

Rapid Watershed Assessment

Rapid River

(MN) HUC: 09030007



Rapid watershed assessments provide initial estimates of where conservation investments would best address the concerns of landowners, conservation districts, and other community organizations and stakeholders. These assessments help land-owners and local leaders set priorities and determine the best actions to achieve their goals.

Introduction

The Rapid River 8-digit Hydrological Unit Code (HUC) Subbasin is located in the Laurentian Mixed Forest Ecological Province of Northern Minnesota. This watershed is 573,060 acres in size. Over 79 percent of the land in the subbasin is owned or managed by State entities.


There are 134 Farms in the Rapid River Watershed. Approximately 48 percent of the operations are less than 180 acres in size, 46 percent are 180 to 1,000 acres in size, and the remaining 10 percent of farms are greater than 1,000 acres in size.

The main resource concerns in the watershed are soil erosion, management of excessive wetness, wetland and woodland management, and the short growing season. Additional concerns include pasture management and surface water quality.

As with many areas of Northern Minnesota, principal industries include forest product harvesting, forest product manufacturing, farming and tourism.

The Rapid Watershed is the third smallest subbasin on the Minnesota side of the Rainy Lake Basin. Like its neighbors, Rapid is characterized by extensive wetlands located on the Glacial Lake Agassiz lake bed.

The greater Rainy River Basin is home to some of Minnesota's finest forest and water resources. Voyageurs National Park and the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness (BWCA) are located within the Basin, as are several of the state's most famous walleye fisheries and many top-notch trout streams.



County Totals

| County | Acres in HUC | % HUC |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Lake of the Woods | 330,045 | 57.6% |
| Koochiching | 139,422 | 24.3% |
| Beltrami | 103,592 | 18.1% |
| Total acres: | 573,060 | 100% |

Physical Description

This once glaciated area is part of the Agassiz Lowlands Region. Soils in this HUC are generally sandy loams, with considerable deposits of glacial till and outwash over a bedrock residuum.


Elevation in the watershed ranges from 1060 to 1310 feet above sea level, with the highest values being in the Western and Northwestern portions of the watershed. Lower elevations are found across the Northeastern regions near the meeting of the Rapid and Rainy Rivers.

Precipitation in the watershed ranges from 23 to 25 inches annually. Most lands within this watershed are not highly erodible, and the soils are predominantly hydric. Much of the land in the subbasin is not suited or is poorly suited to agricultural uses.

Predominate land uses / land covers are wetlands (74.8%), followed by forest (21.3%), and Grass / Pasture / Hay (2.1%). Agricultural land use within the Rapid River watershed accounts for approximately 3% of the total HUC acres.

Development pressure is moderate throughout this subbasin, with occasional lands being parceled out for timber production or recreational use.

Relief




Average Precipitation (inches)



Ownership

| Ownership Type | Acres | %HUC |
|---------------------|----------------|------------|
| Conservancy | - | - |
| County | - | - |
| Federal | 763 | 0.1 |
| State | 454,564 | 79.3 |
| Other Public | - | - |
| Tribal | 52,672 | 9.2 |
| Private Major | 8,472 | 1.5 |
| Private | 56,589 | 9.9 |
| Total Acres: | 573,060 | 100 |




* Ownership totals derived from 2007 MN DNR GAP Stewardship Coverage data and are the best suited estimation of land stewardship available on a statewide scale at time of publication. See the bibliography section of this document for further information.

Ownership / Land Use

The Rapid River Watershed covers an area of 573,060 acres. Slightly over seventy nine percent of the land in the watershed is owned or managed by state entities (454,564 acres). The second largest ownership type is Private, with 56,589 acres (9.9%), followed by Tribal with approximately 52,672 acres (9.2%), and Private Major (Corporate) with 8,472 acres (1.5%). Federal lands account for the smallest ownership percentage, covering 763 acres (0.1%). There are no county or conservancy land holdings in the region. Land use by ownership type is represented in the table below.

Land Use / Land Cover ¹²



Ownership / Land Use ¹³

| Landcover/Use | Public | | Private** | | Tribal | | Total Acres | Percent |
|------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|----------|-------------|---------|
| | Acres | % Public | Acres | % Private | Acres | % Tribal | | |
| Forest | 90,229 | 15.7% | 21,305 | 3.7% | 10,468 | 1.8% | 122,001 | 21.3% |
| Grass, etc | 3,123 | 0.5% | 8,500 | 1.5% | 517 | 0.1% | 12,140 | 2.1% |
| Orchards | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Row Crops | 500 | 0.1% | 5,404 | 0.9% | 20 | 0.0% | 5,923 | 1.0% |
| Shrub etc | 598 | 0.1% | 356 | 0.1% | 153 | 0.0% | 1,107 | 0.2% |
| Wetlands | 359,342 | 62.7% | 27,938 | 4.9% | 41,346 | 7.2% | 428,626 | 74.8% |
| Residential/Commercial | 1,143 | 0.2% | 1,426 | 0.2% | 135 | 0.0% | 2,705 | 0.5% |
| Open Water* | 200 | 0.0% | 344 | 0.1% | 7 | 0.0% | 550 | 0.1% |

* ownership undetermined

** includes private-major

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Watershed Totals: | 455,135 | 79.42% | 65,272 | 11.4% | 52,646 | 9.2% | 573,053 | 100% |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|


Physical Description (continued)

| | | cu. ft./sec | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Stream Flow Data | USGS 05134200 RAPID RIVER NEAR BAUDETTE, MN | Total Avg. | 330.3 | |
| | | May – Sept. Yield | 486.6 | |
| | | ACRES/MILES | PERCENT | |
| Stream Data¹⁴ (*Percent of Total HUC Stream Miles) | Total Miles – Major (100K Hydro GIS Layer) | 917 | --- | |
| | Total Miles –303d/TMDL Listed Streams | No Listed Streams | 0%* | |
| Riparian Land Cover/Land Use¹⁵ (Based on a 100-foot buffer on both sides of all streams in the 100K Hydro GIS Layer) | Dev/Barren | 143 | 0.6% | |
| | Fallow | 0 | 0% | |
| | Forest | 979 | 4% | |
| | Grain Crops | 129 | 0.6% | |
| | Grass/Pasture | 642 | 3% | |
| | Orchards/Vine | 0 | 0% | |
| | Row Crops | 280 | 1% | |
| | Shrub/Range | 189 | 0.9% | |
| | Water | 188 | 0.9% | |
| | Wetlands | 19,483 | 88% | |
| | Total Buffer Acres | 22,031 | --- | |
| | Crop and Pastureland Land Capability Class¹⁶ (Croplands & Pasturelands Only) (1997 NRI Estimates for Non-Federal Lands Only) | 1 – slight limitations | 0 | 0% |
| 2 – moderate limitations | | 19,200 | 83% | |
| 3 – severe limitations | | 3,900 | 17% | |
| 4 – very severe limitations | | 0 | 0% | |
| 5 – no erosion hazard, but other limitations | | 0 | 0% | |
| 6 – severe limitations; unsuitable for cultivation; limited to pasture, range, forest | | 0 | 0% | |
| 7 – very severe limitations; unsuitable for cultivation; limited to grazing, forest, wildlife habitat | | 0 | 0% | |
| 8 – miscellaneous areas; limited to recreation, wildlife habitat, water supply | | 0 | 0% | |
| Total Crop & Pastureland | | 23,100 | --- | |
| Irrigated Lands¹⁷ (1997 NRI Estimates for Non-Federal Lands Only) | TYPE OF LAND | ACRES | % of Irrigated Lands | % of HUC |
| | Cultivated Cropland | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| | Uncultivated Cropland | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| | Pastureland | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| | Total Irrigated Lands | 0 | 0% | 0% |

Assessment of Waters

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act states that water bodies with impaired use(s) must be placed on a state's impaired waters list. A water body is "Impaired" or polluted when it fails to meet one or more of the Federal Clean Water Act's water quality standards. Federal Standards exist for basic pollutants such as sediment, bacteria, nutrients, and mercury. The Clean Water Act requires the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) to identify and restore impaired waters.

Minnesota's impaired waters list, updated every two years, identifies assessed waters that do not meet water quality standards. The primary tool for addressing impaired waters is a pollution reduction plan called a Total Maximum Daily Load, or TMDL. After impaired use(s) have been identified, the TMDL process identifies all sources of each pollutant. The plan then determines how much each source must reduce its contribution in order to meet the applicable water quality standard. The Clean Water Act requires a completed TMDL for each water quality violation identified on a state's impaired waters list. Lakes or river reaches with multiple impairments require multiple TMDLs.




| Listed Stream ¹⁸ | Affected Use |
|--|--------------|
| <p>NO 2006 303(d) Listed Waters</p> | |

Common Resource Areas

The Rapid River Watershed is situated in a single Common Resource Area, CRA 88.⁹

CRA 88 - Northern Minnesota Glacial Lake Basins:

Nearly level to gently sloping areas formed in lake washed till, lacustrine and organic soil material. Generally the soils are silty, clayey and loamy with small amounts of sandy and gravelly soils on beach ridges. Timber land is the main use. Scattered cropland and grazing land for beef and dairy are present. Cropland is used mostly for small grain, silage and hay. Resource concerns include management of excessive wetness, short growing season, pasture management, and water quality.



Only the major CRA units are described above.

 For further information, go to:

<http://soils.usda.gov/survey/geography/cra.html>

Soils / Geology¹⁰

Soils in the Rapid River watershed generally consist of loamy sands, with underlying bedrock a major characteristic in the Northeast portion. The soils are derived from a mantle of acid, cobbly, and gravelly glacial till of variable depth. Coarse-loamy to coarse soil textures are most common. There are small areas of sandy and clayey lacustrine soil in the western portion of the subsection.

Given the moisture regime of the region, soils are generally representative of wet forest soils known as Aqualfs, a sub order of the Alfisols found in Minnesota. Because of their position on the landscape, these soils are wet during much of the growing season. In northern Minnesota aqualfs support aspen forests with mixtures of black ash and alder. They are most common in the basins of glacial lakes that formed in the latter part of the Ice Age. The aqualfs that extend across the northern border of Minnesota lie in the basin of glacial Lake Agassiz.

Thin glacial drift covers much of the subsection, and bedrock exposures are common.

The subsection has Precambrian-age (Late Archean and Early Proterozoic) bedrock, including gneiss, undifferentiated granite, and metamorphosed mafic to intermediate volcanic and sedimentary rocks (Sims et al. 1970c, Morey 1976).

Visit the online Web Soil Survey at

<http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov> for official and

 current USDA soil information as viewable maps and

 tables. Visit the Soil Data Mart at


<http://soildatamart.usda.gov> to download SSURGO

 certified soil tabular and spatial data.

Drainage Classification

Drainage class (natural) refers to the frequency and duration of wet periods under conditions similar to those under which the soil formed. Alterations of the water regime by human activities, either through drainage or irrigation, are not a consideration unless they have significantly changed the morphology of the soil.

Seven classes of natural soil drainage are recognized—excessively drained, somewhat excessively drained, well drained, moderately well drained, somewhat poorly drained, poorly drained, and very poorly drained. These classes are defined in the “Soil Survey Manual.”




Visit the online Web Soil Survey at <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov> for official and current USDA soil information as viewable maps and tables. Visit the Soil Data Mart at <http://soildatamart.usda.gov> to download SSURGO certified soil tabular and spatial data.

Farmland Classification

Farmland classification identifies map units as prime farmland, farmland of statewide importance, farmland of local importance, or unique farmland.

Farmland classification identifies the location and extent of the most suitable land for producing food, feed, fiber, forage, and oilseed crops.

NRCS policy and procedures on prime and unique farmlands are published in the Federal Register, Vol. 43, No 21, January 31, 1978.



Visit the online Web Soil Survey at

<http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov> for official and

 current USDA soil information as viewable maps and

 tables. Visit the Soil Data Mart at

<http://soildatamart.usda.gov> to download SSURGO


 certified soil tabular and spatial data.

Hydric Soils

This rating provides an indication of the proportion of the map unit that meets criteria for hydric soils. Map units that are dominantly made up of hydric soils may have small areas, or inclusions of nonhydric soils in the higher positions on the landform. Map units of dominantly non-hydric soils may therefore have inclusions of hydric soils in the lower positions on the landform.

Hydric soils are defined by the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (NTCHS) as “soils that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part” (Federal Register 1994). These soils, under natural conditions, are either saturated or inundated long enough during the growing season to support the growth and reproduction of hydrophytic vegetation.

If soils are wet enough for a long enough period of time to be considered hydric, they should exhibit certain properties that can be easily observed in the field.



Visit the online Web Soil Survey at

<http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov> for official and

 current USDA soil information as viewable maps and

 tables. Visit the Soil Data Mart at


<http://soildatamart.usda.gov> to download SSURGO

 certified soil tabular and spatial data.

Land Capability Classification

Land capability classification shows, in a general way, the suitability of soils for most kinds of field crops. Crops that require special management are excluded. The soils are grouped according to their limitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are used for crops, and the way they respond to management.

The criteria used in grouping the soils does not include major and generally expensive land forming that would change slope, depth, or other characteristics of the soils, nor do they include possible but unlikely major reclamation projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for interpretations designed to show suitability and limitations of groups of soils for rangeland, for forestland, or for engineering purposes.




Visit the online Web Soil Survey at <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov> for official and current USDA soil information as viewable maps and tables. Visit the Soil Data Mart at <http://soildatamart.usda.gov> to download SSURGO certified soil tabular and spatial data.

Highly Erodible Land (HEL)

The erodibility index (EI) for a soil map unit is determined by dividing the potential erodibility for the soil map unit by the soil loss tolerance (T) value established for the soil in the FOTG as of January 1, 1990.

A soil map unit with an Etof 8 or greater is considered to be highly erodible land (HEL).

Potential erodibility is based on default values for rainfall amount and intensity, percent and length of slope, surface texture and organic matter, permeability, and plant cover. Actual erodibility and EI for any specific map unit depends on the actual values for these properties.



Visit the online Web Soil Survey at <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov> for official and current USDA soil information as viewable maps and tables. Visit the Soil Data Mart at <http://soildatamart.usda.gov> to download SSURGO certified soil tabular and spatial data.

Performance Results System Data

| Watershed Name: Rapid | | | | Watershed Number: 09030007 | | | | | | |
|---|------|------|------|----------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| PRS Performance Measures | FY99 | FY00 | FY01 | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 | FY07 | TOTAL |
| Total Conservation Systems Planned (acres) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | 1,023 | 1,125 | 324 | 2,472 |
| Total Conservation Systems Applied (acres) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 381 | 381 | N/A | 28 | 736 | 225 | 1,751 |
| Conservation Practices | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Waste Management (313) (numbers) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Riparian Forest Buffers (391) (acres) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 3 | 59 | 23 | 209 |
| Erosion Control Total Soil Saved (tons/year) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,200 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3,200 |
| Total Nutrient Management (590) (Acres) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245 |
| Pest Management Systems Applied (595A) (Acres) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prescribed Grazing 528a (acres) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327 |
| Tree & Shrub Establishment (612) (acres) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 55 | 3 | 72 | 74 | 217 |
| Residue Management (329A-C) (acres) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Wildlife Habitat (644 - 645) (acres) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 0 | 138 | 256 | 41 | 73 | 764 |
| Total Wetlands Created, Restored, or Enhanced (acres) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acres enrolled in Farmbill Programs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conservation Reserve Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 0 | N/A | 3 | 2 | 23 | 284 |
| Wetlands Reserve Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental Quality Incentives Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | N/A | 24 | 448 | 138 | 735 |
| Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | 64 | 64 |
| Farmland Protection Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES 14

NRCS assists in the conservation of threatened and endangered species and avoids or prevents activities detrimental to such species.

NRCS' concern for these species includes the species listed by the Secretary of the Interior (as published in the Federal Register) and species designated by state agencies.

The following is a list of threatened, endangered, candidate species and species of special concern that occur in the basin.



| Scientific Name | Common Name | Type |
|---|---------------------------|------------|
| <i>Asio flammeus</i> | Short-eared Owl | Zoological |
| <i>Botrychium minganense</i> | Mingan Moonwort | Botanical |
| <i>Carex exilis</i> | Coastal Sedge | Botanical |
| <i>Cladium mariscoides</i> | Twig-rush | Botanical |
| <i>Coturnicops noveboracensis</i> | Yellow Rail | Zoological |
| <i>Cypripedium arietinum</i> | Ram's-head Lady's-slipper | Botanical |
| <i>Drosera anglica</i> | English Sundew | Botanical |
| <i>Drosera linearis</i> | Linear-leaved Sundew | Botanical |
| <i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i> | Bald Eagle | Zoological |
| <i>Ichthyomyzon fossor</i> | Northern Brook Lamprey | Zoological |
| <i>Juncus stygius</i> var. <i>americanus</i> | Bog Rush | Botanical |
| <i>Malaxis monophyllos</i> var. <i>brachypoda</i> | White Adder's-mouth | Botanical |
| <i>Nymphaea leibergii</i> | Small White Water-lily | Botanical |
| <i>Oxyethira itascae</i> | A Caddisfly | Zoological |
| <i>Phalaropus tricolor</i> | Wilson's Phalarope | Zoological |
| <i>Ranunculus lapponicus</i> | Lapland Buttercup | Botanical |
| <i>Rhynchospora fusca</i> | Sooty-colored Beak-rush | Botanical |
| <i>Xyris montana</i> | Montane Yellow-eyed Grass | Botanical |

RESOURCE CONCERNS


County Soil and Water Conservation Districts have identified the following resource concerns as top priorities for conservation and cost sharing efforts:

- Soil Quality, Excessive Erosion.** Soil erosion from exposed surface areas, logging sites, streambank and lakeshore areas, and roadside erosion are considerable conservation issues in the watershed.
- Management of Excessive Wetness:** The nature of much of the basin's soils limits productivity and viability of land for agricultural and some silvicultural uses. Efforts such as ditching, species selection, critical planting, and wetland mitigation aid in combating the wetness common to the area.
- Surface Water Quality:** Enhancement of surface waters. Excessive amounts of sediments, nutrients, and bacteria degrade the water quality causing a fish community with depressed populations and limited diversity. Reduction of priority pollutants and sediments in surface waters will enhance economic development opportunities by preserving the environmental features that promote and attract tourists and fishermen to the area and improve the quality of water supply in the region.
- Wetland Management.** Physical changes have taken place, wildlife and plant species composition have been altered, greatly changing the function and value of the areas plentiful wetlands. Establishing high priority wetland areas and enforcing future wetlands legislation provides opportunities to enhance the wetland resources of the watershed.
- Short Growing Season:** Given the short growing season, timely planting, management of moisture, and appropriate seed selection is crucial for a successful crop. Planting delay and short-time concentrated precipitation in the growth season are the main causes of yield reduction.
- Woodland Management.** Management opportunities include planting trees or shrubs, timber stand improvement, timber sales, enhancing wildlife habitat, prescribed burning, control of invasive species, and other conservation measures.



NRI Erosion Estimates

- NRI Estimates for Sheet and rill erosion by water on crop and pastureland declined 600 tons a year over the 1982 to 1997 reporting period. ¹³



Socioeconomic and Agricultural Data (Relevant)

The Rapid River subbasin has an estimated population of 2,030 people. Median household income throughout the district is nearly \$30,300 yearly, roughly 65% of the national average. Unemployment in the watershed is estimated at 5.5%, and approximately 13% of the residents in the watershed live below the national poverty level.

There are 134 Farms in the Rapid River Watershed. Approximately 48 percent of the operations are less than 180 acres in size, 46 percent are 180 to 1,000 acres in size, and the remaining 10 percent of farms are greater than 1,000 acres in size. Of the 130 operators in the basin, 50 percent are full-time producers not reliant on off farm income.



| (MN) HUC# 9030007 | | Total Acres: | 573,060 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Population Data* | Watershed Population | 2,030 | |
| | Unemployment Rate | 5.5% | |
| | Median Household Income | 30,277 | |
| | % below poverty level | 13% | |
| | Median Value of Home | 66,900 | |
| Farm Data | # of Farms | 134 | |
| | # of Operators | 130 | Percent |
| | # of Full Time Operators | 65 | 50% |
| | # of Part Time Operators | 65 | 50% |
| | Total Cropland Acres | 39,286 | 6.9% |
| Farm Size | 1 to 49 Acres | 47 | 13% |
| | 50 to 179 Acres | 127 | 35% |
| | 180 to 499 Acres | 123 | 33% |
| | 500 to 999 Acres | 48 | 13% |
| | 1,000 Acres or more | 22 | 6% |
| | Average Farm Size | 67 | |
| Livestock & Poultry | Cattle - Beef | 1,437 | 33% |
| | Cattle - Dairy | 140 | 3% |
| | Chicken | 408 | 9% |
| | Swine | 18 | 0% |
| | Turkey | 4 | 0% |
| | Other | 2,359 | 54% |
| | Animal Count Total: | 4,364 | |
| | Total Permitted AFOs: | 24 | |
| Chemicals (Acres Applied) | Insecticides | 2,245 | |
| | Herbicides | 7,525 | |
| | Wormicides | 0 | |
| | Fruiticides | 8 | |
| | Total Acres Treated | 9,779 | |
| | % State Chemical Totals | 0.1% | |

* Adjusted by percent of HUC in the county or by percent of block group area in the HUC, depending on the level of data available

Watershed Projects, Plans and Monitoring

- Long-term water quality monitoring in the Rainy River Watershed. The Northeast Region Sustainable Development Partnership joined with the Minnesota DNR and seven partners in both Canada and the United States to support water quality monitoring and environmental education involving an interagency, inter-scholastic and international cooperation. Koochiching County Environmental Services is the project coordinator. The sponsoring entity was the Rainy / Rapid River Board

- Local River Planning, MN DNR. This project assisted local units of government in the wise management of rivers within their jurisdiction. Collaborative teams created river plans -- consisting of land use zoning criteria, recreational objectives, water quality considerations, and historic/cultural recommendations for the St. Louis, Cloquet, Whiteface, Rainy, and Rapid rivers. These locally designed plans all contain more restrictive zoning provisions than the statewide standards and are tailored to specific local needs and concerns.



- Rainy River First Nations Watershed Program, Rainy River First Nations. A comprehensive ecosystem approach that considers all threats to the watershed. The effort is committed to using traditional ecological knowledge and values in combination with current natural resource management techniques to enhance and sustain aquatic resources in the Rainy River and its tributaries.

- Rainy / Rapid River Plan, MPCA and International Joint Commission. Goals may include delineation of specific stream segments to be restored or protected, loading reductions to be achieved, type and amount of habitat to be restored, identification of water management issues and problems, conservation district goals, priority issues and waters, and coordination of citizen monitoring programs and efforts.

* Have a watershed project you'd like to see included? Submit suggestions online @ <http://www.mn.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/rwa/>

Conservation Districts, Organizations & Partners

- **Beltrami County SWCD**
3217 Bemidji Ave N Ste 3 Bemidji, MN 56601-4328
Phone 218-755-4339
- **BWSR Regional Office**
394 S Lake Ave Rm 403 Duluth, MN 55802
Phone 218-723-4752
- **Koochiching County SWCD**
715 4th St International Falls, MN 56649
Phone 218-283-1174
- **International Joint Commission Great Lakes Office**
100 Ouellette Ave., 8th Floor Windsor, ON N9A 6T3
Phone: 519-257-6733
- **Lake of the Woods SWCD**
PO Box 217 Baudette, MN 56623
Phone 218-634-1842
- **Laurentian Resource Conservation and Development Council**
4850 Miller Trunk Hwy, Suite 2A Duluth, MN 55811
Phone (218) 720-5225
- **Lake of the Woods Land and Water Planning**
Box 808 Baudette, MN 56623
Phone 218-634-1945
- **MPCA Regional Office - Duluth**
525 Lake Avenue S. # 400 Duluth, MN 55802
Phone 218-723-4660 or 800-657-3864
- **MN DNR Area Hydrologist**
1201 E. Hwy. 2 Grand Rapids, MN 55744
Phone 218-327-4263
- **U of MN Extension Service Regional Office**
1307 3rd St. NE, Suite 102 Roseau, MN 56751
Phone 218-463-0291 or 888-241-4546
- **Rainy River First Nations**
Box 450 Emo, ON P0W 1E0
Phone 807-482-2479 Fax: (807) 482-2603
- **Rainy River Basin Water Resources Center**
Rainy River Community College
1501 Highway 71 International Falls, MN 56649
Phone 218-285-2218

Footnotes / Bibliography

1. Ownership Layer – Source: MN Stewardship Data: Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Section of Wildlife, BRW, Inc, 2007. This is the complete GAP Stewardship database containing land ownership information for the entire state of Minnesota. Date of source material is variable and ranges from 1976 to 2007, although a date range of 1983 to 1985 predominates. Land interest is expressed only when some organization owns or administers more than 50% of a forty except where DNR could create sub-forty accuracy polygons.
2. National Land Cover Dataset (NLCD) - Originator: U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); Publication date: 19990631; Title: Minnesota Land Cover Data Set, Edition: 1; Geospatial data presentation form: Raster digital data; Publisher: U.S. Geological Survey, Sioux Falls, SD, USA.
3. Ownership layer classes grouped to calculate Public ownership vs. Private and Tribal ownership by Minnesota NRCS Rapid Watershed Assessment Staff. Land cover / Land use data was then extracted from the National Landcover Dataset Classification System and related to ownership class polygons.
4. U.S. Geological Survey National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) 1:100,000-scale Digital Line Graph (DLG) medium resolution hydrography data, integrated with reach-related information from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Reach File Version 3.0 (RF3). The Hydro 100k layer was compared to MPCA's 303(d) data to derive percentage of listed waters.
5. Land Cover / Land Use / Hydro 100k Buffer. Using the 100k Hydrology dataset, All streams within HUC were spatially buffered to a distance of 100 ft. National Landcover Dataset attributes were extracted for the spatial buffer to demonstrate the vegetation and landuse in vulnerable areas adjacent to waterways.
6. Land Capability Class. ESTIMATES FROM THE 1997 NRI DATABASE (REVISED DECEMBER 2000) REPLACE ALL PREVIOUS REPORTS AND ESTIMATES. Comparisons made using data published for the 1982, 1987, or 1992 NRI may produce erroneous results. This is because of changes in statistical estimation protocols and because all data collected prior to 1997 were simultaneously reviewed (edited) as 1997 NRI data were collected. All definitions are available in the glossary. In addition, this December 2000 revision of the 1997 NRI data updates information released in December 1999 and corrects a computer error discovered in March 2000. For more information: <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/NRI/>
7. 1997 NRI Irrigated Land Estimates. Irrigated land: Land that shows evidence of being irrigated during the year of the inventory or during two or more years out of the last four years. Water is supplied to crops by ditches, pipes, or other conduits. Water spreading is not considered irrigation; it is recorded as a conservation practice. [NRI-97] For more information: <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/NRI/>
8. 303(d) Stream data. Minnesota's Final Impaired Waters (per Section 303(d) Clean Water Act), 2006. Data obtained from Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) helps protect state water by monitoring quality, setting standards and controlling inputs through the development of TMDL plans. <http://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/tmdl/index.html#maps>.

Footnotes / Bibliography (continued)

9. National Coordinated Common Resource Area (CRA) Geographic Database. A Common Resource Area (CRA) map delineation is defined as a geographical area where resource concerns, problems, or treatment needs are similar. It is considered a subdivision of an existing Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) map delineation or polygon. Landscape conditions, soil, climate, human considerations, and other natural resource information are used to determine the geographic boundaries of a Common Resource Area

10. Soil Survey Geographic Database (SSURGO) Tabular and spatial data obtained from NRCS Soil Data Mart at <http://soildatamart.nrcs.gov>. Publication dates vary by county. Component and layer tables were linked to the spatial data via SDV 5.1 and ARCGIS 9.1 to derive the soil classifications presented in these examples. Highly Erodible Land Classification Data obtained from USDA/NRCS EFOTG Section II, County Soil Data. HEL classifications were appended to SSURGO spatial data via an ARCEdit session. Addendum and publication dates vary by county.

11. Lands removed from production through farm bill programs. County enrollment derived from the following: CRP Acres: www.fsa.usda.gov/crpstorpt/07Approved/r1sumyr/mn.htm (7/30/04). CREP Acres: <http://www.bwsr.state.mn.us/easements/crep/easementssummary.html> (7/31/03). WRP Acres: NRCS (8/16/04). Data were obtained by county and adjusted by percent of HUC in the county.

12. Socioeconomic and Agricultural Census Data were taken from the U.S. Population Census, 2000 and 2002 Agricultural Census and adjusted by percent of HUC in the county or by percent of zip code area in the HUC, depending on the level of data available. Data were also taken from MPCA AFO/CAFO counts provided by county for 2005.

13. 1997 NRI Estimates for sheet and rill erosion (WEQ & USLE). The NRI estimates sheet and rill erosion together using the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE). The Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) was not used in the 1997 NRI. RUSLE was not available for previous inventories, therefore the use of USLE was continued to preserve the trending capacity of the NRI database. Wind erosion is estimated using the Wind Erosion Equation (WEQ). For further information visit <http://www.mn.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/nri/findings/erosion.htm>

14. Federally listed endangered and threatened species counts obtained from NRCS Field Office Technical Guide, Section II, Threatened and Endangered List. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Technical/efotg/>. Where listed, Essential fish habitat as established by Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, Public Law 94-265, as amended through October 11, 1996 <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/sfa/magact/>

15. Watershed Projects, Plans, Monitoring. Natural Resources Conservation Service, Watershed Projects Planned and Authorized, <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/programs/watershed/Purpose>. Additional Information on listed individual projects can be obtained from the noted parties.