

Soil Data Join Recorrelation Ad Hoc committee

Very few members in the group had conducted a detail review of SDJR guidance document. The group members indicated that the document was well put together.

The group felt that the SDJR guidance had a national focus.

The group had a concern with the possibility of spending 100 percent of staff time in the office working SDJR projects for the next 3 years. The group felt that this would not be good for the younger soil scientists. The group felt that the younger employees need time in the field to grow as a soil scientist.

The group felt that the SDJR project timeframe should be extended beyond three years.

There was discussion of the progress reporting criteria.

The group recommended that the guidance document should not be revised as often as the RaCA project.

All suggestions for revision to the guidance document should be channeled through the MO technical representative.

The group fully support the objective of SDJR project.