

Water Quality Enhancement Activity – WQL13 – High level integrated pest management to reduce pesticide environmental risk



Enhancement Description

Utilize advanced Integrated Pest Management (IPM) prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression techniques, and only apply the lowest risk pesticides available (or if higher risk pesticides are used appropriate mitigation techniques are used to ameliorate the risk) in an environmentally sound manner when monitoring indicates that an economic pest threshold has been exceeded. Pesticide applications must follow all label requirements.

Land Use Applicability

Cropland, Pastureland, Rangeland, Forestland

Benefits

This enhancement will improve water and air quality by reducing toxic pesticide runoff, leaching, drift and volatilization, and also reduce pesticide impacts on pollinators and other beneficial insects.

Conditions Where Enhancement Applies

This enhancement applies to all land uses where pesticide environmental risks are present that need mitigation options to meet or exceed the criteria detailed below.

Criteria

IPM is a sustainable approach to pest control that combines the use of prevention, avoidance, monitoring and suppression strategies, to maintain pest populations below economically damaging levels, to minimize pest resistance, and to minimize harmful effects of pest control on human health and environmental resources. High level IPM suppression systems include effective agro-chemicals and cost effective biological and cultural controls as well as the lowest risk pesticides available that can sustain the cropping system.

High level IPM requires:

1. A written IPM plan and implementation of activities that include:
 - a. Prevention techniques such as cleaning equipment and gear when leaving an infested area, using pest-free seeds and transplants, irrigation scheduling to avoid situations conducive to disease development, etc.
 - b. Avoidance techniques such as maintaining healthy and diverse plant communities, using pest resistant varieties, crop rotation, refuge management, etc.
 - c. Monitoring techniques such as pest scouting, degree-day modeling, weather forecasting, etc. to help target suppression strategies and avoid routine preventative treatments.



- d. Suppression techniques such as cultural, biological and low risk chemical control methods, used judiciously to reduce or eliminate a pest population or its impacts while minimizing risks to non-target organisms.
2. A minimum mitigation index score of ≥ 45 for the identified environmental risk but not less than specified by NRCS Agronomy Technical Note #5.
3. Mitigation index scores are quantified using NRCS Agronomy Technical Note #5, [Pest Management in the Conservation Planning Process](#).

Adoption Requirements

This enhancement is considered adopted when a management system has been implemented on the land use acreage that meets or exceed the minimum mitigation index criteria.

Documentation Requirements

1. A description of the high level IPM system that is utilized on all of the offered acres. This description should include each of the following items:
 - a. Pest prevention techniques,
 - b. Pest avoidance techniques,
 - c. Pest monitoring (scouting) techniques,
 - d. Economic pest thresholds,
 - e. Pesticide environmental risk analysis tool that was utilized (e.g., the NRCS Windows Pesticide Screening Tool - WIN-PST), and
 - f. Pesticide application records with the specific management techniques that were utilized to reduce pesticide environmental risk (i.e., spot treatment, banding, pheromone traps, pesticide incorporation, etc.).
2. If formal IPM Guidelines with a numeric scoring system have been developed and approved by Extension, a completed set of those guidelines can be substituted for the documentation requirements in number 1 above.

References

Ignazi, J.C. 1991. Prevention of Water Pollution by Agriculture and Related Activities. Proceedings of the FAO Expert Consultation – Water Report 1, pp 247-261.

USDA-NRCS. 2010. Conservation Practice Standard: Integrated Pest Management-Code 595

USDA-NRCS. 2011. Agronomy Technical Note No. 5 – Pest Management in the Conservation Planning Process.

Water Quality Enhancement Activity – WQL13 – *High Level Integrated Pest Management to Reduce Pesticide Environmental Risk*

Reference - 595 – Pest Management

1. Rationale for pest management decisions must be based on scouting and monitoring.
2. The need for control must be based on economic thresholds (if available) as determined by the University of Minnesota, including its regional Research and Outreach Centers or surrounding Land Grant Universities. Industry developed thresholds, if available, can be used when University guidance is not available.
3. Preventive pest management should only be used where:
 - High annual weed populations are known to exist;
 - Potential for high levels of an insect pest are known to exist;
 - Protecting the host plant prior to infection is desired when conditions are conducive for infection; and/or
 - Seed treatments are appropriate.
4. Chemical controls and chemical control modes of action should be rotated to prevent buildup of pest resistance.
5. Human hazard risk of proposed chemical controls will be determined using NRCS' Windows Pesticide Screening Tool (WIN-PST).
http://www.mn.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/ecs/pest/win_PST/win_pst.html
 - Chemical controls with very high human hazard ratings will not be used.
 - Chemical controls with high Human Hazard Ratings will not be used on land within boundaries of Drinking Water Supply Management Areas (DWSMAs) having high or very high vulnerability to contamination DWSMA locations and vulnerabilities found at:
 - a. <http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/eh/water/swp/index.htm>
and/or
 - b. <http://www.mda.state.mn.us/protecting/waterprotection/waterprotectionmapping.aspx>

- Mitigation practices will be implemented if chemical controls with high human hazard ratings are used outside of vulnerable DWSMA boundaries.
 - a. Mitigation practices that have a positive 15 impact using NRCS in Minnesota guidance or a positive 45 impact using National mitigation guidance will be implemented.
 - b. NRCS in Minnesota guidance on mitigation practices and their impacts can be found at:
<http://www.mn.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/ecs/pest/standards/NPPH25%20Pest%20Management%20Planning.pdf>
 - c. National NRCS guidance on mitigation practices and their impacts can be found at:
[NRCS Tech Note #5](#)