

Introduction

The Coast Fork Willamette 8-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) subbasin is comprised of 426,000 acres, mostly in Lane County. Eighty-two percent of the subbasin is forestland, most of which is under industrial ownership. The remaining land, most of which supports grass, hay, pasture, orchards, vineyards, berries, and Christmas trees, and is typically used by small-acreage operators. There are three permitted CAFOs and over 100,000 permitted animals in the subbasin.

The primary resource concerns are poor water quality and deteriorating aquatic and terrestrial habitat. There is also increasing development pressure, decreasing agricultural profitability, and a growing number of landowners with little resource management experience.

There are over 600 operations and 1,000 operators in the subbasin. Operators of the larger, well-established operations tend to have a positive attitude toward conservation and are adopting conservation practices. Operators of the much more numerous small operations are amenable to conservation; however, they also require significantly more technical assistance. A concerted effort by the local conservation partnership will be needed to increase the diffusion of conservation among these less experienced landowners.


The Eugene NRCS Service Center, East Lane Soil and Water Conservation District, Cascade Pacific Resource Conservation and Development (RC&D) office, and Coast Fork Willamette Watershed Council provide conservation assistance in the subbasin.

Profile Contents


- [Introduction](#)
- [Physical Description](#)
- [Land Use Map & Precipitation Map](#)
- [Common Resource Area](#)

- [Resource Concerns](#)
- [Census and Social Data](#)
- [Progress/Status](#)
- [Footnotes/Bibliography](#)

Relief Map



17090002
Ownership Map



Physical Description

[Back to Contents](#)

ALL NUMBERS IN THIS PROFILE ARE FOR OREGON ONLY

Land Cover/Land Use (NLCD ²)	Ownership - (2003 Draft BLM Surface Map Set ¹)						Totals	%
	Public		Private		Tribal			
	Acres	%	Acres	%	Acres	%		
Forest	150,400	35%	196,800	46%	0	0%	347,200	82%
Grain Crops	*	---	*	---	0	0%	*	---
Conservation Reserve Program Land ^a	*	---	*	---	0	0%	*	---
Grass/Pasture/Hay	3,300	1%	50,100	12%	0	0%	53,400	13%
Orchards/Vineyards	0	0%	*	---	0	0%	*	---
Row / Grass Seed Crops	*	---	6,300	1%	0	0%	6,300	1%
Shrub/Rangelands	*	---	8,200	2%	0	0%	9,600	2%
Water/Wetlands/Developed/Barren	*	---	7,900	2%	0	0%	8,100	2%
Oregon HUC Totals ^b	155,300	36%	270,700	64%	0	0%	426,000	100%

*: Less than 1 percent of total acres. See below for special considerations.

a: Estimate from Farm Service Agency records and includes CRP/CREP.

b: Totals are approximate due to rounding and small unknown acreages.

Special Considerations for this 8 Digit HUC:


- Seventy-eight percent of private forestland is under industrial forest ownership (OSU, Forestry Sciences Laboratory).
- Grain commonly is grown in rotation with grass seed and other crops.
- Orchards/Vineyards/Berries includes other perennial crops such as hops, mint, nursery stock, and Christmas trees. (Pacific Northwest Ecosystem Research Consortium)
 - ~ Orchards/Vineyards/Berries - 1,200 acres
 - ~ Nursery stock - 20 acres
 - ~ Christmas trees - 1,700 acres
- Grass/Pasture/Hay includes approximately:
 - ~ 4,700 acres of grass seed and turf (Pacific Northwest Ecosystem Research Consortium)
 - ~ 14,800 acres of pasture (Pacific Northwest Ecosystem Research Consortium)
 - ~ 2,100 acres of hay (Pacific Northwest Ecosystem Research Consortium)
- Pasture is included on commercial dairy and beef operations as well as small farms and ranches.
- Urban land comprises 6,200 acres.

Irrigated Lands (1997 NR ¹³ Estimates for Non-Federal Lands Only)	Type of Land	ACRES	% of Irrigated Lands	% of HUC
	Cultivated Cropland	0	0%	0%
	Uncultivated Cropland	0	0%	0%
	Pastureland	0	0%	0%
	Total Irrigated Lands	0	0%	0%

(Continued on the following pages)

[Back to Contents](#)


17090002
Land use/Land cover Map



Legend

-  Shrub/Rangelands
-  Orchard/Vineyards
-  Row Crops
-  Forest
-  Grass/Pasture/Hay Lands
-  Grain Crops
-  Water/Wetlands/Developed/Barren

17090002
Average Annual
Precipitation in Inches




- Willamette Valley
Precipitation Range**
-  Less than 39"
 -  39" - 45"
 -  45" - 51"
 -  51" - 61"
 -  61" - 91"
 -  91" - 210"

Common Resource Area Map

[Back to Contents](#)

Only the major units are described below - for a description of all units within the HUC, go to: <http://ice.or.nrcs.usda.gov/website/cra/viewer.htm>



2.2 - Willamette and Puget Valleys - Willamette River Floodplains and Tributaries:

This unit is comprised of the flood plain of the Willamette River and its major tributaries. It includes historic riparian areas and areas of intensive row crops. The temperature regime is mesic, and the moisture regime is xeric.

2.4 - Willamette and Puget Valleys - Valley Foothills:

This unit is comprised of the foothills of the Willamette Valley. The soils are underlain by basalt and sedimentary bedrock and are typically red and clayey. The vegetation is Douglas-fir and Oregon white oak. The temperature regime is mesic, and the moisture regime is xeric. The unit does not support western hemlock, which is characteristic of the adjacent units in the Coast and Cascade MLRA's.

3.1 - Olympic and Cascade Mountains - Western Cascades Lowlands and Valleys: This unit comprises the lower elevations of the Cascade Mountains, adjacent to the Valley Foothills unit (2.4). The bedrock is basalt, andesite, and rhyolite. The vegetation is Douglas-fir and western hemlock. This unit is one of the most important timber-producing areas in the Northwest. The temperature regime is mesic, and the moisture regime is udic.

3.2 - Olympic and Cascade Mountains - Western Cascades Montane Highlands: This unit comprises the middle to high elevations of the Cascades. The vegetation is Douglas-fir, western hemlock, mountain hemlock, Pacific silver fir, and noble fir. Elevation typically is above about 3,000 feet. The mountains are highly dissected with steep slopes. The temperature regime is frigid and "warm" cryic, and the moisture regime is udic. This unit normally has a deep annual snowpack.

Physical Description – Continued


[Back to Contents](#)

		ACRES	ACRE-FEET			
Irrigated Adjudicated Water Rights (OWRD ⁴)	Surface	8,022	20,183			
	Well	782	1,968			
	Total Irrigated Adjudicated Water Rights	8,805	22,151			
Stream Flow Data	USGS 14157500 COAST FORK WILLAMETTE RIVER, NEAR GOSHEN, OR	Total Avg. Yield	1,155,068			
		May – Sept. Yield	172,128			
		MILES	PERCENT			
Stream Data ⁵ <i>*Percent of Total Miles of Streams in HUC</i>	Total Miles – Major (100K Hydro GIS Layer)	587	---			
	303d/TMDL Listed Streams (DEQ)	119	20%			
	Anadromous Fish Presence (StreamNet)	31	5%			
	Bull Trout Presence (StreamNet)	0	0%			
		ACRES	PERCENT			
Land Cover/Use ² Based on a 100-foot stretch on both sides of all streams in the 100K Hydro GIS Layer	Forest	13,323	77%			
	Grain Crops	27	0%			
	Grass/Pasture/Hay	2,766	16%			
	Orchards/Vineyards	8	0%			
	Row Crops	319	2%			
	Shrub/Rangelands – Includes CRP Lands	358	2%			
	Water/Wetlands/Developed/Barren	600	3%			
	Total Acres of 100-foot Stream Buffers	17,401	---			
Land Capability Class <i>(Croplands & Pasturelands Only)</i> <i>(1997 NRI³ Estimates for Non-Federal Lands Only)</i>	1 – slight limitations	2,100	9%			
	2 – moderate limitations	9,100	40%			
	3 – severe limitations	5,900	26%			
	4 – very severe limitations	5,600	25%			
	5 – no erosion hazard, but other limitations	0	0%			
	6 – severe limitations; unsuitable for cultivation; limited to pasture, range, forest	0	0%			
	7 – very severe limitations; unsuitable for cultivation; limited to grazing, forest, wildlife habitat	0	0%			
	8 – miscellaneous areas; limited to recreation, wildlife habitat, water supply	0	0%			
	Total Croplands & Pasturelands	22,700	---			
Confined Animal Feeding Operations – Oregon CAFO Permit – 12/2004						
Animal Type	Dairy	Feedlot	Poultry	Swine	Mink	Other
No. of Permitted Farms	2	0	1	0	0	0
No. of Permitted Animals	1,165	0	105,000	0	0	0

Resource Concerns

[Back to Contents](#)


Tons of Soil Loss by Water Erosion: Due to the limited amount of non-Federal cropland and pastureland within this HUC, no reliable NRI soil loss estimates are available.



- ❖ Most of the listed stream miles exceed State water quality standards for temperature. Elevated stream temperatures may be due to inadequate riparian shade, stream channel widening, and other anthropogenic or natural causes.
- ❖ Fecal coliform and nutrients can be indicative of livestock, human, or wildlife waste.
- ❖ Conservation practices that can be used to address these water quality issues include livestock waste management, grazing management, and use of riparian buffers.

Watershed Projects, Plans, Studies, and Assessments			
NRCS Watershed Projects ⁶		NRCS Watershed Plans, Studies, and Assessments ⁷	
Name	Status	Name	Status
Lynx Hollow Creek	Installed – 1958	None	None
ODEQ TMDL's ⁸		ODA Agricultural Water Quality Management Plans ⁹	
Name	Status	Name	Status
Columbia & Willamette Rivers	Completed	Southern Willamette Valley	Completed
Coast Fork Willamette River	Completed		
Willamette Basin	Completed		
OWEB Watershed Council ¹⁰		Watershed Council Assessments ¹¹	NWPCC Subbasin Plans and Assessments ¹⁸
Coast Fork Willamette Watershed Council		None	Willamette Subbasin Plan

(Continued on page 8)



Map Footnote [417](#)

Resource Concerns - Continued

[Back to Contents](#)

Resource Concerns/Issues by Land Use							
SWAPA +H Concerns	Specific Resource Concern/Issue	Grass\Pasture\ Hay	Grain Crops	Row Crops	Perennial Crops (Orch/Vine/ Berries)	Shrub/Range	Forest
Soil Condition	Soil Compaction	X		X			
Water Quality, Groundwater	Nutrients and Organics	X		X			
Water Quality, Surface	Low Dissolved Oxygen	X	X	X	X		X
	Temperature	X	X	X	X		X
	Pathogens	X					
	Aquatic Habitat Suitability	X					X
Plant Suitability	Site and Intended Use Suitability	X					X
Plant Condition	Productivity, Health, and Vigor						X
Animal Habitat, Domestic	Management	X		X			
Animal Habitat, Wildlife	Water - Quantity and Quality	X		X			
	Management						
Human, Economics	Low or Unreliable Profitability	X	X	X			
Human, Social	Low Community Well-Being						X

Grass/Pasture/Hay Lands

- Ponding and flooding are concerns only on small farms in the watershed.
- Many small, hobby farms are in areas of pastureland. Landowners work another job, have little resource management or agriculture experience, require considerable technical assistance outside of normal business hours, and can be difficult to contact.
- Pressure for development and urban encroachment are significant problems for areas of pastureland near cities and towns.

Grain, Row, and Perennial Crops

- Residue, nutrient, and pest management and use of filter strips and buffers are necessary to control erosion and protect water quality.

Rangeland and Forestland

- Presence of invasive, noxious weeds is due in large part to poor management, especially on small-acreage operations.

Other Concerns

- Old mines are a source of mercury contamination.
- The groundwater has a high arsenic level due to natural conditions.

FEDERALLY LISTED THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES ¹²	
THREATENED SPECIES	CANDIDATE SPECIES
Mammals - Canada lynx, Columbian white-tailed deer Birds – Bald eagle, Northern spotted owl, Marbled murrelet Fish – Coho salmon, Steelhead, Chinook salmon, Oregon chub, Bull trout Invertebrates – Fender's blue butterfly, Oregon silverspot butterfly Plants – Golden Indian paintbrush, Willamette daisy, Water howellia, Bradshaw's lomatium, Kincaid's lupine	Fish – Steelhead Birds – Yellow-billed cuckoo, Streaked horned lark Amphibians and Reptiles – Oregon spotted frog Invertebrates - Taylor's checkerspot
PROPOSED SPECIES - None	
ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT ¹³ - Chinook	


Census and Social Data^{/14}

[Back to Contents](#)

Number of Farms: 609

Number of Operators: 1,020

- Full-Time Operators: **304**
- Part-Time Operators: **716**



Estimated Level of Willingness and Ability to Participate in Conservation^{/15}:

Among operators of well-established large operations: High



Most of these operators have conservation plans and are implementing them. They are aware of local resource issues and have a positive stewardship attitude. Conservation adoption could increase if practices and systems could be tailored to meet the particular needs of these producers.

Among operators of small operations: Moderate

Eighty percent of the farms in the subbasin are less than 50 acres in size and are in areas of pastureland or small-acreage woodlots. Most of these landowners work another job, have little resource management experience, require significant one-on-one technical assistance (outside of normal business hours), and can be difficult to contact. Generally, these landowners are willing to adopt conservation practices but they are unable to do so. The conservation partnership in the subbasin needs to work together to provide technical assistance committed to meeting the needs of small-acreage landowners.

Evaluation of Social Capital^{/16}:


The strengths of the communities in the subbasin are that residents are well-educated, exercise their right to vote, and can be passionate about issues in which they believe. Their passion, however, frequently gets in the way of progress and problem solving. Local leadership is not consistent. There is general distrust of government; therefore, the community is rarely successful in obtaining government assistance to solve community problems. Conservation will become more widespread in the subbasin when residents deem it important to their well-being. Marketing conservation could help significantly toward this end.



Progress/Status

[Back to Contents](#)

PRMS Data	FY99	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	Avg/Year	Total
Total Conservation Systems Planned (Acres)	256	119	307	233	30	189	945
Total Conservation Systems Applied (Acres)	0	0	21	0	0	4	21
Conservation Treatment (Acres)							
Waste Management (no.)	1	0	2	1	0	1	4
Buffers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erosion Control	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Irrigation Water Management	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nutrient Management	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Pest Management	0	0	112	0	0	22	112
Prescribed Grazing	0	0	112	0	0	22	112
Trees & Shrubs	12	4	35	1	1	11	53
Conservation Tillage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wildlife Habitat	7	1	7	6	0	4	21
Wetlands	0	7	0	0	0	1	7



Estimates are based on information received from local conservationists in the watershed.

- ❖ Progress has been limited over the last 5 years.
- ❖ Row crop (e.g. corn, beans, and cole crops) farmers commonly rely on crop consultants representing canneries and fertilizer dealers. Most are implementing some conservation, but it is not necessarily at the RMS level.
- ❖ Farmers who grow perennial crops (berries, vineyards, nursery stock, etc) commonly do not seek assistance from NRCS or SWCDs, but most are meeting RMS quality criteria.
- ❖ Much of the pasture that is at the benchmark level is on small farms.
- ❖ Private industrial forest owners typically do not work with NRCS or SWCDs; however, their lands usually comply with State forest practices act requirements.
- ❖ Much of the non-industrial, private forestland is not managed for forage or timber. Commonly, this land does not comply with the State forest practices act requirements.

Lands Removed from Production through Farm Bill Programs

- ❖ Conservation Reserve Program (CRP): **None**
- ❖ Wetland Restoration Program (WRP): **105 acres**
- ❖ Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP): **30 acres**

Footnotes/Bibliography

[Back to Contents](#)

All data is provided "as is." There are no warranties, express or implied, including the warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this document. Use for general planning purposes only.

1. Ownership Layer – Source: The 1:24,000 scale public ownership layer is the land ownership/management for public entities, including Federal, Tribal, State, and local entities. This is a seamless, statewide Oregon Public Ownership vector layer composed of fee ownership of lands by Federal, State, Tribal, county, and city agencies. The layer is comprised of the best available data compiled at 1:24,000 scale or smaller, and the line work matches GCDB boundary locations and ORMAP standards where possible. The layer is available from the State of Oregon GIS Service Center: <http://www.gis.state.or.us/data/alphalist.html>. For current ownership status, consult official records at appropriate Federal, State, and county offices. Ownership classes grouped to calculate Federal ownership vs. non-Federal ownership by the Water Resources Planning Team.
2. National Land Cover Dataset (NLCD) - Originator: U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); Publication date: 19990631; Title: Oregon Land Cover Data Set, Edition: 1; Geospatial data presentation form: Raster digital data; Publisher: U.S. Geological Survey, Sioux Falls, SD, USA; Online linkage: <http://edcwww.cr.usgs.gov/programs/lccp/nationallandcover.html>; Abstract: These data can be used in a geographic information system (GIS) for any number of purposes, such as assessing wildlife habitat, water quality, pesticide runoff, land use change, etc. The State data sets are provided with a 300-meter buffer beyond the State border to facilitate combining the State files into larger regions.
3. ESTIMATES FROM THE 1997 NRI DATABASE (REVISED DECEMBER 2000) REPLACE ALL PREVIOUS REPORTS AND ESTIMATES. Comparisons made using data published for the 1982, 1987, or 1992 NRI may produce erroneous results. This is because of changes in statistical estimation protocols and because all data collected prior to 1997 were simultaneously reviewed (edited) as 1997 NRI data were collected. All definitions are available in the glossary. In addition, this December 2000 revision of the 1997 NRI data updates information released in December 1999 and corrects a computer error discovered in March 2000. For more information: <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/NRI/>
4. Irrigated Adjudicated Water Rights – Water Rights Information System (WRIS), Oregon Water Resources Department, <http://www.wrd.state.or.us/maps/wrlexport.shtml>
5. StreamNet is a cooperative venture of the Pacific Northwest's fish and wildlife agencies and tribes and is administered by the [Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission](#). StreamNet provided data and data services in support of the region's fish and wildlife program and other efforts to manage and restore the region's aquatic resources. Official StreamNet website: <http://www.streamnet.org/>
6. Natural Resources Conservation Service, Watershed Projects Planned and Authorized, <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/programs/watershed/Purpose>.
7. Natural Resources Conservation Service, Watershed Plans, Studies, and Assessments completed, http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/programs/watershed/Surveys_Plng.html#Watershed%20Surveys%20and%20Plan
8. Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Total Maximum Daily Loads, <http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/TMDLs/TMDLs.htm>
9. Oregon Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Water Quality Management Plans, http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/NRD/water_agplans.shtml

Footnotes/Bibliography Continued

[Back to Contents](#)

All data is provided "as is." There are no warranties, express or implied, including the warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this document. Use for general planning purposes only.

10. Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board, <http://oregon.gov/OWEB/WSHEDS/index.shtml>
11. Watershed Assessments completed by local watershed councils following the Oregon Watershed Assessment Manual, http://oregon.gov/OWEB/docs/pubs/ws_assess_manual.shtml.
12. NRCS Field Office Technical Guide, Section II, Threatened and Endangered List.
13. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, Public Law 94-265. As amended through October 11, 1996.
14. Data were taken from the 2002 Agricultural Census and adjusted by percent of HUC in the county or by percent of zip code area in the HUC, depending on the level of data available. Data were also taken from the U.S. Population Census, 2000.
15. Conservation participation was estimated using NRCS Social Sciences Technical Note 1801, [Guide for Estimating Participation in Conservation](#), 2004. Four categories of indicators were evaluated: Personal characteristics, farm structural characteristics, perceptions of conservation, and community context. Estimates are based on information received from local conservationists in the watershed.
16. Social capital is an indicator of the community's ability and willingness to work together to solve problems. A high amount of social capital helps a community to be physically healthy, socially progressive, and economically vigorous. A low amount of social capital typically results in community conflict, lack of trust and respect, and unsuccessful attempts to solve problems. The evaluation is based on NRCS Technical Report Release 4.1, March, 2002: [Adding Up Social Capital: An Investment in Communities](#). Local conservationists provided information to measure social capital. Scores range from 0 to 76.
17. [Surface and Groundwater Resource Protection Map](#)
 - a. 2002 303d Listed Streams designated by Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and approved by the Environmental Protection Agency, Section 303d Clean Water Act, <http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/303dlist/303dpage.htm>
 - b. Groundwater Management Areas designated by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Oregon Revised Statutes – Ground Water ORS 468B.150 to ORS 468B.190, <http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/groundwa/wqgw.htm>
 - c. Groundwater Restricted Areas designated by Oregon Water Resources Commission, Oregon Department of Water Resources, http://egov.oregon.gov/OWRD/PUBS/aquabook_protections.shtml
 - d. The Sole Source Aquifer (SSA) Protection Program is authorized by Section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-523, 42 U.S.C. 300 et. seq), <http://www.epa.gov/safewater/ssanp.html>
18. Subbasin assessments and plans are developed by local groups (SWCDs, watershed councils, tribes, and others) as part of the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's fish and wildlife program in the Columbia River Basin. This program is funded and implemented by the Bonneville Power Administration. <http://www.nwcouncil.org/fw/subbasinplanning/Default.htm>.