Ranking Pool: WA FY22 ACEP-ALE-GSS

Program: ACEP Pool Status: Active States: WA (Admin)

Template: ACEP-ALE GSS (Program Agreements)

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Last Modified: 12-07-2021

Land Uses

| Land Use | Modifier 1 | Modifier 2 | Modifier 3 | Modifier 4 | Modifier 5 | Modifier 6 |
|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Forest | | | | | | |
| Range | | | | | | |
| Pasture | | | | | | |
| Farmstead | | | | | | |
| Developed Land | | | | | | |
| Water | | | | | | |
| Other Rural Land | | | | | | |
| Associated Ag Land | | | | | | |

Resource Concern Categories

| Categories | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Category | Min % | Default % | Max % | |
| Degraded plant condition | 5 | 10 | 50 | |
| Livestock production limitation | 5 | 10 | 50 | |
| Long term protection of land | 35 | 60 | 75 | |
| Source water depletion | 0 | 10 | 40 | |
| Terrestrial habitat | 0 | 10 | 40 | |

| Degraded plant condition | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | |
| Plant productivity and health | 0 | 50 | 100 | |
| Plant structure and composition | 0 | 50 | 100 | |

12-07-2021 Page 1 of 6

| Livestock production limitation | | | | |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | |
| Feed and forage balance | 0 | 50 | 100 | |
| Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution | 0 | 50 | 100 | |

| Long term protection of land | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Threat of conversion | 100 | 100 | 100 |

| Source water depletion | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | |
| Groundwater depletion | 0 | 50 | 100 | |
| Surface water depletion | 0 | 50 | 100 | |

| Terrestrial habitat | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates | 0 | 100 | 100 |

Practices

| Practice Name | Practice Code | Practice Type |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search | LTAPERS | Easements |
| Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search Update | LTAPERSU | Easements |
| Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review | LTAPTR1 | Easements |
| Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review | LTAPTR2 | Easements |
| Long-Term Protection of Land - Maximum Duration Allowed by State Law | LTPMAS | Easements |
| Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement | LTPPE | Easements |

Ranking Weights

| Factors | Algorithm | Allowable Min | Default | Allowable Max |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| Vulnerabilities | Default | 5 | 5 | 20 |
| Planned Practice Effects | Default | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Resource Priorities | Default | 35 | 40 | 50 |
| Program Priorities | Default | 40 | 50 | 50 |
| Efficiencies | Default | 0 | 0 | 0 |

12-07-2021 Page 2 of 6

Display Group: WA FY22 ACEP-ALE-GSS (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

| Section: Is this application located within WA? | | | | |
|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| Question Answer Choices Points | | | | |
| Is this application located within WA State? | Yes | | | |
| is this application located within WA State? | Otherwise | | | |

Survey: Are 51% of the acres located within the GSS Boundaries?

| Section: Is the application parcel PLU located in the GSS layer? | | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|--|
| Question Answer Choices Points | | | | |
| Is the 51% of the application parcel located within the GSS layer? | yes | | | |
| is the 51% of the application parcel located within the GSS layer? | no | | | |

Survey: Program Questions

| Section: National ranking criteria | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------|--|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points | |
| - | Property has 50% or less | 0 | |
| | Property has >50 to 60% | 20 | |
| Percent of prime, unique, and important farmland soils in the parcel to be protected. | Property has >60 to 70% | 25 | |
| | Property has >70 to 80% | 30 | |
| | Property has >80% | 35 | |
| | Property has 33% or less | 0 | |
| Percent of cropland, rangeland, grassland, historic grassland, pastureland, or nonindustrial private forest land in parcel to be | Property has >33 to < 40% | 4 | |
| protected. | Property has >40 to < 50% | 8 | |
| | Property has >50% | 15 | |
| Ratio of the total acres of land in the parcel to be protected to average | Ratio of 1.0 or less | 0 | |
| arm size in the county according to the most recent USDA Census of | Ratio > 1.0 to <2.0 | 7 | |
| Agriculture. | Ratio > 2.0 | 15 | |
| | Decrease of 0% or less | 0 | |
| Decrease in the percentage of acreage of permanent grassland, | Decrease of >0 and <5%. | 3 | |
| pasture, and rangeland, other than cropland and woodland pasture, in he county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA | Decrease of >5 and <10%. | 5 | |
| Censuses of Agriculture.(USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture) | Decrease of >10 and <15%. | 8 | |
| | Decreases >15%. | 15 | |

12-07-2021 Page 3 of 6

| Section: National ranking criteria | | | | |
|---|--|--------|--|--|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points | | |
| | No Plan | 0 | | |
| established to address farm viability for future generations. | Plan | 2 | | |
| | Plan documented and prepared by industry professional. | 5 | | |
| Decrease in the percentage of acreage of farm and ranch land in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA | Decrease of 0% or less | 0 | | |
| | Decrease of >0 and <5%. | 1 | | |
| | Decrease of >5 and <10%. | 5 | | |
| Censuses of Agriculture. (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture) | Decrease of >10 and <15%. | 9 | | |
| | Decreases >15%. | 15 | | |
| | Growth rate of less than one times the State growth rate. | 0 | | |
| ercent population growth in the county as documented by the U.S. | Growth rate of greater than one and less than or equal to two times the State growth rate. | 4 | | |
| Census. (Census Bureau Home Page) | Growth rate of two and less than or equal to three times the State growth rate. | 7 | | |
| | Growth rate of more than three times the State growth rate. | 15 | | |
| | Population density less than one times the State population density. | 0 | | |
| Population density (population per square mile) as documented by the most recent U.S. Census. (Census Bureau Home Page) | Population density of greater than one and less than or equal to two times the State population density. | 4 | | |
| | Population density of greater than two and less than or equal to three times the State population density. | 7 | | |
| | Population density of greater than three times the State population density. | 15 | | |
| Proximity of the parcel to other protected land, such as compatible military installations; land owned in fee title by the United States or an Indian Tribe, State or local government, or by a nongovernmental organization whose purpose is to protect agricultural use and related conservation values; or land that is already subject to an easement or deed restriction that limits the conversion of the land to nonagricultural | Easement Offer Area (EAO) boundary greater than 3 miles from the protected land boundary. | 0 | | |
| | EOA is greater than 1 mile but less than 3 miles from protected land. | 4 | | |
| | EOA is within 1 mile of protected land boundary. | 7 | | |
| use or protects grazing uses and related conservation values. | EOA boundary adjoins protected land boundary. | 15 | | |
| Proximity of the parcel to other agricultural operations and agricultural infrastructure. | Easement Offer Area (EAO) boundary greater than 3 miles from the protected land boundary. | 0 | | |
| | EOA is greater than 1 mile but less than 3 miles from protected land. | 3 | | |
| | EOA is within 1 mile in proximity. | 5 | | |
| | EOA boundary adjoins. | 10 | | |

12-07-2021 Page 4 of 6

| Section: National ranking criteria | | | | |
|---|--|--------|--|--|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points | | |
| Parcel ability to maximize the protection of contiguous or proximal acres devoted to agricultural use. | Parcel increases a protected agricultural use area. | 0 | | |
| | Parcel is a contiguous or proximal expansion of agricultural use protected area. | 6 | | |
| | Parcel links two non-continuous corridors of protected agricultural use. | 15 | | |
| Land is currently enrolled in CRP in a contract that is set to expire within 1 year and is grassland that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement or is land under a CRP contract that is in transition to a covered farmer or rancher pursuant to 16 U.S.C. 3835(f) | Yes | 5 | | |
| | No | 0 | | |
| Land is grassland of special environmental significance that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement. | Yes | 10 | | |
| | No | 0 | | |
| Percent of the fair market value of the agricultural land easement that is the eligible entitys own cash resources for payment of easement compensation to the landowner and comes from sources other than the landowner. | 25% | 15 | | |
| | 12.5 to less than 25%. | 5 | | |
| | Less than 12.5%. | 0 | | |

Survey: Resource Questions

| Section: State Developed questions | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points | | |
| Does the parcel have prime, unique, statewide, or locally important farmland in the parcel to be protected above 75% of the total offered acres? | 75% and above. | 45 | | |
| | 51 to equal to or less than 74%. | 15 | | |
| Eligible entity has demonstrated performance in managing and enforcing easements by monitoring 95 percent or more of its easements each year. | Yes | 25 | | |
| | No | 0 | | |
| Project is partially or wholly within the boundaries of the Western Washington or Eastern Washington Grasslands of Special Environmental Significance areas | Yes | 25 | | |
| | No | 0 | | |
| A Federal or State listed or candidate Threatened or Endangered species located on, or within quarter mile, of parcel to be protected. This includes USFWS & NMFS Designated Critical Habitat polygons for listed species. Applications in the Sentinel Landscape area is within one of the USFWS - Identified Reserve Priority Areas for three of the listed sub-species of Mazama pocket gopher: Olympia pocket gopher (Thomomys mazama pugetensis), Tenino pocket gopher (T. m.tumuli) and Yelm pocket gopher (T. m. yelmensis). | Yes | 35 | | |
| | No | 0 | | |
| Parcel is partially or wholly within an area zoned as agricultural use or open space. | Yes | 10 | | |
| | No | 0 | | |
| Does one or more eligible landowner(s) meet the definition as a historically underserved group? (CPM 440.528.190) socially disadvantaged, limited resource landowners, beginning farmer or ranchers, or veteran landowners. (Documentation must be provided to receive these points). | Yes | 20 | | |
| | No | 0 | | |

12-07-2021 Page 5 of 6

| Section: State Developed questions | | | | |
|--|---|--------|--|--|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points | | |
| Has there been recent significant capital investment(s) that enhances the long term agricultural viability of the parcel being offered for protection and the investment will be further protected by the conservation easement? (Documentation of investments must be provided in application to receive points). | Yes, investment within past 2 calendar years | 15 | | |
| | Yes, investment within past 3-5 calendar years | 8 | | |
| | Yes, investment within past 6-10 calendar years | 4 | | |
| Protection of parcel will have long term social and economic benefits towards supporting access to local markets for small scale farms. (Documentation of farm sales within the past 2 calendar years to local markets must be provided in application to receive points). | Sales within 10 miles or less of parcel. | 30 | | |
| | Sales within 11-50 miles of parcel | 20 | | |
| | Sales within 50-150 miles of parcel | 10 | | |
| Parcel contains historical or archaeological resources that will be protected by easement as described in CPM440.528.33 (Documentation must be provided to receive these points). | Yes | 10 | | |
| | No | 0 | | |

12-07-2021 Page 6 of 6