

# TECHNICAL NOTE

---

**USDA - Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Boise, Idaho**

---

**TN RANGE NO. 3**

**JUNE 2009**

## ESTIMATING INITIAL STOCKING RATES

**Dan Ogle, Plant Materials Specialist, NRCS, Boise, ID  
Brendan Brazee, State Rangeland Management Specialist, NRCS, Boise, ID**



*Collecting Production Data Using 9.6 ft<sup>2</sup> Hoop, Clippers, Scale, and Cloth Bag;  
Photo: Brendan Brazee, NRCS, Boise, ID*



## ESTIMATING INITIAL STOCKING RATES

**Dan Ogle, Plant Materials Specialist, NRCS, Boise, ID**  
**Brendan Brazee, State Rangeland Management Specialist, NRCS, Boise, ID**

Stocking rate, defined as, the number of animals allotted to an area for a given length of time is one of the most important grazing management tools a rancher or land manager can manipulate, regardless of the grazing system, vegetation type or kind and class of livestock. Stocking rate has the largest impact on animal performance and the health of the forage resource of all of the management tools available, because it directly influences:

- Animal productivity
- Forage production
- Forage quality
- Species composition over the long term
- Plant physiology
- Profitability of the operation

Establishing a proper stocking rate is critical to maintaining animal performance and optimizing forage performance while also sustaining the health of the land resource over the long term. Factors that affect stocking rate include the animal species, class of livestock (dry cow, lactating cow, bull, steer, etc.), acres available for grazing, rainfall, topography, water distribution, forage species, forage productivity including regrowth characteristics, and facilitating practices such as grazing system, irrigation and fertility program. Effective managers will balance animal performance and forage production over the long term. With this in mind, setting the appropriate initial stocking rate consists of determining (1) how much forage is required by the type and class of animals raised (forage demand); (2) how much forage is produced during the year and how much is available for livestock consumption (available forage); and (3) how long will animals be using the area (duration of grazing).

### FORAGE DEMAND

The basis for measuring forage demand is the *animal unit* (AU), which is defined as the amount of forage required to maintain a 1000-pound cow with calf. Studies have established that an AU requires on average 3.0 percent of the body weight in air dry forage daily (30 pounds per day for a 1000-pound cow). An *animal unit month* (AUM) is the average amount of dry weight forage required by a lactating 1000-pound cow and her calf for one month (30.4 days), or 912.5 pounds.

Not all kinds of livestock or wildlife have the same forage demand as a 1000-pound lactating cow. In addition, forage demand varies within a species depending on its class, i.e., its growth rate (e.g. heifers and steers vs. mature cow), lactation and maintenance (e.g., dry cow vs. cow with calf). For this reason, *animal unit equivalents* (AUE) have been developed to assist with the approximate determination of forage demand based on the kind, class and size of animal (see Table 1).

**TABLE 1**  
**Animal Unit Equivalents (AUEs)**

<u>Domestic Animal Kind-Class</u>	<u>AUE</u>	<u>Wildlife Animal Kind-Class</u>	<u>AUE</u>
Cow – dry	1.00	Antelope	0.10
Cow with calf	1.00	Bison	1.00
Bull – mature	1.25	Deer – whitetail	0.13
Calf – weaned	0.60	Deer – mule	0.17
Steer/Heifer - 2 Years	0.80	Elk	0.48
Sheep – mature ewe or ram	0.20	Goat – mountain	0.14
Sheep – yearling	0.15	Moose	0.83
Goat	0.17	Sheep – bighorn (ewe)	0.14
Horse – mature	1.25- 2.00	Sheep – bighorn (ram)	0.18

For cow herds with animals having a different average weight than the 1000 pound average used above, AUE can be adjusted (i.e., every 100 pounds of animal weight equates to about 0.10 Animals Units thus a 1200-pound cow with a calf would be 1.2 AUE or a 1600 pound bull would be 1.6 AUE).

Example: A land manager needs to determine how much pasture he will need to acquire prior to implementing a brush management project which will require him to defer grazing from June 1<sup>st</sup> through October 30<sup>th</sup> this year. The herd consists of 300 pair of 1100 lbs Angus cross cattle with 15 Angus bulls during July and August.

*Calculation: #Head x AUE x Time in months = AUM's*

$$300 \text{ Cow/calf pairs} \times 1.1 \text{ AUE} \times 5 \text{ months} = 1650 \text{ AUM's}$$

$$15 \text{ Bulls} \times 1.25 \text{ AUE} \times 2 \text{ months} = 38 \text{ AUM's}$$

The manager will need to find a forage supply that will provide approximately 1700 AUM's for the deferment period.

## FORAGE PRODUCTION

The next step in estimating initial stocking rate is to determine the amount of forage being produced. The local climate (temperature and precipitation), soil (texture – depth – fertility) and current vegetation management largely affect total forage production for an area. Total production of forage can be estimated by using simple clipping procedures and converting the green weight estimates to present reconstructed weights. You will need a frame of a known area (Table 2), clippers, paper bags and a scale that measures in grams. Additional information will be needed for reconstruction including degree of use, knowledge of growth curves, and familiarity with typical or “normal” growing season climate variables.

Detailed information on how to collect plant production data can be found in the National Range and Pasture Handbook, Chapter 4 (<http://www.glti.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/publications/nrph.html>) and the Monitoring Manual for Grassland, Shrubland, and Savanna Ecosystems, Volume II Chapter 9 ([http://usda-ars.nmsu.edu/JER/Monit\\_Assess/monitoring\\_main.php](http://usda-ars.nmsu.edu/JER/Monit_Assess/monitoring_main.php))

**TABLE 2**  
**Range Hoop and Square Subplot**  
**Dimensions and Conversion Factors**

<b>9.60 ft<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>1.0 m<sup>2</sup></b>
Radius = 1.75 feet	Radius = 0.564 meter
Hoop Circumference = 10.996 feet	Hoop Circumference = 3.545 meters
Square Plot Dimensions = 3.098 X 3.098 ft	Square Plot Dimensions = 1.0 X 1.0 meter
Conversion Factor = Grams X 10 = lbs/ac	Conversion Factor = Grams X 10 = kg/ha
= Grams X 11.21 = kg/ha	= Grams X 8.93 = lbs/ac
<b>4.80 ft<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.50 m<sup>2</sup></b>
Radius = 1.24 feet	Radius = 0.399 meter
Hoop Circumference = 7.77 feet	Hoop Circumference = 2.51 meters
Square Plot Dimensions = 2.19 X 2.19 ft	Square Plot Dimensions = 0.5 X 1.0 meter
Conversion Factor = Grams X 20 = lbs/ac	Conversion Factor = Grams X 20 = kg/ha
= Grams X 22.42 = kg/ha	= Grams X 17.86 = lbs/ac
<b>2.40 ft<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.25 m<sup>2</sup></b>
Radius = 0.87 feet	Radius = 0.282 meter
Hoop Circumference = 5.498 feet	Hoop Circumference = 1.77 meters
Square Plot Dimensions = 1.55 X 1.55 ft	Square Plot Dimensions = 0.5 X 0.5 meter
Conversion Factor = Grams X 40 = lbs/ac	Conversion Factor = Grams X 40 = kg/ha
= Grams X 44.85 = kg/ha	= Grams X 35.71 = lbs/ac

The size of subplot to use depends on the nature of the area being sampled. Forage production varies between and within pastures and rangeland areas, so efforts to estimate total production should attempt to represent this variation as much as possible. Sites such as pastures that are uniformly vegetated with few species and consistent cover can be adequately sampled with smaller subplots (e.g., 2.4- 4.8 ft<sup>2</sup>). Rangeland ecological sites that have many species and/or are sparsely vegetated require larger subplots (example 9.6 ft<sup>2</sup>) to capture and reflect variation in site. It is recommended to sample at least 10 subplots. Collecting data for additional subplots can also increase the accuracy of the estimates.



*Collecting Yield Data at Coffee Point Test Site – North of Aberdeen, Idaho;*

*Photo: Loren St. John, NRCS, Aberdeen, ID*

## DATA COLLECTION FOR ESTIMATING FORAGE PRODUCTION

### Step 1: Determine Sample Area

The area to be sampled should be representative of the grazing unit. The subplots should be located within the same Ecological Site on rangeland or in areas of similar growth and production potential within pasture systems.

### Step 2: Determine Correction Factor for Clipped/Estimated green weights

Select at least two of the ten subplots to collect clipped data. These subplots should contain a majority of the species found in the sampling area. The clipped weight for each species is then divided by the estimated weight for the clipped subplots. The resulting factor is used to adjust green weight estimates based upon actual weights.

For example, the data collector clipped Idaho fescue in subplots 3 and 7 estimating 15 grams green weight. The clipped weight for the two plots was 17 grams. The correction factor can be multiplied by the average green weight of the ten subplots to determine the corrected green weight.

17gram/13 grams = 1.13, 1.13 x 124 lbs/ac = 140.5 lbs/ac corrected green weight.

See ID-CPA-006 in Appendix C.

### Step 3: Determine Percent Dry Weight

The corrected green weight can be converted to dry weights using estimated dry matter ranges from *Table 3 Green Weight to Dry Weight Conversion*. Appendix A - *Dry Weight Percent of Selected Grasses, Grasslikes, Forbs, Shrubs, and Trees for Idaho* provides a more accurate conversion for most common range species.

**TABLE 3**  
**Green Weight to Dry Weight Conversions**  
**Native Range (Green Wt x Percent = Air Dry Weight)**

<b>Grasses</b>	<b>% Dry Matter</b>	<b>Forbs</b>	<b>% Dry Matter</b>	<b>Deciduous Shrubs</b>	<b>% Dry Matter</b>
Pre-Boot	25-35%	Pre-Bloom	15-25%	New Foliage	25-40%
Full-Bloom	35-45%	Full-Bloom	25-35%	Mature Foliage	40-55%
Soft-Dough	45-55%	Soft-Dough	35-45%	<b>Evergreen Shrubs</b>	<b>% Dry Matter</b>
Hard-Dough	55-60%	Hard-Dough	45-55%	New Foliage	35-55%
Seed-Ripe	60-70%	Seed-Ripe	55-65%	Mature Foliage	55-70%
Drying	70-95%	Drying	65-95%		

**Seeded Pasture (Green Wt x Percent = Air Dry Weight)**

<b>Grasses</b>	<b>% Dry Matter</b>	<b>Forbs/Legumes</b>	<b>% Dry Matter</b>
Pre-Boot	20-35%	Pre-Bloom	15-25%
Full-Bloom	35-45%	Full-Bloom	25-35%
Soft-Dough	45-55%	Soft-Dough	35-45%
Hard-Dough	55-60%	Hard-Dough	45-55%
Seed Ripe	60-70%	Seed Ripe	55-65%
Drying	70-95%	Drying	65-95%

#### **Step 4: Determine Percent Growth Ungrazed**

This is the average percent ungrazed by species for the sample area. For example if a species averages 40% utilization then record 60% for percent growth ungrazed.

#### **Step 5: Determine Percent Growth Curve Complete**

This is the cumulative proportion of growth completed for the current year. The growth adjustment corrects for how much the plant has grown for the year compared against the potential for the year or 100%. Climatic variations are not considered in this step.

#### **Step 6: Determine Percent Normal Production**

This is the effect of growing conditions on individual species. Precipitation timing and amount, temperature, and their relations may have an impact on species production. A value of 100% would be considered normal production.

#### **Step 7: Determine Reconstruction Factor**

The reconstruction factor converts the corrected green weight of sampled vegetation into reconstructed present weight based upon steps 3- 6. This number represents the total expected production for the sample area at the end of the current growing season. The following formula is used, for further example see ID-CPA-006 in Appendix C.

$$\frac{\% \text{ Dry weight}}{(\% \text{ Current Growth Ungrazed})(\% \text{ Growth Curve Complete})(\% \text{ Normal Production})}$$

= Reconstruction Factor x Corrected Green Weight = Reconstructed Present Weight

### **ADJUSTMENTS TO FORAGE PRODUCTION FOR ESTIMATING STOCKING RATE**

When estimating stocking rates it is a good idea to evaluate availability of forage for livestock based upon topography, distance to water, and type or class of livestock in the operation.

Adjustment to the total production for these variables can have a significant effect on stocking rate and can identify opportunities for installation of facilitating practices such as stockwater pipelines and troughs. The total production of a grazing unit can be adjusted based on distance from water and percent slope. Table 4 shows the general guidelines for determining the amount of adjustment. Local knowledge should be used when available to assess if adjustments are reasonable. An example of how percent slope and distance to water can effect estimated stocking rate see ID-CPA-008 in Appendix C.

**Table 4**  
**Distance to Water and Percent Slope Adjustment Factors for Rangeland.**  
**For further guidance see Chapter 5 NRPH**

<b>Distance to Water in feet</b>	<b>Percent Adjustment</b>
<b>2640</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>5280</b>	<b>90%</b>
<b>7920</b>	<b>70%</b>
<b>10560</b>	<b>50%</b>

<b>Percent Slope</b>	<b>Percent Adjustment</b>
<b>0-15</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>15-30</b>	<b>70%</b>
<b>31-60</b>	<b>40%</b>
<b>&gt;60</b>	<b>0%</b>

## **Utilization and Harvest Efficiency**

Plants have a tolerance to grazing, but if herbage removal exceeds a critical point, most plants will lose vigor, produce less and if excessive removal continues, the plants will eventually die. Proper utilization is the approximate point of forage harvest that will not lead to range or pasture deterioration or decreased animal performance. The key to proper utilization is to leave sufficient leaf area to allow the plant to restore depleted energy reserves in response to grazing and thus maintain desirable productivity and composition.

A common starting point or rule for planning an appropriate level of utilization is “take half and leave half” or 50 percent utilization of annual forage production. This utilization includes forage actually consumed by the animal, but also damage to plants caused by trampling, loafing and other non-livestock factors such as loss to insects or utilization by wildlife. Some estimate as much as 25% of total annual production is lost to livestock damage and other competitive uses under low stocking density continuous grazing program. This can be referred to as harvest efficiency which is defined as the percentage of total *annual* standing forage that is consumed by the grazing animal. Harvest efficiency should not be confused with grazing efficiency which refers to the percentage of *allowable* standing forage consumed and results in higher percentages. Harvest efficiencies above 35% have a negative impact on animal performance. Table 5 provides guidance on determining harvest efficiency based upon type of grazing system and management level used for the operation.

An example of how Harvest Efficiency and the rule of thumb “Take Half, Leave Half “ are related.

$$(1000 \text{ lbs/ac} \times 50\% \text{ Use}) - (1000 \text{ lbs/ac} \times 25\% \text{ loss due to trampling, fouling, insects, etc.}) \\ = 500 \text{ lbs/ac} - 250 \text{ lbs/ac} = 250 \text{ lbs/ac of available forage.}$$

To simplify the equation use  $1000 \text{ lbs/ac} \times 25\% \text{ Harvest Efficiency} = 250 \text{ lbs/ac available forage.}$

**Table 5 – Harvest Efficiency**

Grazing Management Level	Harvest Efficiency
Continuous, Season Long	25%
Deferred Rotation, 2+ Pastures	25-30%
Rest Rotation, Multiple Pastures	25-30%
Short Duration , High Intensity	30-35%

## **Animal Performance Considerations**

At low stocking rates, individual animal performance is maximized because animals are free to select high quality forage. Consequently, with low grazing pressure, palatable plant species in under-stocked pastures are at risk of over-utilization, because animals have unrestricted choice and will repeatedly consume the preferred species first (thus the same preferred plants will be grazed over and over again). Furthermore, total animal production per unit area will be low because of fewer animals in the pasture.

As stocking rate increases to a moderate level, individual performance declines. This is because the average forage quality consumed per animal is reduced as a direct result of the increase in animals per unit area. However, total animal production per unit area increases as more animals are carried

per acre. Under normal conditions, a moderate stocking rate will not adversely impact the forage resource.

At high stocking rates, total animal production per area declines as a result of poor individual animal performance. Individual animal performance is poor because each animal in the herd must compete for limited and rapidly diminishing supply of quality forage. As the forage resources diminish, the available nutrients for each animal declines and animals nutrient demand may not be met. Without consideration of other management options such as rapid rotation into ungrazed fields or pastures that have been grazed and have regrown, a reduction in the most palatable species will occur, weedy or undesirable species will increase and a decline in carrying capacity will eventually occur.

## **ADJUSTMENTS WITH MANAGEMENT**

It is the three components of stocking rate – animal numbers, grazing area and grazing period, that managers have the most influence over when making grazing management decisions. A manager can adjust the number of animals, alter pasture size or manipulate the amount of time an area is grazed or the amount of time an area is rested.

The decision to manipulate one or more of the components should be guided by animal and pasture management objectives and economic considerations. Decisions to change animal numbers are most feasible when the area is either under-stocked or over-stocked (i.e., drought could require or necessitate a temporary reduction in herd size to minimize the impact on the reduced forage base). As herd size is changed, the grazing period must be adjusted accordingly to maintain the desired stocking rate.

Adjusting pasture size is not always economically feasible. However, there may be situations when altering pasture configuration or subdividing a single large pasture into smaller units, will improve grazing distribution and animal performance. Several factors should be considered when adjusting pasture size. Decreasing pasture size will require smaller herd numbers or a shorter grazing period. Shorter grazing periods require more intensive management because the margin for error on the time animals are in pasture is increased. Second, increasing pasture size without increasing animal numbers will result in reduced grazing distribution even if the grazing period is increased. Uneven grazing distribution in large pastures leads to patchy grazing with a mixture of under- and over-utilized areas. Eventually over-utilized areas lose desirable plants, productivity and support fewer animals.

The easiest, most flexible and economically feasible component of stocking rate to manipulate is the grazing period. By managing the amount of time a pasture is grazed, a manager can easily and quickly compensate for situations of over-stocking that arise from time to time. For example, short term drought will cause pasture production to be reduced. Decreasing the grazing period for each grazing unit can temporarily prevent over-grazing without reducing animal numbers.

## **PLANNING GRAZING PERIOD**

Once the estimated carrying capacity has been determined for the ranch, the amount of time a group of animals spends in each pasture should be determined to complete the process of setting the initial stocking rate. The amount of time spent by livestock in each pasture depends largely on the grazing area itself, the type of operation and the management goals of the operation.

Stocking rates are commonly expressed as the number of animal units (AU) per unit time per unit area (usually an acre). Operations that use large pastures or grazing units typically base stocking rates on months to be most useful. For example each pasture's stocking rate may be expressed as animal unit months per acre (AUMs/Acre) or acres per animal unit month (Acres/AUM). Whereas, operations that use smaller pastures may find the numbers of days (D) a pasture can support a particular number of animals (AUDs/Acre) to be more useful.

The carrying capacity of a unit of land is commonly expressed in animal unit months (AUMs). An AUM is the measure of the forage supply within the management unit, based on the amount required to support an animal unit (AU) for one month. The value of determining the carrying capacity for the ranch, pasture or management unit is that it connects forage supply with forage consumption and is thus the absolute foundation to proper grazing management.

## REFERENCES

Herrick, J.E et all. 2005 Monitoring Manual for Grassland, Shrubland, and Savanna Ecosystems Volumes I and II. USDA-ARS Jornada Experimental Range Las Cruces, NM

Jensen, Kevin, Howard Horton, Ron Reed and Ralph Whitesides. 2001. *Intermountain Planting Guide*. USDA, ARS and Utah State University. Pages 58- 59.

Ogle, D., L. St. John, M. Stannard, and L. Holzworth. 2008. *Grass, Grass-Like, Forb, Legume and Woody Species for the Intermountain West*. Natural Resources Conservation Service. Boise, Idaho. Idaho Plant Materials Technical Note No. 24. 43p.

Ogle, D., L. St. John, J. Cornwell, M. Stannard, L. Holzworth. 2008. *Pasture and Range Seedings; Planning-Installation-Evaluation-Management*. Natural Resources Conservation Service. Boise, Idaho. Idaho Plant Materials Technical Note No. 10. 36p.

Pratt, Mindy and G.A. Rasmussen. 2001. *Calculating Available Forage*. Utah State University Extension. Range Management Fact Sheet NR/RM/03. 8p.

Rintamaki, Richard C., 1988. *Forage Utilization by Game Species*. Natural Resources Conservation Service. Casper, Wyoming. Wyoming Biology Technical Note No. 37. 4p.

Shewmaker, G.E. et. al. 2005. *Idaho Forage Handbook*. Third Addition. University of Idaho Bulletin 547. 99p.

Stoddart, L.A., and A.D. Smith. 1955. *Range Management*. Second Edition. McGraw Hill Book Company. Page 2.

Thorne, M.S. and M.H. Stevenson. 2007. *Stocking Rate: The Most Important Tool in the Toolbox*. University of Hawaii, Cooperative Extension Service Bulletin PRM-4. 10p.

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. *National Range and Pasture Handbook – Chapter 4 Inventory and Monitoring*.



## Appendix A

**Dry Weight Percent of Selected Grasses, Grasslikes, Forbs, Shrubs, and Trees for Idaho**



# DRY WEIGHT PERCENT OF SELECTED GRASSES, GRASSLIKES, FORBS, SHRUBS, AND TREES FOR IDAHO

Modified : Dan Ogle, Plant Materials Specialist & Brendan Brazee, Rangeland Management Specialist Idaho NRCS

Version : Feb-2009

## GRASS AND GRASSLIKES

### GRASS PHENOLOGICAL STAGE CLASSIFICATION:

- 1 -GREEN LEAVES BEFORE BOOT
- 2- BOOT STAGE
- 3- SEED SOFT DOUGH TO RIPE
- 4- SEED DESIMINATION
- 5- WINTER DORMANCY CURED

## INTRODUCED COOL-SEASON PERENNIAL GRASS

PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5	PRESENT SCIENTIFIC NAME	HISTORIC SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
AGCR	25-30	40-45	50-55	60-65	85-90	<i>Agropyron cristatum</i>	<i>Agropyron cristatum</i>	Fairway crested wheatgrass
AGDE2	25-30	40-45	50-55	60-65	85-90	<i>Agropyron desertorum</i>	<i>Agropyron desertorum</i>	Standard crested wheatgrass
AGCRxAGDE2	25-30	40-45	50-55	60-65	85-90	<i>A. cristatum x A. desertorum</i>		Hycrest crested wheatgrass
AGFR	25-30	40-45	50-55	60-65	85-90	<i>Agropyron fragile</i>	<i>Agropyron sibiricum</i>	Siberian wheatgrass
ALAR	20-25	35-40	45-50	55-60	80-90	<i>Alopecurus arundinaceus</i>	<i>Alopecurus arundinaceus</i>	Creeping foxtail
ARELE	20-25	35-40	40-45	50-55	75-85	<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i> var. <i>elatius</i>	<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i>	Tall oatgrass
BRER3	20-25	35-40	40-45	50-55	75-85	<i>Bromus erectus</i>	<i>Bromus riparius</i>	Meadow brome
BRIN2	20-25	35-40	40-45	50-55	75-85	<i>Bromus inermis</i>	<i>Bromus inermis</i>	Smooth brome
DAGL	20-25	30-35	40-45	50-55	75-85	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Orchardgrass
FETR3	25-35	40-45	45-50	55-60	75-85	<i>Festuca trachyphylla</i>	<i>Festuca ovina duriuscula</i>	Hard fescue
FEOV	25-35	40-45	45-50	55-60	75-85	<i>Festuca ovina</i>	<i>Festuca ovina</i>	Sheep fescue
LOPE	25-30	40-45	45-55	55-60	75-85	<i>Lolium perenne</i>	<i>Lolium perenne</i>	Perennial ryegrass
PHAR3	20-25	40-45	50-55	55-60	75-85	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	Reed canarygrass
PHPR3	20-25	35-40	45-55	55-65	80-90	<i>Phleum pratensis</i>	<i>Phleum pratensis</i>	Timothy
POPR	20-25	35-40	45-50	55-60	75-85	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	Kentucky bluegrass
SCPH	20-25	35-40	45-50	55-60	75-85	<i>Schedonorus phoenix</i>	<i>Festuca arundinacea</i>	Tall fescue
THIN6	25-30	40-45	50-55	55-60	75-85	<i>Thinopyrum intermedium</i>	<i>Agropyron intermedium</i>	Intermediate wheatgrass
THIN6	25-30	40-45	50-55	55-60	75-85	<i>Thinopyrum intermedium</i>	<i>Agropyron trichophorum</i>	Pubescent wheatgrass
THPO7	25-30	40-45	50-55	60-65	85-90	<i>Thinopyrum ponticum</i>	<i>Agropyron elongatum</i>	Tall wheatgrass
PSJU3	20-25	35-40	45-50	55-65	70-85	<i>Psathyrostachys juncea</i>	<i>Elymus junceus</i>	Russian wildrye

## NATIVE COOL-SEASON PERENNIAL GRASS

PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5	PRESENT SCIENTIFIC NAME	HISTORIC SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
ACHY	30-35	45-50	50-55	60-75	80	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	<i>Oryzopsis hymenoides</i>	Indian ricegrass
ACLE9	25-30	40-45	50-55	60-65	70+	<i>Achnatherum lettermanii</i>	<i>Stipa lettermani</i>	Letterman needlegrass
ACNEN2	25-30	40-45	50	55-60	75+	<i>Achnatherum nelsonii</i> ssp. <i>nelsonii</i>	<i>Stipa columbiana</i>	Columbia needlegrass
ACTH7	25-30	40-45	50-55	60-65	80+	<i>Achnatherum thurberianum</i>	<i>Stipa thurberiana</i>	Thurber needlegrass
BRMA4	20-25	35-40	40-45	50-75	65-85	<i>Bromus marginatus</i>	<i>Bromus marginatus</i>	Mountain brome
CARU	25-30	35-40	40-45	45-50		<i>Calamagrostis rubescens</i>	<i>Calamagrostis rubescens</i>	Pinegrass
DECA18						<i>Deschampsia cespitosa</i>	<i>Deschampsia cespitosa</i>	Tufted hairgrass
ELEL5	25-35	45-50	55-60	65-70	85-90	<i>Elymus elymoides</i>	<i>Sitanion hystrix</i>	Bottlebrush squirreltail
ELGL	25	35	40	75	75-85	<i>Elymus glaucus</i>	<i>Elymus glaucus</i>	Blue wildrye
ELLA3	25-30	45-50	53-56	60-65	80-90	<i>Elymus lanceolatus</i> ssp. <i>lanceolatus</i>	<i>Agropyron riparium</i>	Streambank wheatgrass
ELLAL	25	45-50	55-60	60-65	85-90	<i>Elymus lanceolatus</i> ssp. <i>lanceolatus</i>	<i>Agropyron dasystachyum</i>	Thickspike wheatgrass
ELTRT	25-30	40-45	50-55	60-65	75-90	<i>Elymus trachycaulus</i> ssp. <i>trachycaulus</i>	<i>Agropyron trachyculum</i>	Slender wheatgrass
ELWA2	25-30	40-50	50-55	55-65	80-90	<i>Elymus wawawaiensis</i>	<i>Agropyron spicatum</i>	Snake River wheatgrass
FEID	25-35	40	45-50	50-60	75-85	<i>Festuca idahoensis</i>	<i>Festuca idahoensis</i>	Idaho fescue
HECO26	25-35	40-50	50-55	60-65	70+	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	<i>Stipa comata</i>	Needle & Thread
KOMA	20-35	38-50	50-55	60-65	75-85	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	<i>Koeleria cristata</i>	Prairie junegrass
LECI4	25-30	45-50	50-55	60-65	65-80	<i>Leymus cinereus</i>	<i>Elymus cinereus</i>	Basin wildrye
LESAS				70	90	<i>Leymus salinus</i> ssp. <i>salmonis</i>	<i>Elymus salina</i>	Salmon wildrye
MEBU	20-30	40-45	45-50	50-55	80-85	<i>Melica bulbosa</i>	<i>Melica bulbosa</i>	Oniongrass
PASM	25-35	45-55	53-58	60-65	70-90	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	<i>Agropyron smithii</i>	Western wheatgrass
POFE	25-35	45		50-60	90-95	<i>Poa fendleriana</i>	<i>Poa fendleriana</i>	Mutongrass
POSE	25-30	38-45	50-55	60-65	70+	<i>Poa secunda</i>	<i>Poa ampla</i>	Big bluegrass
POSE	20-30	38-50	50-55	60-65	70+	<i>Poa secunda</i>	<i>Poa nevadensis</i>	Nevada bluegrass
POSE	25-30	40-45	50-55	55-60	65-90	<i>Poa secunda</i>	<i>Poa secunda</i>	Sandberg bluegrass
PSSPI	25-30	35-40	45	50-60	80-90	<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i> spp. <i>inermis</i>	<i>Agropyron inerme</i>	Beardless wheatgrass
PSSPS	25-30	40-50	50-55	55-65	80-90	<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i> ssp. <i>spicata</i>	<i>Agropyron spicatum</i>	Bluebunch wheatgrass

## NATIVE WARM-SEASON PERENNIAL GRASS

PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5	PRESENT SCIENTIFIC NAME	HISTORIC SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
ARPUL	28-35	40-45	50-55	60-65	85-90	<i>Aristida purpurea</i> var. <i>longiseta</i>	<i>Aristida longiseta</i>	Red threeawn
SPCR	30-45	40-50	50	60-70	90	<i>Sporobolus cryptandrus</i>	<i>Sporobolus cryptandrus</i>	Sand dropseed

INTRODUCED COOL-SEASON ANNUAL GRASS					
PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5
BRAR5				56	
BRRU2				70	
BRTE	20-30	35-50	50-55	60-65	85-90
					Bromus tectorum
					Bromus tectorum
					Cheatgrass

NATIVE COOL-SEASON ANNUAL GRASS					
PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5
VUOCG				80	
					Vulpia octoflora
					Festuca octoflora
					Sixweeks fescue

GRASSLIKE					
PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5
CAFI	30	49	55	60-67	80
CAGE2	40	50	55	60	75
ELEOC				38	
JUNCO	20	40-45	55-60		
					Juncus balticus
					Juncus spp
					Wiregrass, Baltic rush

### FORBS

#### FORB PHENOLOGICAL STAGE CLASSIFICATION:

- 1- GREEN BEFORE FLOWERING
- 2 - FULL BLOOM PETALS FALLING
- 3 - FRUIT RIPENING
- 4 - FRUIT RIPE OR FALL DORMANCY
- 5 - SEED DESIMINATION OR WINTER DORMANCY

### INTRODUCED FORBS/LEGUMES

PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5	PRESENT SCIENTIFIC NAME	HISTORIC SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
COAR4		35				Convolvulus arvensis	Convolvulus spp	Field bindweed
CYOF	20	35		90		Cynoglossum officinale	Cynoglossum officinale	Houndstonque
SAKA	30	50		100		Salsola kali	Salsola kali	Russian thistle
SATR12	25	30	45	50	65	Salsola tragus	Salsola tenuifolia	Prickly Russian thistle
TRDU	33					Tragopogon dubius	Tragopogon dubius	Salsify
TRLA30	30	50		75		Tragopogon lamottei	Tragopogon pratensis	Goatsbeard
ASCI4	20	30				Astragalus cicer	Astragalus cicer	Cicer milkvetch
ERCI6		40		60		Erodium cicutarium	Erodium spp	Alfileraria
MESA	20	30		39-42		Medicago sativa	Medicago sativa	Alfalfa
MELIL	20	30				Melilotus	Melilotus spp.	Sweetclover
ONVI	20	30				Onobrychis sativa	Onobrychis sativa	Sainfoin

### NATIVE PERENNIAL FORBS

PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5	PRESENT SCIENTIFIC NAME	HISTORIC SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
ACMI2		31-49				Achillea millefolium	Achillea millefolium	Western yarrow
AGUR	25	30-40	45			Agastache urticifolia	Agastache urticifolia	Horsemint/hyssop
AGGL	17-20	45				Agoseris glauca	Agoseris pumila	Mountain dandelion
ALAC4	15	20-40		70	70-90	Allium acuminatum	Allium acuminatum	Onion
ANRO2	35	55		85		Antennaria rosea	Antennaria rosea	Pussytoes
ARLU	18			43-45	65+	Artemisia ludoviciana	Artemisia ludoviciana	Louisiana sagewort
ASCO9			47			Astragalus columbianus	Astragalus columbia	Columbia vetch
ASSP4	31					Astragalus spaldingii	Astrogalus spaldingii	Milkvetch, spalding's
BASA3	17-32	27-36	35-45	45-50	65+	Balsamorhiza sagittata	Balsamorhiza sagittata	Arrowleaf balsamroot
CAAN7		27	30	35	50+	Castilleja angustifolia	Castilleja spp.	Indian paintbrush
COUMP	20	50				Comandra umbellata ssp. pallida	Comandra palida	Bastard toadflax
CRCA2	20-25	30-40	35	40	50	Crepis acuminata	Crepis acuminata	Tapertip hawksbeard
CRFL5				58		Cryptantha flava	Cryptantha flava	Yellow cryptantha
DEOC	22	28	30	35	50	Delphinium occidentale	Delphinium spp.	Tall larkspur
DENU2	25	30	35	40	50	Delphinium nuttallianum	Delphinium spp.	Low larkspur
DICA14				70		Dichelostemma capitatum	Dicheloattemma spp	Bluedicks
ERCH4					60-100	Erigeron chrysopsidis	Erigeron chrysopsidis	Dwarf yellow fleabane
ERC07			50			Erigeron concinnus	Erigeron pumulus	Low Fleabane
ERSP4	22	25	33	35	55	Erigeron speciosus	Erigeron speciosus	Daisies
ERHE2	45-50		67-70	90		Eriogonum heracleoides	Eriogonum heraculoides	Wyeth buckwheat

ERUM	20-25	30-40	46	50-55	65+	Eriogonum umbellatum	Eriogonum umbellatum	Sulphur-flower buckwheat
FRSP		20	20			Fraseria speciosa	Fraseria speciosa	Elkweed
GABO2	17-20			45-50	85	Galium boreale	Galium boreale	Bedstraw
GEMA4	20					Geum macrophyllum	Geum macrophyllum	Largeleaf avens
GETR		39				Geum triflorum	Geum triflorum	Old Man's Whiskers
HEUN	20	30-35	38-45	50-55	65+	Helianthella uniflora	Helianthella uniflora	Oneflower sunflower
HEMA80	20	20	20	22	30	Heracleum maximum	Heracleum lanatum	Cow parsnip
HECH	40					Heuchera chlorantha	Heuchera spp.	Alumroot
HICY	20					Hieracium cynoglossoides	Hieracium cynoglossoides	Houndstongue hawkweed
HISCA	15-20	25-30		35-40	65+	Hieracium scouleri var. albertinum	Hieracium albertinum	Hawkweed
LIPU11				60		Leptodactylon pungens	Leptodactylon pungens	Granite gilia
LOMA3	15-20	20-25	26-30	37	50	Lomatium macrocarpum	Lomatium spp.	Biscuitroot
LUARM4					57	Lupinus arbustus	Lupinus laxiflorus	Spur Lupine
LESU4			32		39	Lupinus sericeus	Lupinus sericeus	Silky Lupine
LUPIN	18-25	25-30	30-35	40-45	50-90	Lupinus	Lupinus spp.	Lupine
MEAR6	15-18	20-25	22	30	50-75	Mertensia arizonica	Mertensia leonardii	Bluebells
OSOC	15-18	21	25	30	50-70	Osmorhiza occidentalis	Osmorhiza occidentalis	Sweet anise
PELO		60				Pectis longipes	Pectis longipes	Longstalk cinchweed
PEBA2	13-20	25	30-35	35-40	50-75	Penstemon barbatus	Penstemon barbatus	Beardlip penstemon
PELI2		50				Penstemon linarioides	Penstemon linarioides	Toadflax penstemon
ACNA2				64		Acourtia nana	Perezia nana	Dwarf desertpeony
PHHO	35	50		75		Phlox hoodii	Phlox hoodii	Hoods phlox
PHLO2	20-25	35-40	50		70-80	Phlox longifolia	Phlox longifolia	Longleaf phlox
POFO	15	20-30	30	35-50	60+	Polemonium foliosissimum	Polemonium foliosissimum	Jacobs ladder
POAR7	50					Potentilla arguta	Potentilla arguta	Galley cinquefoil
POGR9	44	50				Potentilla gracilis	Potentilla gracilis	Northwest cinquefoil
POTEN	15-20	25	30-35	38-45	55+	Potentilla	Potentilla spp.	Cinquefoil
RUOC2	20	25-35		30-40	55-70	Rudbeckia occidentalis	Rudbeckia occidentalis	Coneflower
PACA15		24				Packera cana	Senecio canus	Wooly groundsel
SESE2	15-20	25-30	35	40	55+	Senecio serra	Senecio serre	Butterweed
SOMI2	30					Solidago Missouriensis	Solidago Missouriensis	Missouri goldenrod
PSJA2	23	25	30	31	90	Pseudostellaria jamesiana	Stellaria jamesiana	Starwort
TAOF	20	25				Taraxacum officinale	Taraxacum officinale	Dandelion
THFE	23	30	36	40	70	Thalictrum fendleri	Thalictrum fendleri	Meadow rue
TRRA5	30					Tragia ramosa	Tragia spp	Noseburn
VAOC2		20		25		Valeriana occidentalis	Valeriana occidentalis	Valerian
HEMUM	30	55		90		Helimeris multiflora var. multiflora	Viguiera multiflora	Showy goldeneye
VIOLA	15-20	20-25	30	38		Viola	Viola spp.	Violet
WYAM	20-25	25-30	35	40	55+	Wyethia amplexicaulis	Wyethia amplexicaulis	Mulesear

#### NATIVE BIENNIAL/ANNUAL FORB

PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5	PRESENT SCIENTIFIC NAME	HISTORIC SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
ARCA12	30-35	65		80-85		Artemisia campestris ssp. borealis	Artemisia spp.	Sageworts
CHAL7	29					Chenopodium album	Chenopodium spp	Lambsquarters
CRSE11	50					Croton setigerus	Croton spp	Dove seed
ERFL	30					Erigeron flagellaris	Erigeron flagellaris	Trailing daisy
GEV12	20	38	30-35	40-45	55-70	Geranium viscosissimum	Geranium viscosissimum	Sticky geranium
HEAN3	25	50		95		Helianthus annuus	Helianthus annuus	Annual sunflower
LALOCO	25	45		95		Lappula occidentalis var. occidentalis	Lappula redowskii	Stickseed
ORLU2	15	20	25	35	45+	Orthocarpus luteus	Orthocarpus spp.	Owl-clover
PODO4	25	40		85		Polygonum douglasii	Polygonum douglasii	Knotweed
SEIN2	15-20	23-30	30-40	40-45	55+	Senecio integerrimus	Senecio integerrimus	Lambstongue
SOAM	20					Solanum americanum	Solanum nigrum	Black nightshade
SPCO	40-45	55		80-90		Sphaeralcea coccinea	Sphaeralcea coccinea	Scarlet globemallow
THIN			50			Thelypodium integrifolium	thelypodium integrifolium	Entire leaved thelypod
AMRE		20				Amaranthus retroflexus	Amaranthus spp	Red root
AMTE3				80		Amsinckia tessellata	Amsinckia spp	Fiddle neck
ERIN4				70		Eriogonum inflatum	Eriogonum inflatum	Indian pipe weed
LAPPU				85		Lappula	Lappula spp	Stick seed
PLOV				75		Plantago ovata	Plantago spp	Indian wheat
POHA5		10		50		Portulaca halimoides	Portulaca spp	Purslane

#### NATIVE VINE

PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5	PRESENT SCIENTIFIC NAME	HISTORIC SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
LABR	15-20		25-40		50-80	Lathyrus brachycalyx	Lathyrus spp.	Peavine, Bonneville pea
VIAM	20	25-30		75		Vicia americana	Vicia americana	American vetch
HULU	30			80		Humulus lupulus	Humulus spp	Hop

**TREE/SHRUB/SUBSHRUB**
**SHRUB PHENOLOGICAL STAGE CLASSIFICATION:**

- 1 - GREEN LEAVES ONLY OR FULL LEAF STAGE  
 2 - FLOWERS IN BUD, GREEN FLOWERING STAGE  
 3 - FLOWERS OPEN OR FRUIT DROP  
 4 - SEED MATURITY OR FALL DORMANCY \* = GREEN FRUIT WT  
 5 - WINTER DORMANCY OR CURED LEAVES \*\* = DRY FRUIT WEIGHT

**NATIVE SHRUB**

PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5	PRESENT SCIENTIFIC NAME	HISTORIC SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
ARAR8	45	60	54-70	60-75		<i>Artemisia arbuscula</i>	<i>Artemisia arbuscula</i>	Low sagebrush
ARNO4	40	55	50-75	60-75		<i>Artemisia nova</i>	<i>Artemisia nova</i>	Black sagebrush
ARTR2	35-55	40-65	50	55-75	60-90	<i>Artemisia tridentata</i>	<i>Artemisia tridentata</i>	Big sagebrush
ARTRW8	75	61				<i>Artemisia tridentata</i> ssp. <i>wyomingensis</i>	<i>Artemisia tridentata wyomingensis</i>	Wyoming big sagebrush
ARTR4	40		38-50			<i>Artemisia tripartita</i>	<i>Artemisia tripartita</i>	Threetip sagebrush
ARCA13	35	50	70			<i>Artemisia cana</i>	<i>Artemisia cana</i>	Silver sagebrush
ARFI2	40	55	75			<i>Artemisia filifolia</i>	<i>Artemisia filifolia</i>	Sand sagebrush
ATCA2	58			60		<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	Fourwing saltbush
ATCO	40	60	75			<i>Atriplex confertifolia</i>	<i>Atriplex confertifolia</i>	Shadscale
Cespp				70		<i>Ceanothus</i> species	<i>Ceanothus</i> species	Snowbrush
ERNAO	30-40	45-50	55-60	65	70+	<i>Ericameria nauseosa</i> ssp. <i>consimilis</i>	<i>Chrysanthemum nauseosus</i>	Rubber rabbitbrush
CHVI8	30	37-45	50-60	65	70+	<i>Chrysanthemum viscidiflorus</i>	<i>Chrysanthemum viscidiflorus</i>	Green rabbitbrush
EPVI	10			55		<i>Ephedra viridis</i>	<i>Ephedra</i> spp	Mormon-tea
KRLA2	20-25	60-67	65			<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	<i>Eurotia lanata</i>	Winterfat
FLCE				63		<i>Flourensia cernua</i>	<i>Flourensia cernua</i>	Tar bush
HODI	50					<i>Holodiscus discolor</i>	<i>Holodiscus discolor</i>	Oceanspray
MENOD		40		50		<i>Menodora</i>	<i>Menodora</i> spp	Twinberry
NOLIN				60		<i>Nolina</i>	<i>Nolina</i> spp	Beargrass (leaves only)
OPUNT				30		<i>Opuntia</i>	<i>Opuntia</i> spp	Pricklypear (fruit only)
OPUNT	10	15	13-20	10*	70**	<i>Opuntia</i>	<i>Opuntia</i> spp.	Pricklypear
PHLE4	33					<i>Philadelphus lewisii</i>	<i>Philadelphus lewisii</i>	Mockorange
PHMA5				74		<i>Physocarpus malvaceus</i>	<i>Physocarpus malvaceus</i>	Ninebark
PUTR2	30-35	40-45	55-65	65		<i>Purshia tridentata</i>	<i>Purshia tridentata</i>	Bitterbrush
RHTR				50		<i>Rhus trilobata</i>	<i>Rhus trilobata</i>	Skunkbush sumac
RIBES			45			<i>Ribes</i>	<i>Ribes</i>	Currant
ROWO	20-25	35	35-50	50*	85**	<i>Rosa woodsii</i>	<i>Rosa</i> spp.	Rose
SAVE4	35	38-45	60			<i>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</i>	<i>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</i>	Greasewood
SEFLF				20*		<i>Senecio flaccidus</i>	<i>Senecio longilobus</i>	Woolly groundsel
SYAL	25-30	35-40	65	30-40*	85**	<i>Symphoricarpos albus</i>	<i>Symphoricarpos</i> spp	Snowberry
TECA2				55	70	<i>Tetradymia canescens</i>	<i>Tetradymia canescens</i>	Horsebrush

**NATIVE TREE/SHRUB**

PLANT CODE	1	2	3	4	5	PRESENT SCIENTIFIC NAME	HISTORIC SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
ACGL	30					<i>Acer glabrum</i>	<i>Acer glabrum</i>	Rocky Mtn. maple
AMAL2	35	45	85	30*	85**	<i>Amelanchier alnifolia</i>	<i>Amelanchier alnifolia</i>	Serviceberry
CEVE	35	45	50	65		<i>Ceanothus velutinus</i>	<i>Ceanothus velutinus</i>	Snowbrush
JUOS			58			<i>Juniperus osteosperma</i>	<i>Juniperus osteosperma</i>	Utah Juniper
JUSC2	45	55	60	35*	85**	<i>Juniperus scopulorum</i>	<i>Juniperus scopulorum</i>	Rocky Mountain Juniper
POTR5	20	20	37-50	52-56		<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Quaking aspen
PREM			43	69		<i>Prunus emarginata</i>	<i>Prunus emarginata</i>	Bitter-cherry
PRVI	30	40-46	65	40*	90**	<i>Prunus virginiana</i>	<i>Prunus virginiana</i>	Chokecherry
SALIX		30				<i>Salix</i> spp.	<i>Salix</i> spp.	Willow
SANIC6	15	45	60	30*	80**	<i>Sambucus cerulea</i>	<i>Sambucus cerulea</i>	Elderberry

## **Appendix B**

### **Relative Forage Preference of Plants for Grazing Use by Season**











## Appendix C

### Example Calculations and Related Documents

The following is an example of how to calculate estimated stocking rates from data collected during the inventory process. Maps are included for reference.

- **ID-CPA-006 Similarity Index Worksheet** – This form estimates total annual production for the Loamy 16+ ecological site found on the Summer Place grazing unit.

To calculate AUM's/acre based upon total production use this formula:

$$\text{AUM's/ac} = \text{Total Production (lbs per acre)} / 912.5 \text{ lbs per AUM} \times \% \text{ Harvest Efficiency (HE)} \times \text{Acres}$$

$$\text{AUM's/ac} = 1157 \text{ lbs per acre} / 912.5 \text{ lbs per AUM} \times 25\% \text{ HE}$$

$$= 0.32 \text{ AUM's/ac}$$

- **ID-CPA-013 Stocking Rate and Forage Value Rating** – This form estimates stocking rate by plant preference ratings. Harvest efficiencies are applied to total production by species based upon animal preference values by season. Harvest efficiency (HE) for preferred is 35%, desirable is 25%, and undesirable 15%.

To calculate use total production values from ID-CPA-006 and separate by Plant preference as shown on the ID-CPA-013.

For Cattle we would use 1157 lbs/ac for the Loamy 16+ ecological site divided into the three categories:

$$\text{AUM's/ac} = \frac{\text{(preferred production} \times 35\% \text{ HE)} + (\text{desirable production} \times 25\% \text{ HE)} + (\text{undesirable production} \times 15\% \text{ HE})}{912.5 \text{ lbs per AUM}}$$

$$\text{AUM's/ac} = \frac{(334 \text{ lbs per acre} \times 35\% \text{ HE}) + (631 \text{ lbs per acre} \times 25\% \text{ HE}) + (175 \text{ lbs per acre} \times 15\% \text{ HE})}{912.5 \text{ lbs per AUM}}$$

$$\text{AUM's/ac} = 300.9 / 912.5$$

$$= 0.33 \text{ AUM's/ac}$$

- **ID-CPA-008 Range & Pasture Computation Worksheet** – This form allows you to summarize data collected and provide for additional adjustment to estimated stocking rates due to slope percent and distance to water. By using response units a manager or conservation planner may be able to identify opportunities to improve access to available resources. For this example the response units are composed of Ecological Site, Distance to Water, and % Slope.

For the Summer Place grazing unit we can estimate that there are 548 total AUM's available.

Now that we have calculated an estimated amount for total AUM's at the summer place we can determine the time and numbers of livestock that the grazing unit can support. Remember that these are only initial estimates with actual stocking rates adjusted according to monitoring data in conjunction with actual use, climate, and other pertinent data.

### **Example 1 – How Many?**

The owner of the Summer Place grazing unit would like to know how many head he can graze from July 1<sup>st</sup> to August 30<sup>th</sup> in this pasture. The base herd of the ranch consists of Angus cross cattle averaging about 1200 lbs.

$$AUM = \# Head \times AUE \times Time (months)$$

$$548 \text{ AUM's} = \# \text{ Head} \times 1.2 \text{ AU} \times 2 \text{ Months}$$

$$548 \text{ AUM's} = \# \text{ Head} \times 2.4 \text{ AUM}$$

$$548 \text{ AUM's} / 2.4 \text{ AUM's} = 228.33 \text{ or } 229 \text{ Head from July 1}^{\text{st}} \text{ to August 30}^{\text{th}}$$

### **Example 2 – How long?**

The owner of the Summer Place would like to know how long he can put 300 Angus cross cows and 12 Bulls in this unit. The AUE for the cows will remain at 1.2 and the Bulls should be 1.25 AU.

$$AUM = \# Head \times AUE \times Time (months)$$

$$548 \text{ AUM's} = (300 \text{ Head} \times 1.2 \text{ AU}) + (12 \text{ Head} \times 1.25 \text{ AU}) \times Time$$

$$548 \text{ AUM's} = (360 \text{ AU} + 15 \text{ AU}) \times Time$$

$$548 \text{ AUM's} = 375 \text{ AU} \times Time$$

$$548 \text{ AUM's} / 375 \text{ AU} = 1.46 \text{ Months}$$


$$1.46 \text{ Months} \times 30.4 \text{ Days per Month} (365 \text{ day per year} / 12 \text{ months})$$

$$44.38 \text{ Or } 44 \text{ Days which would be from July 1}^{\text{st}} \text{ through August 13}^{\text{th}}$$

# Calculating Stocking Rates Inventory of Ecological Sites and Production



1- Summer Place  
Range  
2363 Ac.



## Legend


Unit Boundary	South Slope Loamy
Watering Facility	Stony Loam
Rock Outcrop	Shallow Claypan
	Loamy 16+



# Calculating Stocking Rates Adjustments - Distance to Water Percent of Forage Available



1- Summer Place  
Range  
2363 Ac.



## Legend

Unit Boundary

### Watering Facility

- 0-0.5 mile to Reliable Water
- 0.5-1 mile to Reliable Water
- 1-1.5 miles to Reliable Water
- 1.5-2 miles to Reliable Water


1:24,000



# Calculating Stocking Rates Adjustments - Slope Percent of Forage Available



1- Summer Place  
Range  
2363 Ac.



## Legend

Unit Boundary

Watering Facility

Slopes 0-15%

Slopes 31-60%


1:24,000



# Calculating Stocking Rates Determining Response Units

Combination of Ecological Sites, Distance to Water, and % Slope

1- Summer Place  
Range  
2363 Ac.



## Legend

<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> </span>	Unit Boundary
<span style="color: blue;">●</span>	Watering Facility
<span style="border-top: 1px dashed blue; border-bottom: 1px dashed blue; padding: 2px;"> </span>	0-0.5 mile to Reliable Water
<span style="border-top: 1px dashed red; border-bottom: 1px dashed red; padding: 2px;"> </span>	0.5-1 mile to Reliable Water
<span style="border-top: 1px dashed purple; border-bottom: 1px dashed purple; padding: 2px;"> </span>	1-1.5 miles to Reliable Water
<span style="border-top: 1px dashed gold; border-bottom: 1px dashed gold; padding: 2px;"> </span>	1.5-2 miles to Reliable Water
<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">////</span>	Slopes 0-15%
<span style="border: 1px solid red; padding: 2px;">////</span>	Slopes 31-60%
<span style="background-color: red; color: white; padding: 2px;"> </span>	Rock Outcrop
<span style="background-color: orange; color: white; padding: 2px;"> </span>	South Slope Loamy
<span style="background-color: green; color: white; padding: 2px;"> </span>	Stony Loam
<span style="background-color: pink; color: white; padding: 2px;"> </span>	Shallow Claypan
<span style="background-color: yellow; color: white; padding: 2px;"> </span>	Loamy 16+

1:24,000







## Prescribed Grazing - 528

### Similarity Index Worksheet

ID-CPA-006

Idaho

Natural Resources Conservation Service

February 2008

#### Large Plot Extension Sheet

A												A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B	C*	D*	E*	F*	G	H	I	J	
Plant Information	Number of Plots in Transect: 2											Average Plot/ Estimated Green Wt. (lbs/ac)	Plot Size 436	Weight Clipped Plots			Clip/ Estimated corrected green weight (lbs/ac)	% dry weight	% current growth ungrazed	%growth curve completed	%of normal product- ion	(d)(e)(f)	Reconstructed present weight (lbs/ac)	Reconstructed present state (lbs/ac)	Weight in reference state (lbs/ac)	Weight allowable (lbs/ac)
	Estimated or Clipped Weight Per Species														Estimated Weight	Clipped Weight	Clipped/ Estimated plot conversion factor									
Plant Name	P-1	P-2	P-3	P-4	P-5	P-6	P-7	P-8	P-9	P-10																
ATTRV	1800	2200									440.0	0.22	1	1	1.00	440.0	65%	90%	80%	100%	0.9	397.2	220	220.0		
CHV18	600	400									110.0	0.22	1	1	1.00	110.0	65%	90%	80%	100%	0.9	99.3	22	22.0		
SYAL	550	500									115.5	0.22	1	1	1.00	115.5	60%	90%	85%	100%	0.8	90.6	22	22.0		
PUTR	350	250									66.0	0.22	1	1	1.00	66.0	65%	85%	90%	100%	0.8	56.1	155	56.1		
Remarks:																										
																		Reconstructed Present Total Weight lbs/ac.			643.2					
																		Weight in Reference state (total of weight in column I):			419.0					
																		Total weight of allowable present (total of weight in column J):			320.1					

**Prescribed Grazing - 528**  
**Stocking Rate and Forage Value Rating**

ID-CPA-013  
Idaho

Natural Resources Conservation Service

February 2008

Client: Example Production

Location: Field 1

Date: 9/1/2008

Conservationist: Range Guy

Plant Community: LY 16+ ARTRV/FEID

Plant Species	Present Composition		Animal: Cattle			Animal: Sheep			Animal:		
	Lbs/AC	%	P	D	U	P	D	U	P	D	U
Idaho Fescue	166.0	14%	166.0			166.0					
Bluebunch Wheatgrass	141.0	12%	141.0					141.0			
Columbia Needlegrass	13.0	1%			13.0				13.0		
Monkshood ****	23.0	2%				23			23.0		
Nevada Bluegrass	27.0	2%	27.0					27.0			
Japanese Brome	11.0	1%				11.0			11.0		
Bulbous Bluegrass	28.0	2%				11.0			11.0		
Bottlebrush Squirreltail	10.0	1%			10.0				10.0		
Arrowleaf Balsamroot	58.0	5%			58.0			58.0			
Tapertip Hawksbeard	6.0	1%			6.0		6.0				
Lupine ****	17.0	1%				17.0		17.0			
Longleaf Phlox	5.0	0%				5.0			5.0		
Shaggy Fleabane	2.0	0%				2.0		2.0			
Death Camas ****	3.0	0%				3.0			3.0		
Locoweed ****	2.0	0%				2.0			2.0		
Yarrow	2.0	0%				2.0		2.0			
Mountain Big Sagebrush	397.0	34%			397.0			397.0			
Green Rabbitbrush	99.0	9%				99.0			99.0		
Snowberry	91.0	8%			91.0		91.0				
Antelope Bitterbrush	56.0	5%			56.0			56.0			
<b>Total</b>	<b>1157.0</b>		<b>334.0</b>	<b>631.0</b>	<b>175.0</b>	<b>263.0</b>	<b>700.0</b>	<b>177.0</b>			
<b>Percent by Preference</b>			<b>29%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>15%</b>			
<b>Forage Value Rating</b>			<b>Moderate</b>			<b>Moderate</b>					
<b>Estimated Stocking Rate AUM/AC</b>			<b>0.33</b>			<b>0.32</b>					

**Comments:** Pounds per acre for individual species from reconstructed weights on ID-CPA-006





## Prescribed Grazing - 528

ID-CPA-008

### Range & Pasture Computation Worksheet

Idaho

February 2008

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Ranch : Example - Adjustment Calculations as Response UnitsLocation : Summer PlaceTechnician's Name : Range Guy

9/1/2008

Date :

Mangement Unit Name	Total Acres	Ecological Site / Forage Type								AUM's/AC	AUM's
		Response Unit	Acres	Similarity Index	Forage Value	Total Lbs/ Ac	Harvest Efficiency	Adjustment Factors			
1 <b>Summer Place</b>	1740	Ly 16+ 100/100	385	50%		1157	25%	100%	0.32	122	
		Ly 16+ 95/100	775	53%		1157	25%	95%	0.30	233	
		Ly 16+ 80/100	510	55%		1157	25%	80%	0.25	129	
		Ly 16+ 60/100	70	60%		1157	25%	60%	0.19	13	
<b>MU Total</b>										<b>498</b>	
2 <b>Summer Place</b>	50	Shallow Claypan 100/100	50	40%		320	25%	100%	0.09	4	
		Shallow Claypan 95/100									
		Shallow Claypan 80/100									
		Shallow Claypan 60/100									
<b>MU Total</b>										<b>4</b>	
3 <b>Summer Place</b>	195	South Slope Ly 95/100	6	50%		905	25%	95%	0.24	1	
		South Slope Ly 95/40	54	58%		905	25%	38%	0.09	5	
		South Slope Ly 80/40	129	53%		905	25%	32%	0.08	10	
		South Slope Ly 60/40	6	60%		905	25%	24%	0.06	0	
<b>MU Total</b>										<b>17</b>	
4 <b>Summer Place</b>	252	Stony Loam 95/100	27	50%		1150	25%	95%	0.30	8	
		Stony Loam 95/40	5	54%		1150	25%	38%	0.12	1	
		Stony Loam 80/40	130	58%		1150	25%	32%	0.10	13	
		Stony Loam 60/40	90	60%		1150	25%	24%	0.08	7	
<b>MU Total</b>										<b>29</b>	
5 <b>Summer Place</b>	126	Rock Outcrop	176	N/A		400	1%	1%	0.00	0	
		Rock Outcrop									
		Rock Outcrop									
		Rock Outcrop									
<b>MU Total</b>										<b>0</b>	
<b>Total Acres</b>		<b>Total AUM's For Operation</b>								<b>548</b>	

This a summary of response units broken down by ecological site, distance to water, and percent slope. The values used for total lbs/acre should be calculated based upon data collection ( See example ID-CPA-006). The values for adjustment factors are guidelines and should be adjusted based upon historic use patterns and livestock distribution, type and class of livestock, livestock behavior, climate, type of grazing system used, and several other variables depending on site. Notice how the cumulative effects of distance to water and percent slope can greatly reduce total AUM's. For example the Stony Loam 95/100 response unit has only a slight adjustment for water and a suggested initial stocking rate of 0.3 AUM's/ac. When compared to the Stony Loam 60/40 response unit which has adjustments for water (60%) and slope (40%) the recommended stocking rate is reduced to 0.08 AUM's/ac. This is a difference of approximately 9 Acres/AUM.