

[Print](#) [Close](#)

Ranking Tool Summary

for FY2013 - Area 2-Lower Sevier BFR Range

(Released 01/18/2013)

Description:

Range

Land Uses:

Grazed Range

Efficiency Score:

Scoring Multiplier: 1.000

Scoring Ranges and Results Text:

High: 100 - 67	Medium: 66 - 34	Low: 33 - 0
High	Medium	Low

Optional Notes:

National Priorities:

Scoring Multiplier: 1.000

Scoring Ranges and Results Text:

High: 250 - 167	Medium: 166 - 83	Low: 82 - 0
High	Medium	Low

Questions:

Number	Question	Points
1	a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	250
2	a. Meet regulatory requirements relating to animal feeding operations, or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	15
2	b. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d, etc.)?	15
2	c. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a "non-impaired water body"?	5
3	a. Decrease aquifer overdraft?	15
3	b. Conserve water from irrigation system improvements and saved water will be available for other beneficial uses?	10
3	c. Conserve water in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	5
4	a. Meet on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	15
4	b. Reduce on-farm generated green house gases such as CO2 (Carbon Dioxide), CH4 (Methane), and N2O (Nitrous Oxide)?	15
4	c. Increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	5
5	a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	15
5	b. Improve soil tilth, organic matter, structure, health, etc.?	5
6	a. Benefit on-farm habitat associated with threatened and endangered, at-risk,	15

	candidate, or species of concern as identified in a State wildlife plan?	
6	b. Help retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)?	10
7	a. Help manage or control noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	10
7	b. Increase, or improve habitat to benefit pollinator or other targeted wildlife species?	10
7	c. Properly dispose of livestock carcasses?	5
7	d. Are identified in an Integrated Pest Management plan?	10
7	e. Are identified in a Nutrient Management plan?	10
7	f. Apply principles of adaptive nutrient management?	5
8	a. Reduce energy consumption on the agricultural operation?	15
8	b. Increase on-farm energy efficiency with practices and improvements identified in an approved energy audit equivalent to criteria required in Ag EMP (122,124)?	10
8	c. Assist in implementing energy conservation measures that also reduce greenhouse gas emissions and other air pollutants?	10
9	a. Implementation of all conservation practices scheduled in the contract on the CPA-1155 within three years of date of obligation?	10
9	b. Improvement of existing conservation practices or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is accepted?	5
9	c. Implementation of practice(s) which will complete an existing conservation system or suite of practices?	5
Total Points		500

State Issues:

Scoring Multiplier: 1.000

Scoring Ranges and Results Text:

High: 250 - 167	Medium: 133 - 84	Low: 83 - 0
High	Medium	Low

Questions:

Sub-heading Number	Question Number	Question	Points
1		If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
	1	Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	250
2		Wildlife	
	2	Will the practices installed facilitate improvement in the habitat for sensitive, threatened, or endangered species?	50
3		Weeds	
	3	Will the practices installed control all invasive species present on the offered acres?	50
4		Water Quality	
	4	Will practices be installed to improve the identified TMDL impairment adjacent to and/or located less than 1320 feet from a TMDL identified water body?	50
5		Grazing Lands	
	5	Will the practices installed result in the implementation of a planned grazing	50

		system?	
6		Pollinator Habitat	
	6	Will this application include development of food, cover, and/or nest sites for native pollinators in accordance with Utah Technical Guide Notice UT238?	50
		Maximum Points:	Total Points
			500

Local Issues:

Scoring Multiplier: 3.900

Scoring Ranges and Results Text:

High: 115 - 77	Medium: 76 - 34	Low: 33 - 0
High	Medium	Low

Questions:

Sub-heading Number	Question Number	Question	Points
1		If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
	1	Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	115
2		Priority Area	
	2	Is the proposed project located in a LWG priority area and addresses the resource issues for that area?	5
3		Priority Resource Concerns	
	3	Does the proposed project address 4 or more LWG priority resource concerns?	9
	4	Does the proposed project address 2 or 3 resource concerns that are not LWG priority resource concerns?	6
	5	Does the proposed project address 1 resource concern that is not a LWG priority resource concerns?	3
4		Non-Priority Resource Concerns	
	6	Does the proposed project address 4 or more resource concerns that are not LWG priority resource concerns?	6
	7	Does the proposed project address 2 or 3 resource concerns that are not LWG priority resource concerns?	4
	8	Does the proposed project address 1 resource concern that is not a LWG priority resource concerns?	2
5		Rangeland Health	
	9	Is the change in Rangeland Health Score, as calculated using the designated Rangeland Health Score sheet for EQIP greater than 20?	50
	10	Is the change in Rangeland Health Score, as calculated using the designated Rangeland Health Score sheet for EQIP between 16 and 20?	40
	11	Is the change in Rangeland Health Score, as calculated using the designated Rangeland Health Score sheet for EQIP between 11 and 15?	30
	12	Is the change in Rangeland Health Score, as calculated using the designated Rangeland Health Score sheet for EQIP between 6 and 10?	20
	13	Is the change in Rangeland Health Score, as calculated using the designated Rangeland Health Score sheet for EQIP between 1 and 5?	10

6		Economics	
	14	Will the total project cost be <\$50 per acre?	30
	15	Will the total project cost be between \$50 and \$100 per acre?	25
	16	Will the total project cost be between \$101 and \$150 per acre?	20
	17	Will the total project cost be between \$151 and \$200 per acre?	15
	18	Will the total project cost be between \$201 and \$250 per acre?	10
	19	Will the total project cost more than \$250 per acre?	5
7		Water Quality	
	20	Is the project located in a watershed of a nutrient impaired TMDL or [303 (d) listed] water body (lake, stream, reservoir or TMDL nutrient impaired watershed) AND the contracted practices will address the water quality resource concerns identified?	15
		Maximum Points:	Total Points
			420

Selected Resource Concerns and Practices:

Plant Condition: Noxious and Invasive Plants

- Access Control (472)
- Animal Trails and Walkways (575)
- Brush Management (314)
- Channel Bed Stabilization (584)
- Critical Area Planting (342)
- Dike (356)
- Diversion (362)
- Fence (382)
- Firebreak (394)
- Fuel Break (383)
- Grade Stabilization Structure (410)
- Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548)
- Grazing Management Plan - Written (110)
- Heavy Use Area Protection (561)
- Herbaceous Weed Control (315)
- Integrated Pest Management (IPM) (595)
- Integrated Pest Management Plan - Writte (114)
- Livestock Pipeline (516)
- Pollinator Habitat Plan - Written (146)
- Pond (378)
- Pond Sealing - Clay Treatment (521D)
- Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealan (521C)
- Prescribed Burning (338)
- Prescribed Grazing (528)
- Pumping Plant (533)
- Range Planting (550)
- Restoration and Management of Declining (643)
- Riparian Herbaceous Cover (390)
- Sediment Basin (350)
- Shallow Water Development and Management (646)
- Spring Development (574)
- Stream Crossing (578)
- Stream Habitat Improvement and Managemen (395)
- Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580)
- Tree/Shrub Establishment (612)
- Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490)
- Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645)
- Water and Sediment Control Basin (638)
- Water Harvesting Catchment (636)
- Water Well (642)
- Watering Facility (614)

Plant Condition: Productivity, Health and Vigor

- Access Control (472)
- Animal Trails and Walkways (575)
- Brush Management (314)
- Channel Bed Stabilization (584)
- Critical Area Planting (342)
- Dike (356)
- Diversion (362)
- Fence (382)
- Firebreak (394)
- Fuel Break (383)
- Grade Stabilization Structure (410)
- Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548)
- Grazing Management Plan - Written (110)
- Heavy Use Area Protection (561)
- Herbaceous Weed Control (315)
- Integrated Pest Management (IPM) (595)
- Integrated Pest Management Plan - Writte (114)
- Livestock Pipeline (516)
- Pollinator Habitat Plan - Written (146)
- Pond (378)
- Pond Sealing - Clay Treatment (521D)
- Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C)
- Prescribed Burning (338)
- Prescribed Grazing (528)
- Pumping Plant (533)
- Range Planting (550)
- Restoration and Management of Declining (643)
- Riparian Herbaceous Cover (390)
- Sediment Basin (350)
- Shallow Water Development and Management (646)
- Spring Development (574)
- Stream Crossing (578)
- Stream Habitat Improvement and Management (395)
- Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580)
- Tree/Shrub Establishment (612)
- Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490)
- Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645)
- Water and Sediment Control Basin (638)
- Water Harvesting Catchment (636)
- Water Well (642)
- Watering Facility (614)

Water Quantity: Rangeland Hydrologic Cycle

- Access Control (472)
- Animal Trails and Walkways (575)
- Brush Management (314)
- Channel Bed Stabilization (584)
- Critical Area Planting (342)
- Dike (356)
- Diversion (362)
- Fence (382)
- Firebreak (394)
- Fuel Break (383)
- Grade Stabilization Structure (410)
- Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548)
- Grazing Management Plan - Written (110)
- Heavy Use Area Protection (561)
- Herbaceous Weed Control (315)
- Integrated Pest Management (IPM) (595)
- Integrated Pest Management Plan - Writte (114)
- Livestock Pipeline (516)

Pond (378)
Pond Sealing - Clay Treatment (521D)
Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C)
Prescribed Burning (338)
Prescribed Grazing (528)
Pumping Plant (533)
Range Planting (550)
Restoration and Management of Declining (643)
Riparian Herbaceous Cover (390)
Sediment Basin (350)
Shallow Water Development and Management (646)
Spring Development (574)
Stream Crossing (578)
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management (395)
Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580)
Tree/Shrub Establishment (612)
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490)
Water and Sediment Control Basin (638)
Water Harvesting Catchment (636)
Water Well (642)
Watering Facility (614)

2.39.1.17656_P