

CIG - INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER SCORING SHEET

Technical Peer Review Panel: _____ Date _____

Project Name: _____

Proposal #: _____ Reviewer: _____

	Evaluation Criteria	Input Below	Score
1	<p><u>Purpose, Approach and Goals (TOTAL POINTS MAXIMUM: 25)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Design and implementation of project based on sound methodology and/or demonstrated technology. (max. 5 pts.) b) Promotes environmental enhancement & protection in conjunction with agricultural production. (max. 5 pts.) c) Project outcome is clearly measurable. (max. 5 pts.) d) Potential for successful completion. (max. 5 pts.) e) Both beneficial and adverse impacts are considered and an acceptably significant level of improvement will be achieved. (max. 5 pts.) 		
2	<p><u>Innovative Technology or Approach (TOTAL POINTS MAXIMUM: 20)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Project is innovative (national, regionally, local in nature). (max. 10 pts.) b) Project fully conforms to description of innovative projects or activities in the Announcement of Program Funding (APF) (max. 10 pts.) 		
3	<p><u>Project Management (TOTAL POINTS MAXIMUM: 25)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Timeline and milestones are clear and reasonable. (max. 5 pts.) b) Project staff has technical expertise needed. (max. 5 pts.) c) Budget is adequately explained and justified. (max. 10 pts.) d) Experience and capacity to partner with and gain the support of other organizations, institutions and agencies. (max. 5 pts.) 		
4	<p><u>Transferability (TOTAL POINTS MAXIMUM: 30)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Potential for producers and landowners to use the innovative technology or technologies. (max. 10 pts.) b) Potential to transfer the approach or technology _____ or to a broader audience or other geographic or socio-economic areas, including limited resource, socially disadvantaged, and other traditionally underserved producers and communities. (max. 10 pts.) c) Potential for NRCS to successfully use the innovative approach or methods. (max. 5 pts.) d) Project will result in the development of technical or related technology transfer materials (technical standards, technical notes, guide sheets, handbooks, software, etc.) (max. 5 pts.) 		
	TOTAL SCORE (100 POINTS MAXIMUM)		

CONSERVATION INNOVATION GRANTS INDIVIDUAL COMMENTARY SHEET

Technical Peer Review Panel: _____

Project Name: _____

Proposal #: _____ Reviewer: _____ Score: _____

Strengths:
Weaknesses:

Group Consensus Commentary Sheet

Technical Peer Review Panel: _____ Date: _____

Project Name: _____

Proposal #: _____ Facilitator: _____ Score: _____

Recommendation: Outstanding (4) Recommended (3)
 Consider (2) Not Recommended (1)

Panel Scores: _____

Total Score: _____ No of Panelists: _____

Averaged Composite Score _____

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

FY 2013 CONSERVATION INNOVATION GRANTS PROGRAM
Briefing Summary Worksheet

Entity Name: _____

CIG Funding Request Amount: \$ _____

Project Title: _____

Project Location: _____

Issue/Problem:

Objectives:

Approach:

Deliverables:

Strengths: