

**Natural Resources Conservation Service**

**Application Ranking Summary  
Salinity - Silt - Salinity Silt Project**

<b>Program:</b> EQIP 2008	<b>Ranking Date:</b>
<b>Ranking Tool:</b> Salinity - Silt - Salinity Silt Project	
<b>Final Ranking Score:</b>	
<b>Planner:</b>	
<b>Farm Location:</b>	

**National Priorities Addressed**

<b>Issue Questions</b>	<b>Responses</b>
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	250 Point(s)
<b>Clean and Abundant Water: Water Quality - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:</b>	
2. a. Meet regulatory requirements relating to animal feeding operations, or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	15 Point(s)
2. b. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d, etc.)?	15 Point(s)
2. c. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a "non-impaired water body"?	5 Point(s)
<b>Clean and Abundant Water: Water Conservation - Will the proposed project assist the producer implement conservation practices which:</b>	
3. a. Decrease aquifer overdraft?	15 Point(s)
3. b. Conserve water from irrigation system improvements and saved water will be available for other beneficial uses?	10 Point(s)
3. c. Conserve water in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	5 Point(s)
<b>Clean Air: Treatment of air quality from agricultural sources - Will the proposed project assist the producer to implement practice(s) which:</b>	
4. a. Meet on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	15 Point(s)
4. b. Reduce on-farm generated green house gases such as CO2 (Carbon Dioxide), CH4 (Methane), and N2O (Nitrous Oxide)?	15 Point(s)
4. c. Increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	5 Point(s)
<b>Soil Health: Will the proposed project assist the producer to implement practice(s) which:</b>	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	15 Point(s)
5. b. Improve soil tilth, organic matter, structure, health, etc.?	5 Point(s)

Healthy Plant and Animal Communities Wildlife Habitat Conservation - Will the proposed project assist the producer to implement practice(s) which:	
6. a. Benefit on-farm habitat associated with threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern as identified in a State wildlife plan?	15 Point(s)
6. b. Help retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)?	10 Point(s)
High Quality, Productive Soils, Healthy Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project assist the producer implement practices which:	
7. a. Help manage or control noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	10 Point(s)
7. b. Increase, or improve habitat to benefit pollinator or other targeted wildlife species?	10 Point(s)
7. c. Properly dispose of livestock carcasses?	5 Point(s)
7. d. Are identified in an Integrated Pest Management plan?	10 Point(s)
7. e. Are identified in a Nutrient Management plan?	10 Point(s)
7. f. Apply principles of adaptive nutrient management?	5 Point(s)
Energy Conservation - Will the proposed project assist the producer to implement practices which:	
8. a. Reduce energy consumption on the agricultural operation?	15 Point(s)
8. b. Increase on-farm energy efficiency with practices and improvements identified in an approved energy audit equivalent to criteria required in Ag EMP (122,124)?	10 Point(s)
8. c. Assist in implementing energy conservation measures that also reduce greenhouse gas emissions and other air pollutants?	10 Point(s)
Business Lines - Conservation Implementation Additional Ranking Considerations - Will the proposed project result in:	
9. a. Implementation of all conservation practices scheduled in the contract on the CPA-1155 within three years of date of obligation?	10 Point(s)
9. b. Improvement of existing conservation practices or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is accepted?	5 Point(s)
9. c. Implementation of practice(s) which will complete an existing conservation system or suite of practices?	5 Point(s)

**State Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the project reduce the amount of nutrients/pesticides/salt/selenium or other pollutants entering ground or surface waters?	400 Point(s)

**Local Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application less than \$25?	250 Point(s)
2. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$25 and < \$28?	247 Point(s)
3. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$28 and < \$31?	244 Point(s)
4. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$31 and < \$34?	241 Point(s)
5. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$34 and < \$37?	238 Point(s)

6. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$37 and < \$40?	235 Point(s)
7. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$40 and < \$43?	232 Point(s)
8. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$43 and < \$47?	229 Point(s)
9. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$47 and < \$50?	226 Point(s)
10. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$50 and < \$53?	223 Point(s)
11. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$53 and < \$56?	220 Point(s)
12. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$56 and < \$59?	217 Point(s)
13. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$59 and < \$62?	214 Point(s)
14. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$62 and < \$65?	211 Point(s)
15. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$65 and < \$68?	208 Point(s)
16. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$68 and < \$71?	205 Point(s)
17. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$71 and < \$74?	202 Point(s)
18. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$74 and < \$77?	199 Point(s)
19. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$77 and < \$80?	196 Point(s)
20. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$80 and < \$83?	193 Point(s)
21. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$83 and < \$86?	190 Point(s)
22. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$86 and < \$89?	187 Point(s)
23. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$89 and < \$92?	184 Point(s)
24. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$92 and < \$95?	181 Point(s)
25. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$95 and < \$98?	178 Point(s)
26. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$98 and < \$101?	175 Point(s)
27. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$101 and < \$104?	172 Point(s)
28. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$104 and < \$107?	169 Point(s)
29. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$107 and < \$110?	166 Point(s)

30. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$110 and < \$113?	163 Point(s)
31. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$113 and < \$116?	160 Point(s)
32. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$116 and < \$119?	157 Point(s)
33. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$119 and < \$122?	154 Point(s)
34. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$122 and < \$125?	151 Point(s)
35. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$125 and < \$128?	148 Point(s)
36. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$128 and < \$131?	145 Point(s)
37. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$131 and < \$134?	142 Point(s)
38. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$134 and < \$137?	139 Point(s)
39. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$137 and < \$140?	136 Point(s)
40. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$140 and < \$143?	133 Point(s)
41. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$143 and < \$146?	130 Point(s)
42. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$146 and < \$149?	127 Point(s)
43. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$149 and < \$153?	124 Point(s)
44. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$153 and < \$157?	121 Point(s)
45. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$157 and < \$161?	118 Point(s)
46. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$161 and < \$165?	115 Point(s)
47. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$165 and < \$169?	112 Point(s)
48. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$169 and < \$174?	109 Point(s)
49. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$174 and < \$178?	106 Point(s)
50. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$178 and < \$182?	103 Point(s)
51. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$182 and < \$186?	100 Point(s)
52. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$186 and < \$190?	97 Point(s)
53. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$190 and < \$194?	94 Point(s)

54. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$194 and < \$200?	91 Point(s)
55. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$200 and < \$205?	88 Point(s)
56. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$205 and < \$210?	85 Point(s)
57. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$210 and < \$215?	82 Point(s)
58. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$215 and < \$220?	79 Point(s)
59. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$220 and < \$225?	76 Point(s)
60. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$225 and < \$230?	73 Point(s)
61. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$230 and < \$235?	70 Point(s)
62. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$235 and < \$240?	67 Point(s)
63. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$240 and < \$245?	64 Point(s)
64. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$245 and < \$250?	61 Point(s)
65. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$250 and < \$255?	58 Point(s)
66. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$255 and < \$260?	55 Point(s)
67. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$260 and < \$265?	52 Point(s)
68. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$265 and < \$270?	49 Point(s)
69. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$275 and < \$280?	46 Point(s)
70. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$280 and < \$285?	43 Point(s)
71. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$285 and < \$290?	40 Point(s)
72. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$290 and < \$295?	37 Point(s)
73. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$295 and < \$300?	34 Point(s)
74. Is the cost share per ton of salt reduced for this application => \$300?	25 Point(s)

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

**NRCS Representative:**

**Applicant Signature  
Not Required on this  
report for Contract  
Development unless  
required by State  
policy:**

**Signature Date:**

**Signature Date:**