The State of Smoke Tools

Sim Larkin, Tara Strand, Robert Solomon, Miriam Rorig, Candace Krull (US Forest Service AirFire Team)

Dana Sullivan, Sean Raffuse, Daniel Pryden, Chris Ovard, Lyle Chinkin (Sonoma Technology)

Susan O'Neill (NRCS), Lawrence Friedl (NASA), Ray Knighton (USDA CSREES)

May 13, 2008 Salt Lake City, Utah



Lots of different applications

Planning a burn

long-range, need to compare options (what if)

Lighting a burn

real-time (right now!), need to compare options (what if)

Breathing the air

real-time (right now!), best guess (just what is going to happen)

Diagnosing what happened

historical, best guess (just what happened)



The State of Smoke Tools



Current State: HAPHAZARD



Promising Developments

- 1. Model Inter-operability
 - BlueSky Framework
- 2. Nationally Consistent Products
- 3. New, Advanced Tools
 - for Fire Info (SMARTFIRE)
 - for Planning (AQUIPT)
- 4. Community Organizing
 - for Scientists (Modeling Intercomparison Project)
 - for Users (this, among others)



Basics of Smoke Application





The New BlueSky Framework:

enabling interoperability



Modularity = Flexibility

leads to user choice



GO

Consumption

JFSP funded project

Real-Time Smoke-Related Sys



National Smoke Products

National Weather Service

• smoke only (12-km) & aq (36-km)

FCAMMS

- smoke only
- regional hi-res (4-km)
- national 12-km 3-day (based on NWS NAM)
- national 36-km 7-day (based on NWS GFS)

STI CMAQ

- national emissions inventory + fire
- national 36-km



October 26,2007 0:00:00 (EST) 0.0 at (127,14), Max-289.6 at (26,4

Lessons Learned

Fire information can be of poor quality

Models differ substantially

Plume rise needs fixing



Courtesy Tim Brown, DRI

SMARTFIRE: Reconciled fire data





NEI / SMARTFIRE



SMARTFIRE: Data viewer



AQUIPT: Longer-range planning

air quality impacts planning tool

Example: planning fire this August Can't say what impacts **will be** But can use **history as a guide**





Example: Fire this August

air quality impacts planning tool

Can't say what impacts **will be** But can use **history as a guide**





Example: Fire this August

air quality impacts planning tool

Can't say what impacts **will be** But can use **history as a guide**





Example: Fire this August

air quality impacts planning tool

Can't say what impacts **will be** But can use **history as a guide**



Probabilistic Guide for Future Augusts



AQUIPT: Accessible through web

air quality impacts planning tool





AQUIPT: Summary

Provide basic source info, it does the rest

Not just fire

Uses 1979-2006 climatology

Provides statistical answer to "what would have happened?

24-hr turnaround

Working on better graphics



January 1,1980 0:00:00 Min= 0.0 at (32,123), Max= 85.1 at (52,137)

January 1,1980 0:00:00 Min= 0.0 at (30,117), Max-100.0 at (53,132)

Smoke and Emissions Model Inter-comparison Project (SEMIP)





getBlueSky.org portal



Next Steps

Linking Regional and National Forecasts

- High res local w/cross-boundary transport.
- Incident response super-res (300m) ?

Model Evaluation

- Model Inter-comparison Project
- Continuing field observations

Plume Rise Studies

• Multiple Cores is Largest Problem

Uncertainty Guides

- Ensembles and scenarios as proxy
- Game-Playing (What-if?)
 - Expose uncertainty / what-if in real-time



+

- Fire Information Improvements
 - Linking Rx, Ag fire w/SMARTFIRE



Thank you

Funding from National Fire Plan, **USDA CSREES NRI**, USFS, Joint Fire Science Program, EPA, DOI, and NASA ROSES DSS.

Our many collaborators and partners, including Ray Knighton. Susan O'Neill.

BlueSky Meeting: May 20-22, Boise.

http://getBlueSky.org

<u>Sim Larkin</u> 206-732-7849 larkin@fs.fed.us

<u>Tara Strand</u> 206-732-7867 tstrand@fs.fed.us



The big picture: not so bad

Bluesky models long-range transport very well, but historically has generally under-predicted.



Which model is best?

Emissions based on using different combinations of fuel loading maps and fuel consumption models



Larkin et al 2008

Plume Rise

- Fires are currently modeled as single plumes, lofting smoke unrealistically high and lowering ground impacts
- In reality, fires are made of many burning areas lofting smoke to various heights



Multiple plumes make it look better Twisp PM2.5 Concentrations



SMARTFIRE FireEvent Development (1 of 2)



SMARTFIRE FireEvent Development (2 of 2)



Wildfire Area Burned Estimates

For the largest fires examined, SMARTFIRE final footprints match very well with final ICS-209 area estimates.

SMARTFIRE tends to overestimate area burned for smaller wildfires.

This relationship appears independent of ecosystem or fuel type.



Wildfire Test Locations



Smaller Fires

ICS-209 report information is not available for many small fires.

- Agricultural burns
- Prescribed fires
- Rangeland fires
- Small wildfires

For these fires, available data sets will be used to validate SMARTFIRE.

The large-scale pattern of satellite detects matches fairly well with this single day of fires from a Florida fire database.

Mismatches may be due to satellite false detects, satellite non-detects, or database errors.



Southern California Fires

- asked by USDA for data
- supplemented other sources (e.g. NWS)
- SMARTFIRE (HMS&ICS) fire info
- CMAQ and CALPUFF model outputs (+NWS HYSPLIT)
- Used: internally by USFS fire resource managers; in Smog Stories and press releases by USDA & AirNow; on White House conf call

