Inch.	CLEAM SAMOS	SUDB Car	nge in grein sizes and Itial amounts of all SW Wiate perticle sizes.
	SAND	of coarse fraction smaller than %-inch	a dirt stain on a wat palm.	51200 H	one size or a range of tith some intermediate SP tissing.
	SANDY SOILS <sup>2</sup>	More than haif of coarse fraction (by weight) is semiler them K-inci	DIRTY SANDS	identif Field I	nonplastic fines (for lication of fines see SN dentification of Fine- i Soils for NL soils).
		Nore than (by usight	Vill leave a dirt stain on a wet peim.	cation tificat	: fines (for identifi- of fines see Field Iden- tion of Fine-grained SC for CL soils).
cons than	ist of Indi no. 200 si	vidual g	rains visible to we be seen with t	the neked e he neked ey	s material (by weight) must we. Individual grains fina we nor felt by the fingers. se equivalent to no. 4 sieve

GROUP SYMBOL

## GROUP NAME



Noti — Percentages are based on estimating amounts of fines, sand, and gravel to the nearest 5%. FIG: 2 - Flow Chart for Identifying Coarse-Grained Soils (less than 50 % fines)

## CHECKLIST - DESCRIPTION OF FINE-GRAINED SOILS - ASTM D-2488

1.	Typical Name: Silt Elestic Silt Lean Clay Fat Clay
	Silty Clay Organic Silt or Clay Peat
2.	<u>Dry Strength: None Low Medium High Very High</u>
3.	Size Distribution: Percent gravel, sand, and fines in fraction finer than
	3 inches (76 mm), to nearest 5 percent. If desired, the percentages
	may be stated in terms indicating a range of values, as follows:
	Trace: < 5 %
	Few: 5 - 10 %
	Little: 15 - 25 %> Or, e.g., with sand
	Some: 30 - 45 %> Or, e.g., sandy
	Mostly: 50 - 100 %
4.	Percent Cobbles and Boulders: By volume.
5.	Dilatancy: None Slow Rapid
6.	Toughness of Plastic Thread: Low Medium High
7.	
8.	Color: Use common terms or Munsell notation. (In moist or wet condition)
9.	
10.	
	For intact samples
11.	Consistency: Very Soft Soft Firm Hard Very Hard
	Structure: Stratified Laminated (varved) Fissured Slickensided
	Blocky Lensed Homogeneous
13.	
14.	
15.	
	Till, Lacustrine, etc.
16.	
	Consistency of Fine-grained Soils below, and Table 12: Identification
	of Inorganic Fine-grained Soils from Menual Tests.)

Note: Refer to Tables 1-11 for criteria for describing many of the above factors.

### 

## FIELD IDENTIFICATION - FINE-GRAINED SOILS

Dry Strength	Dilatancy	Toughness	Plasticity	Symbo
None to Low	Slow to Rapid	Low or No Thread	Nonplastic to Low	ML
Medium to High	Slow	Medium	Low to Medium	CL
Low to Medium	None to Slow	Low (Spongy)	None to Low	OL
Medium	None to Slow	Low to Medium	Low to Medium	MH
Very Nigh	None	Nigh	Medium to High	СИ
Medium to High	None	Low to Ned. (Spongy)	Medium to High	OH
HIGHLY ORGANIC		arily organic matter, ( el, organic odor, and (		PT

## GROUP NAME

## GROUP SYMBOL



4-70

1011 U 4400