Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: ID-FY23 ACEP-ALE GSS

Program: ACEP

Pool Status: Active

States: ID (Admin)

Template: ACEP-ALE GSS (Program Agreements)

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Diane French

Last Modified: 11/09/2022

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Crop	--	--	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Developed Land	N/A	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Other Rural Land	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	**Min %**	**Default %**	**Max %**
Concentrated erosion	0	5	20
Degraded plant condition	5	5	50
Field pesticide loss	0	5	20
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	5	50
Livestock production limitation	5	5	50
Long term protection of land	35	40	75
Pest pressure	0	5	40
Salt losses to water	0	5	20
Soil quality limitations	0	5	45
Source water depletion	0	5	40
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	5	25

Categories

Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat	0	5	40
Wind and water erosion	0	5	10

Concentrated erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	20	100
Classic gully erosion	0	40	100
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	40	100

Degraded plant condition

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Field pesticide loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100

Livestock production limitation

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	40	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	15	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	45	100

Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %

Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Threat of conversion	100	100	100

Pest pressure

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Salt losses to water

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Salts transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Salts transported to surface water	0	50	100

Soil quality limitations

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	15	100
Compaction	0	15	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	15	100
Organic matter depletion	0	20	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	20	100
Subsidence	0	15	100

Source water depletion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	35	100
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	35	100
Surface water depletion	0	30	100

Storage and handling of pollutants

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	25	100

Terrestrial habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Wind and water erosion			
Resource Concern	**Min %**	**Default %**	**Max %**
Sheet and rill erosion	0	50	100
Wind erosion	0	50	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Acquisition Process - Buy-Protect-Sell Transfer	LTAPBPST	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search Update	LTAPERSU	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Maximum Duration Allowed by State Law	LTPMAS	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	Easements

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	15	20
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	10
Resource Priorities	Default	35	40	50
Program Priorities	Default	40	40	50
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: ID-FY23 ACEP-ALE GSS (Active)

An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Is GSS ALE Parcel in Idaho?	Yes	--
	Otherwise	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Is GSS Parcel in Idaho	Yes	--
	Otherwise	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: National Questions		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
1. Percent of prime, unique, and important farmland soil in the parcel to be protected.	Greater than 80%	15
	Greater than 70%	12
	Greater than 60%	8
	Greater than 50%	4
	Less than 49%	0
2. Percent of cropland, range land, grassland, historic grassland, pastureland, or nonindustrial private forest land in parcel to be protected.	Greater than 50%	15
	Greater than 40%	8
	Greater than 33%	4
	Less than 32%	0
3. Ratio of the total acres of land in the parcel to be protected to average farm size in the county based on USDA Census of Agriculture. (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture)	Ratio greater than 2	15
	Ratio greater than 1	7
	Ratio less than 0.99	0
4. Decrease in the percentage of acreage of farm and ranch land in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture. (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture)	Decrease greater than 15 percent	15
	Decrease greater than 10 percent	9
	Decrease greater than 5 percent	5
	Decrease less than 5 percent	1
5. Decrease in the percentage of acreage of permanent grassland, pasture, and rangeland, other than cropland and woodland pasture, in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture.(USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture)	Acreage decrease of greater than 15%	15
	Acreage decrease of greater than 10%	10
	Acreage decrease of greater than 5%	5
	Acreage decrease of greater than 3%	3
	Acreage decrease of less than 2.99%	0
6. Ratio of population growth in the county vs statewide population growth as documented by the U.S. Census. (Census Bureau Home Page)	County growth rate is more than 3 times the State growth rate	15
	County growth rate is more than 2 times the State growth rate	7
	County growth rate is more than 1 times the State growth rate	4
	County growth rate is less than .99 times the State growth rate	0

Section: National Questions		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
7. Ratio of County population density vs statewide population density as documented by the most recent U.S. Census. (Census Bureau Home Page)	County population density is more than 3 times the State density	15
	County population density is more than 2 times the State density	7
	County population density is more than 1 times the State density	4
	County population density is less than 0.99 times the State density	0
8. Existence of a farm or ranch succession plan or similar plan established to address agricultural viability for future generations.	Plan is documented and developed by an industry professional	10
	Plan is documented	5
	No plan is documented	0
9. Proximity of the parcel to other protected land that limits the conversion of the land to nonagricultural use or protects grazing uses and related conservation values.	Adjacent to other protected land	15
	Within 1 mile of other protected land	10
	Within 3 miles of other protected land	5
	None of the above	0
10. Proximity of the parcel to other agricultural operations and agricultural infrastructure.	Adjacent to other agriculture operations and infrastructure	15
	Within 1 mile of other agriculture operations and infrastructure	10
	Within 3 miles of other agriculture operations and infrastructure	5
	None of the above	0
11. Parcel ability to maximize the protection of contiguous or proximal acres devoted to agricultural use.	Links two noncontinuous corridors of protected agriculture use	20
	A contiguous or proximal expansion of protected agrilculture use	10
	None of the above	0
12. The land is currently enrolled in CRP in a contract that is set to expire within one year and is grassland that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement.	YES	15
	NO	0
13. Land is grassland of special environmental significance that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement.	YES	15
	NO	0
14. Percent of the fair market value of the agricultural land easement that is the eligible entity cash resources for payment of easement compensation to the landowner and comes from sources other than the landowner.	Entity contributes 50% of FMV	5
	Entity contributes 25-49% of FMV	3
	Entity contributes 10-24% of FMV	1
	Entity contributes less than 9.99% of FMV	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: State and Local Questions		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**

Section: State and Local Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
1. 50% or more of the offered parcel is located within an Idaho NRCS designated Priority Area.	Yes	5
	Otherwise	0
2. 50% or more of the offered parcel is located within a State-of-Idaho Sage-grouse management area for grasslands of special significance.	Core management area	20
	Important management area	15
	General management area	5
	Otherwise	0
3. 50% or more of the offered parcel is located in an IDFG Big Game Priority Area.	Yes	5
	Otherwise	0
4. Offered parcel falls within an IDFG Mapped Migration Route.*	Mapped Migration Route	15
	Otherwise	0
5. Parcel is a non-rangeland type parcel that contains Mesic Habitat features such as riparian areas, wetlands, and/or mesic wildlife habitat such as streams, wet meadows, springs and seeps, or irrigated pastures.	Yes	15
	No, or Rangeland type parcel	0
6. Rangeland type parcel contains a source of perennial or intermittent streams, lakes, or ponds within the easement area.	Mesic habitat that includes wet or semi-wet meadows, and/or irrigated pasture and hay meadows	15
	Moist habitat associated with perennial rivers and streams, and/or permanent lakes	10
	Moist habitat associated with intermittent or ephemeral rivers and streams, and/or seasonal lakes	5
	Area contains no Mesic features, or non-rangeland type parcel	0
7. The following composition of native vegetation is offered in the parcel area:	Greater than 75%	30
	Greater than 50%	20
	Greater than or equal to 25%	10
	Less than or equal to 24.9%	0
8. Percentage of total area of the offered parcel that is less than 30% slopes:	75% - 100%	15
	51% - 74%	5
	0 - 50%	0
9. Number of sides the offered parcel borders sagebrush or rangeland habitat:	At least 3 sides or more	10
	2 sides	5
	1 side or none	0
10. Offered acres are part of an active livestock grazing operation.	YES	5
	NO	0
11. Offered parcel includes maintaining habitat for a Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) per IDFG identified species on the SWAP Slicer tool, or Endangered Species Act (ESA) listed species per USFWS identified IPaC.	At-risk habitat identified that has experienced a disproportionately higher rate of loss in Idaho	15
	At-risk habitat identified	5
	None, or not applicable	0

Section: State and Local Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
12. During the past five years, NEW residential, commercial, or industrial development has occurred near the easement offered parcel.	Greater than three miles	15
	Within three miles	10
	Within two miles	5
	Within one mile	0
13. Based on IDFG predictive models, parcel provides one or more of the Sage Grouse annual habitat requirements: Winter, Spring, Late Brood Rearing. Answer all that are applicable.	Winter	5
	Spring	5
	Late Brood Rearing	5
	None, or not applicable	0
14. According to the Idaho SGI Ecosystem, Rangeland Analysis Platform, a majority 51% or more of the offered parcel acres are within a Resilience and Resistance class as follows:	High	10
	Moderate	5
	Low	0
	Otherwise	--
15. Parcel is within the boundary of a state Source Water Protection Priority Area (SWPPA).	Yes	5
	Otherwise	0