2007 Agronomic Solutions for Air and Atmosphere

Breathing Easier

Barry Fisher, Conservation Agronomist Email: barry.fisher@in.usda.gov

ONRCS





Helping People

Help the Land

Venture Goals

• Clean Air

• An Adequate Energy Supply

• Working Farm and Ranch Lands

Productive Lands Healthy Environment and Resources Conservation Service to steple Film 2005-3010

•What can we do for Air Quality?















No-Till/Strip-Till Systems



Doubling the acres of No-Till Nationally would reduce fuel consumption by 434,000,000 gals.





Compare Tillage Systems



• Mulch-Till: These systems involve primary tillage of chisel plows or other non inversion implements followed by one or more secondary tillage.

 No-till/Strip-Till: These systems consist of fertilizer and planting operations in narrow strips or slots that involve disturbance of less than one third of the inter row area.



	Step 4: Fuel Cost									
Go	•									
	If you want to check <u>out diffe</u> rent f	uel prio	es, enter a di	ifferent pr	ice per ga	allon an	d click			
	"RECALCULATE": \$ 2.70									
es			Recalculate							
the			ί							
	<u>Total Diesel Fuel Cost Estimate (in dollars per year) based on \$2.70/gallon</u>									
rate	Crop	Acres	Conventional Tillage	Mulch-Till	Ridge-Till	No-Till				
	Corn	1,000	\$13,446	\$11,097	\$8,991	\$7,479				
	Total Fuel Cost		\$13,446	\$11,097	\$8,991	\$7,479				
	Potential Cost Savings over Conventional Tillage			\$2,349	\$4,455	\$5,967				
s										
NRCS	Total Farm Diesel Fuel Consur	notion	Estimate (i	n gallons	; per vea	r)				
n IN	Crop	Acres	Conventional Tillage	Mulch-Till	Ridge-Till	No-Till				
Jre	Corn	1,000	4,980	4,110	3,330	2,770				
noloav	Total Fuel Use		4,980	4,110	3,330	2,770				
er ¹ beets	Potential Fuel Savings over Conventional Tillage			870	1,650	2,210				
inces .	Savings			17%	33%	44%				
ergy		Back	Print Sta	art Over	<u> </u>	27	7% redu	ction		
e		L			L	ast Mod	ified: 02/2	23/2006		

You are here: Home / Step 2: Crop Zone / Step 3: Fuel / Step 4: Cost

Wooster OH Carbon Study (0-2 inches)



Continuous corn

Martens et al.

Organic Carbon to Total Nitrogen Relationship (Silty soil, 130 years)





Carbon Storage Report ? Report Year: 2007 Parcel Description Parcel Name: Parcel 1 Parcel Size: 1 Acres Location: FOUNTAIN, Indiana Soil: Non-hydric Silt Loam	r y ıre
Parcel Description Parcel 1 Parcel 1 Parcel Size: 1 Acres Location: FOUNTAIN, Indiana Soil: Non-hydric Silt Loam	r y ire
Parcel Description Parcel 1 Parcel Size: 1 Acres I Acres I Acres Docation: FOUNTAIN, Indiana Output for the source of th	r y ire
Parcel Name: Parcel 1 Parcel Size: 1 Acres Location: FOUNTAIN, Indiana Soil: Non-hydric Silt Loam	ire
Parcel Size: 1 Acres Location: FOUNTAIN, Indiana Soil: Non-hydric Silt Loam	
Location: FOUNTAIN, Indiana Soil: Non-hydric Silt Loam Soil: Non-hydric Silt Loam	-winter
Soil: Non-hydric Silt Loam Current: dryland: corn-soybeau Reduced Tillage	je
	;
Report dryland: corn-soybear Period: Tillage	; No Till
Predicted Change in Soil Carbon for the Parcel	
Annual Change for 2007	
Change in Carbon % Uncertainty	
Total Tons Carbon per year:0.087.78	
Total Tons CO2 Equivalent per year:0.307.78	

Soil Quality is Good for the Air



achi % of O.M. contains 10,000 lbs of C 1000 lbs of N 1000 lbs of N

100 los, of s



•The Potential Impact of No-Till Adoption on Air Quality Improvement is Huge!

2004 CONSERVATION TILLAGE REPORT

No-till Corn Percentages by County









Nitrogen Costs More Than Doubled in the Past Two Seasons

What can we do about Nitrogen costs?...

...while offering solutions for the off-site risk potential?

Nitrogen Management







The Key is to Manage the Fate of Nitrogen



h USDA

Go

y Tools

were estimates e? t are the mptions? t a more accurate nation?

gy Estimator: Tillage

Resources

to your Local NRCS

3 Programs in IN

erative Extension e Locator ue University nsion Service

back

ment on Energy hator: Nitrogen



Alteniauves

Step 4: Alternatives

Comparison of Nitrogen Fertilizer Management Systems

The table below indicates your nitrogen fertilizer cost by crop under your current nitrogen management system and compares it with our projected cost under the most efficient and cost-effective nitrogen management alternative for the crop(s) you selected. Factors considered in the analysis include availability, cost and efficiency of nitrogen materials, timing of fertilizer application, fertilizer placement, and the use of a nitrogen loss inhibitor. *This tool does not provide field-specific recommendations*. It evaluates alternatives based on user input. Application rates for alternative practices will effectively supply the same level of N to the crop as the user's current practice.

Corn, Grain or Silage	Current	Alternative Practice(s)						
Form Of Nitrogen:	UAN	UAN	Anhydrous Ammonia	Urea				
Application Timing:	Spring	Split Spring	Split Spring	Split Spring				
Fertilizer Placement:	Surface Broadcast	Incorporate/ Inject	Incorporate/ Inject	Incorporate/ Inject				
N-loss Enhanced Efficiency Product:	N	Y	Y	Y				
Fertilizer Cost (\$/lb N):	\$0.44	\$0.44	\$0.30	\$0.41				
Acres Planted:	1000	1000	1000	1000				
Application Rate (Ib N/ac):	180	146	144	146				
Cost:	\$79,200	\$64,114	\$43,342	\$59,761				
Savings:		\$15,086	\$35,858	\$19,439				
To see a more in-depth analysis of management alternatives for Corn, Grain or Silage, click								

To see a more in-depth analysis of management alternatives for Corn, Grain or Silage, dick here.

* Numbers in parentheses represent an increase in cost compared with the current practice. * The above calculations do not consider application methods or the cost of any enhanced efficiency product. For these products to be economically feasible, their cost must be offset by a

Cover Crops









Carbon and Nitrogen Cycles Can Be Managed On a Broad Scale

- General rule of thumb- 20# of N is mineralized from every 1% of organic matter.
- To get this mineralization a relative amount of CO2 must be released
- Mineralization happens later in the season in No-Till

Annual Ryegrass Cover Crop



Mike Plumer- U of I plots

84# N from top growth



Farmers will need to reinvest \$\$ in New Conservation Systems



Technical Assistance is Key to Management Changes

Capture the potential!

ONRC.

