

Ranking Tool Summary

WHIP FY2011 - Riverine Habitat

State Issues:

Questions:

| Sub-heading Number | Question Number | Question | Points |
|--------------------|-----------------|---|--------|
| 1 | | Resource Management Plan | |
| | 1 | Resource Management Plan - Stream segment is located on a property that has a RMS plan developed or reviewed by a natural resource professional that addresses all resources and has been > 90% applied for all resources. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 1.1-1.5) | 10 |
| | 2 | Resource Management Plan - Stream segment is located on a property that has RMS plan that is being actively applied, water quality BMP's are being used and soil erosion is controlled to T on > 90% of location. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 1.1-1.5) | 8 |
| | 3 | Resource Management Plan - Site has a RMS plan and soil erosion is controlled to T level on > 90% of land unit. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 1.1-1.5) | 5 |
| | 4 | Resource Management Plan - Site has no RMS plan but erosion is controlled to T on > 65% of land unit. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 1.1-1.5) | 3 |
| | 5 | Resource Management Plan - None of the above from questions 4-7. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 1.1-1.5) | 0 |
| 2 | | Potential for Resource Improvement or Protection | |
| | 1 | Size/Length of Stream Segment and Continuity of Protection - Application is to treat all of the critical in-stream segment areas on applicant's property that would benefit the targeted fishery. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 2.1-2.7) | 15 |
| | 2 | Size/Length of Stream Segment and Continuity of Protection - Application is to treat one or more entire critical in-stream segment areas on the applicant property that would benefit the targeted fishery but not all segments. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 2.1-2.7) | 12 |
| | 3 | Size/Length of Stream Segment and Continuity of Protection - Application is part of comprehensive plan that treats in-stream resource on more than one property. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 2.1-2.7) | 10 |
| | 4 | Size/Length of Stream Segment and Continuity of Protection - Application is to treat only a portion of one or more critical in-stream segment areas on the applicant property but not the entire critical area. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 2.1-2.7)) | 7 |
| | 5 | Size/Length of Stream Segment and Continuity of Protection - Site treats riparian zone where in-stream resources are already protected or in-stream practices are installed. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 2.1-2.7) | 5 |
| | 6 | Size/Length of Stream Segment and Continuity of Protection - Practice treats riparian zone on only one side of stream segment. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 2.1-2.7) | 3 |
| | 7 | Size/Length of Stream Segment and Continuity of Protection - None of the above from questions 23-28 applies for this application. (Answer Yes to 1 of questions 2.1-2.7) | 0 |
| 3 | | Privately owned land under a conservation easement | |
| | 1 | Whip project will be applied to privately owned land that is under a conservation easement on ground that stays in private ownership, farmland protection easements, etc. Land ownership by conservation organizations or governmental agencies does not qualify. | 5 |
| 4 | | Planned resource management system | |
| | 1 | The WHIP cost share agreement will contribute to applying the planned resource management system for the property. | 5 |
| 5 | | Historically Underserved | |
| | 1 | Is application with a Historically Underserved Producer? | 20 |
| | | Maximum Points: Total Points | 108 |

Local Issues:

Questions:

| Sub-heading Number | Question Number | Question | Points |
|--------------------|-----------------|---|--------|
| 1 | | Geographical Priority Area | |
| | 1 | Geographical Priority Area - Riparian sites located in an active IDALS or DNR funded watershed. (Answer Yes to one of questions 1.1 - 1.3) | 10 |
| | 2 | Geographical Priority Area - Riparian sites in a recognized Fish Habitat Partnership under the National Fish Habitat Action Plan. (Answer Yes to one of questions 1.1 - 1.3) | 5 |
| | 3 | Geographical Priority Area - Project site is in neither of the above identified programs. (Answer Yes to one of questions 1.1 - 1.3) | 0 |
| 2 | | Threaten & Endangered Species/Proposed Stream Site | |
| | 1 | Threaten & Endangered Species - IDNR has identified T & E targeted species in the proposed project site. (Answer Yes to one of questions 2.1 - 2.6) | 10 |
| | 2 | Threaten & Endangered Species - IDNR has identified T & E target species in the area of the project site. (Answer Yes to one of questions 2.1 - 2.6) | 5 |
| | 3 | Threaten & Endangered Species - T & E has not been identified in the area of the project site. (Answer Yes to one of questions 2.1 - 2.6) | 0 |
| | 4 | Proposed Stream Site - IDNR recognizes site as a Class I cold water, or natural reproducing site for the targeted species. (Answer Yes to one of questions 2.1 - 2.6) | 10 |
| | 5 | Proposed Stream Site - IDNR recognizes site as a Class II cold water, or inconsistently reproducing site for the targeted species. (Answer Yes to one of questions 2.1 - 2.6) | 5 |
| | 6 | Proposed Stream Site - Site is not one of above categories from questions 2.4-2.5. (Answer Yes to one of questions 2.1 - 2.6) | 0 |
| 3 | | Potential for Resource Improvement or Protection | |
| | 1 | Potential for Resource Improvement or Protection - Site is located in a riparian area known by IDNR and FWS as having potential to improve habitat and species numbers for T&E species AND is a stream that IDNR concurs the installation of bank stabilization and in-stream habitat would benefit the fishery. (Answer Yes to one of questions 3.1 - 3.2) | 15 |
| | 2 | Potential for Resource Improvement or Protection - Site is located in a riparian area known by IDNR and FWS as having potential to improve habitat and species numbers for T&E species OR is a stream that IDNR concurs the installation of bank stabilization and in-stream habitat would benefit the fishery. (Answer Yes to one of questions 3.1 - 3.2) | 10 |
| 4 | | Group project | |
| | 1 | Application is for a group project where two or more adjacent landowners are applying practices to the same habitat resource. | 5 |
| 5 | | Contiguous with Protected Land | |
| | 1 | WHIP application site is adjacent to (contiguous with) a site under the ownership of a public or non- governmental organization or the adjacent site is protected by a conservation easement. | 5 |
| | | Maximum Points: Total Points | 80 |