

CONSERVATION PLAN EVALUATION

Planner		_____
Field Office/Location		
Date Submitted		
Landowner		
County		

Conservation Plan Contents	Yes	No	N/A
Owners and operators identified and decision making authority recorded			
Client's statement of problems, concerns, objectives and opportunities clearly recorded			
Conservation plan map including scale, location, date prepared, north arrow, property boundaries, field numbers and boundaries, fields labeled with NRCS land use and acres, and any existing and planned practices			
Utilities, easements, constraints and determinations recorded			
Benchmark system including narrative, existing practices, and effects recorded			
Supporting forms and worksheets for inventory and evaluation in the case file, for example, soil calculations, upland wildlife habitat management tables, nutrient budget worksheet, prescribed grazing worksheet, woodland inventory, etc.			
Case file contains a soil map, legend, and appropriate interpretations			
Case file contains a conservation plan including any revisions and practice application			
Practice narratives are consistent with the plans and specifications section of the planned practice standard			
Case file contains a Request for Cultural Resources Review (WV-180-4)			
Case file contains a completed Environmental Effects for Conservation Plans (WV-CPA-052)			
Resource concerns identified and consistent with Section III of the FOTG Effects guidance documents completed for each alternative. Resource concerns consistent with benchmark conditions. Practices consistent with alternatives. Effects are reasonable. (FOTG - Sections III and V)			
Discussions between the client and planner recorded			
Planned system meets quality criteria for resource concerns and client objectives (Field Review Only)			
Practices in the conservation plan consistent with field conditions (Field Review Only)			
Discussion with the client supplies evidence of adequate planner communication with and involvement of the client (Field Review Only)			

DEFICIENCIES:

DEFICIENCIES (cont.):

SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

The plan is of acceptable quality Yes No

Reviewed by: _____ Date: _____

The plan is of acceptable quality Yes No

Reviewed by: _____ Date: _____

The plan is of acceptable quality Yes No

Reviewed by: _____ Date: _____

A review of this conservation plan indicates that it does not meet NPPH requirements.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

A review of this conservation plan indicates that it does meet NPPH requirements.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

FOR FIELD REVIEWED PLANS ONLY

After field review this conservation plan meets NPPH requirements and address the client's resource concerns.

Signature: _____ Date: _____