

EQIP FY 04 Ranking Worksheet

Client						Date	March 22, 2004				
Farm / Tract(s)						County					
Sign-Up	EQIP Ranking Period FY 2004					By					
Resource Concerns	Weight Factor (1-25)	CMU 1		CMU 2		CMU 3		CMU 4		CMU 5	
			Score		Score		Score		Score		Score
1. Soil Erosion - Sheet & Rill Erosion	25		0		0		0		0		0
2. Soil Erosion - Wind Erosion	1		0		0		0		0		0
3. Soil Erosion - Classic Gully Erosion	20		0		0		0		0		0
4. Soil Erosion -Streambank and/or Shoreline	20		0		0		0		0		0
5. Soil Erosion - Irrigation Induced	1		0		0		0		0		0
6. Soil Condition - Organic Matter Depletion	18		0		0		0		0		0
7. Water Quantity -Inefficient Water Use on Irrigated Land	1		0		0		0		0		0
8. Water Quality- Excessive Nutrients and Organics	25		0		0		0		0		0
9. Air Quality - Particulate mater less than 10 micrometers (PM10)	10		0		0		0		0		0
10. Plant condition - Noxious and Invasive Plants	15		0		0		0		0		0
11. Plant condition: Productivity, Health & Vigor	15		0		0		0		0		0
12.Domestic Animals - Inadequate Quanties and Quality of Feed and/or Water	10		0		0		0		0		0
13. Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Food/Cover/Water (Aquatics Only)	10		0		0		0		0		0
14. Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Food/Cover/Water (Terrestrial Only)	10		0		0		0		0		0
15. Water Quality - Harmful Temperatures	25		0		0		0		0		0
16. Water Quality - Harmful Levels of Pathogens	25		0		0		0		0		0
Number of Resource Concerns		0		0		0		0		0	
Weighted CMU Score											

The contract application is very likely to benefit the following resources:

Idaho Selected T&E Species (Aquatics & N. Id.Grd. Squirrel)

303d listed water body

TMDL Implementation Watershed

Critical Drinking Protection Area

Ground Water Vulnerability Area

Groundwater Nitrate Priority Area

Livestock operation

Average of CMU Scores

NOTES:

Producer Signature of Review: _____ Date: _____