

Ranking Pool: WA ACEP ALE General Program Agreements

Program: ACEP

**Template:** ACEP-ALE General (Program Agreements) **Last Modified By:**  Pool Status: Template Status: Last Modified:

#### Land Uses

Land Use	Modifier 1	Modifier 2	Modifier 3	Modifier 4	Modifier 5	Modifier 6
Сгор						
Forest						
Range						
Pasture						
Farmstead						
Developed Land						
Water						
Other Rural Land						
Associated Ag Land						

#### **Resource Concern Categories**

Categories				
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Concentrated erosion	0	5	30	
Degraded plant condition	0	5	50	
Field pesticide loss	0	5	20	
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	5	50	
Livestock production limitation	0	5	50	
Long term protection of land	40	45	75	
Pest pressure	0	5	20	
Salt losses to water	0	5	20	
Soil quality limitations	0	5	50	
Source water depletion	0	5	40	
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	5	40	
Wind and water erosion	0	5	40	

100

50

Concentrated erosion					
Resouce Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	20	100		
Classic gully erosion	0	40	100		
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	40	100		

## Degraded plant condition

Pesticides transported to surface water

Resouce Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

#### Field pesticide loss Min % Max % **Resouce Concern** Default % Pesticides transported to groundwater 0 50 100 0

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss					
Resouce Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100		
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100		
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100		

Livestock	production	limitation
	p	

Resouce Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Feed and forage balance	0	40	100	
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	15	100	
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	45	100	

Long term protection of land			
Resouce Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Threat of conversion	100	100	100

Pest pressure			
Resouce Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

**Ranking Pool Report** 

Salt losses to water					
Resouce Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Salts transported to groundwater	0	50	100		
Salts transported to surface water	0	50	100		

### Soil quality limitations

Resouce Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Aggregate instability	0	15	100		
Compaction	0	15	100		
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	15	100		
Organic matter depletion	0	20	100		
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	20	100		
Subsidence	0	15	100		

Source water depletion					
Resouce Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Groundwater depletion	0	35	100		
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	35	100		
Surface water depletion	0	30	100		

#### Storage and handling of pollutants **Resouce Concern** Min % Default % Max % 0 20 100 Nutrients transported to groundwater Nutrients transported to surface water 0 20 100 0 20 100 Pesticides transported to surface water 0 Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater 20 100 Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water 0 20 100

Wind and water erosion			
Resouce Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	50	100
Wind erosion	0	50	100

#### **Practices**

Practice	Practice Code	Practice Type
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	L
Long-Term Protection of Land - Maximum Duration Allowed by State Law	LTPMAS	L
01-12-2021		Page 3 of 7

**Ranking Pool Report** 

Practice	Practice Code	Practice Type
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	L
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search Update	LTAPERSU	L
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	L
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	L

### **Ranking Component Weights**

Category	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	15	20
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	10
Resource Priorities	Default	35	40	50
Program Priorities	Default	40	40	50
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

#### **Display Group: ACEP ALE General Program Agreements (Active)**

(i) An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

#### **Survey: Applicability Questions**

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the application parcel PLU located in the State of WA?	Is the application parcel PLU located in the State of WA?	
	Otherwise	

#### **Survey: Category Questions**

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the application parcel PLU located in the State of WA?	Is the application parcel PLU located in the State of WA?	
	Otherwise	

#### **Survey: Program Questions**

Section: Program ACEP ALE General		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

## Section: Program ACEP ALE General

Question	Answer Choices	Points
	Property has 50% or less	0
	Property has >50 to 60%	20
Percent of prime, unique, and important soils in the parcel to be protected.	Property has >60 to 70%	25
	Property has >70 to 80%	30
	Property has >80%	35
	Property has 33% or less	0
Percent of cropland, pastureland, grassland, and rangeland in the	Property has >33 to < 40%	4
parcel to be protected.	Property has >40 to < 50%	8
	Property has >50%	15
Datio of the total earon of land in the named to be prestant of the survey	Ratio of 1.0 or less	0
Ratio of the total acres of land in the parcel to be protected to average farm size in the county according to the most recent USDA Census of Agriculture.	Ratio > 1.0 to <2.0	7
gnouldre.	Ratio > 2.0	15
	Decrease of 0% or less	0
	Decrease of >0 and <5%.	1
Decrease in the percentage of acreage of farm and ranch land in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture).	Decrease of >5 and <10%.	5
Censuses of Agriculture (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture).	Decease of >10 and <15%.	9
	Decreases >15%.	15
	Decrease of 0% or less.	0
Decrease in the percentage of coreage of permanent gradeland	Decrease of >0 and <5%.	3
Decrease in the percentage of acreage of permanent grassland, pasture, and rangeland, other than cropland and woodland pasture, in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA	Decrease of >5 and <10%.	5
Censuses of Agriculture (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture).	Decease of >10 and <15%.	8
	Decreases >15%.	15
	No Plan	0
Existence of a farm or ranch succession plan or similar plan	Plan	2
established to address farm viability for future generations.	Plan documented and prepared by industry professional.	5
	Easement Offer Area (EOA) boundary greater than 3 miles in proximity.	0
Proximity of the parcel to other agricultural operations and agricultural infrastructure.	EOA is greater than or equal to 1 mile but less than 3 miles in proximity.	3
	EOA is within 1 mile in proximity.	5
	EOA boundary adjoins.	10

# Section: Program ACEP ALE General

Question	Answer Choices	Points
	Parcel increases a protected agricultural use area.	0
Parcel ability to maximize the protection of contiguous or proximal acres devoted to agricultural use.	Parcel is a contiguous or proximal expansion of agricultural use protected area.	6
	Parcel links two non-continuous corridors of protected agricultural use.	15
	Growth rate of less than one times the State growth rate.	0
Percent of population growth in the county as documented by the most recent United States Census (http://www.census.gov/).	Growth rate of greater than one and less than or equal to two times the State growth rate.	4
recent Onited States Census (http://www.census.gov/).	Growth rate of two and less than or equal to three times the State growth rate.	7
	Growth rate of more than three times the State growth rate.	15
	Population density less than one times the State population density.	0
Population density (population per square mile) as documented by the	Population density of greater than one and less than or equal to two times the State population density.	4
most recent United States Census (http://www.census.gov/).	Population density of greater than two and less than or equal to three times the State population density.	7
	Population density of greater than three times the State population density.	15
Provimity of the parcel to other protected land, such as compatible	Easement Offer Area (EAO) boundary greater than 3 miles from the protected land boundary.	0
Indian Tribe, State or local government, or by a nongovernmental organization whose purpose is to protect agricultural use and related	EOA is greater than 1 mile but less than 3 miles from protected land.	4
conservation values; or land that is already subject to an easement or deed restriction that limits the conversion of the land to nonagricultural	EOA is within 1 mile of protected land boundary.	7
use or protects grazing uses and related conservation values.	EOA boundary adjoins protected land boundary.	15
Currently enrolled in CRP in a contract that is set to expire within a year and is	Yes	5
grassland that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement or is land under a CRP contract that is in transition to a covered farmer or rancher.	No	0
The parcel is a grassland of special environmental significance that will	Yes	10
bonefit from the protection under the long term assemblt	No	0
Percent of the fair market value of the agricultural land easement that the eligible entity contributes from its own cash resources for payment	25%	15
of easement compensation to the landowner and comes from sources other than the landowner.	12.5 to less than 25%.	5
	Less than 12.5%.	0

## Section: Resource ACEP-ALE-General

Question	Answer Choices	Points
WA- Does the parcel have prime, unique, statewide, or locally	75% and above.	40
important farmland in the parcel to be protected above 75% of the total offered acres?	51 to equal to or less than 74%.	15
WA - Eligible entity has demonstrated performance in managing and	Yes.	25
enforcing easements by monitoring 80 percent or more of its easements each year.	No.	0
NA - Project is partially or wholly within the boundaries Washington source water protection area for NRCS programs.	Yes.	20
	No.	0
WA - A Federal or State listed or candidate Threatened or Endangered species located on, or within ¼ mile, of parcel to be protected. This includes	Yes.	35
USFWS & NMFS Designated Critical Habitat polygons for listed species.	No.	0
WA - Parcel is partially or wholly within an area zoned as agricultural use or open space.	Yes.	5
agricultural use of open space.	No.	0
WA - Does one or more eligible landowner(s) meet the definition as a historically underserved group? (CPM 440.528.190) socially disadvantaged,	Yes.	15
limited resource landowners, beginning farmer or ranchers, or veteran landowners. (Documentation must be provided to receive these points).	No.	0
WA - Has there been recent significant capital investment(s) that enhances the long term agricultural viability of the parcel being	Yes, investment within past 2 calendar years	15
offered for protection and the investment will be further protected by the conservation easement? (Documentation of investments must be	Yes, investment within past 3-5 calendar years	8
provided in application to receive points).	Yes, investment within past 6-10 calendar years	4
WA - Protection of parcel will have long term social and economic	Sales within 10 miles or less of parcel.	30
Tarms. (Documentation of farm sales within the past 2 calendar years	Sales within 11-50 miles of parcel	20
	Sales within 50-150 miles of parcel	10
WA - Parcel contains historical or archaeological resources that will be protected by easement as described in 440.528.33 (Documentation	Yes.	5
must be provided to receive these points).	No.	0