

North Dakota

WRE Environmental Ranking Worksheet - FY 2019

		***************************************	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••			
Applicar	nt ID:		Applica	tion Type:			
			County:			Date:	
Flooded			Administering County:				
Acres	:	0.0		Total	Applica	tion Points	INELIGIBLE
		COMPLETE IN	VENTORY ACRES				
				Number			
Factor	Environmenta	al Factor Considerations		of Units	Units	Points	Comments
Prairie	Pothole Ra	anking Factors					
	Estimate Su	urface Acres of Basins to be Restored (657) vegetatively				
	This is the measured or estimated acres of wetland or former wetland acres which will be restored vegetatively. To be considered restored the acres MUST be seeded to wetland			0	Acres	0	
·							
		e removal from the wetland also qualifies for the	is factor. Reference the e-FOTG				
	Wetland Basins to be Hydrologically Restored						
		nsiders both the number of basins and acres i	, , ,				
	restored (e.g. ditch plug, broken tile, dike, diversion, sediment removal, etc.). Factor 2A is the number of wetland basins. Factor 2B is the wetland acres restored.						
_			Plugs/Retention & Sed.Rem/dgout	0			
2			Ditch Plugs / Water Retention	0	Basins	0	
			Sed. Removal &/or Dugout Only	0			
	Factor 2B - Acres Restored Plugs/Retention & Sed.Rem/dgou			0			ı
			Ditch Plugs / Water Retention	0	Acres	0	
			Sed. Removal &/or Dugout Only	0			
		Vetland Ratio					
3		retland type areas, this score is the result of a			D-ti-	0	WETLAND NOT
	degraded wet	lands) and wetland acres. A ratio greater than			Ratio	0	RANKED
		Upland and Non-degraded wetland Acres: Degraded Wetland Acres:	NA NA				
	Wetland Typ		IVA				
		the combination of the wetland type, variability	of wetland types within the offer				
		of upland acres to existing pemf acres NOT be					
		pema			Number	0	
4		pemc			of Types	0	
		pem/abf or pemf					
		other					
		Upland to pemf ratio	0.00				
	_	raphic Area From USFWS Water Breedi	•				
_	Locate the offer on the statewide 2012 Waterfowl Breeding Pairs per square mile thunderstorm maps and choose the majority color for the WRE offer. See "Breeding_Pairs_Map" worksheet					0	
5						0	
	tab.	Color Code:					
	Proximity to	Other Protected Wetlands					
	,	tlands are wetlands in public ownership or prof	tected by public easement.				
6	Examples of such programs are Waterfowl Production Areas, State Game Management					0	
		/atershed Protection Easement areas, or land		i	U		
	easements. Other WRE Easements do NOT qualify since they are not permanent.						
	Threetened	Not Adjacent and Endangered Species Occur in Cou	mh.				
		nty Occurrence of Endangered, Threatened ar					
	Designated Critical Habitat in North Dakota" in Section I of the FOTG (is be updated for FY16). When the WRE offer is in a County recognized as having T & E species, the points are awarded. Points awarded based status of selected species. The two following tables identify the points assigned to the selected species and then the number of points for each county due to the presence of those selected species.					0	
,							
						O	
	to the present	·					
	Operation a	County:					
8		aintenance will be needed after restoration?				0	
	Partners Re	elease Form					
		Partners include SCDs, Ducks Unlimited, FWS, local Water boards, Pheasants Forever, etc.					
9	The document allows NRCD to release client information to Conservation Partners, but does not constitute a financial commitment. The release form must be signed and attached to award points. This document is attached to the bulletin.					0	
	points. This document is attached to the bulletin.						



North Dakota

WRE Environmental Ranking Worksheet - FY 2019

Applicar	ant ID:		Application Type:				
			County:			Date:	
10	The NE	Quality Improvement Different Health Dept. has identified priority watersheds by 8 paired waters are granted 5 points. See "HUC_mag	p" worksheet tab for watershed			0	
		Watershed HUC:					
11	WRE e Resour	tion e easement's vegetative and hydrologically restored asement has expired or will it return to cropland? If ces Trust, NDGF, USFWS (purchase agreement or the life of the restoration, then answer yes.	a public entity i.e. Natural			0	
12	Producer will accept less than 75% of NRCS GARC for 30-Year Easement Will landowner (in writing) accept less than 75% of NRCS established value? If YES, enter the percent of appraised value chosen by the applicant. No					0	
13	The into	Restoration Costs ent of this factor is to rank the cost efficiency of resto be given if a conservation partner will decrease USD estoration. (If COST REDUCED, enter the NRCS pe Equal to the per-unit cost on the	DA restoration cost by paying for part creent in column E).			0	
14	Note: E	ntage of upland area planted to annual crops existing hay land fields in rotation for more than 4 year by planted cropland.			Percent	0	
15	This fac	y Extent ctor considers how many turns will be needed to con	nduct the survey. Number of Survey Turns:		Turns		
16		et to expire within one year. ffered land currently in a CRP contract set to expire	within one year.			0	
17	This fac	Effectiveness ctor evaluates the cost of the easement against all o ze the environmental benefit per dollar expended.	ther factors in order to try to			#DIV/0!	
Prairie		ole Ranking			N	OT ELIGIBLI	
		3					
Add'l 1	Is the la	and currently in CRP?					
		s in or was previously in CRP, were trees planted?		If trees pl	anted, how	many acres of	
Add'l 2	(if yes	explain the type of tree planting and CRP practice ment section below.			trees	•	
Add'l 2	(if yes of in comm					•	
	(if yes of in comm					•	
	(if yes of in comm					•	
	(if yes of in comm					•	
	(if yes of in comm					•	
Comm	(if yes e in comments:	ment section below.				•	
Comm	(if yes e in comments:					•	
Comm	(if yes e in comments:	ment section below.	Date			•	
Comm	(if yes e in comments:	age has been reviewed by:	Date			•	
Comm	(if yes e in comments:	rage has been reviewed by: Field Office Representative	Date			•	
Comm	(if yes e in comments:	rage has been reviewed by: Field Office Representative Area Office Representative	Date			•	
Rankir	if yes ein comments:	rage has been reviewed by: Field Office Representative Area Office Representative ckage considered for funding reviewe	Date ed and approved by:	-		•	



North Dakota

WRE Environmental Ranking Worksheet - FY 2019

Applicant ID:				
		County:	Date:	