



United States
Department
of
Agriculture

Natural
Resources
Conservation
Service

April 2005



Enterprise Costs and Returns for Different Cropping and Tillage Systems in Southeastern Montana and Southwestern North Dakota



Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Introduction | 1 |
| Uses of the Report | 2 |
| A Cautionary Note | 3 |
| Assumptions and Procedures | 3 |
| Results | 5 |
| Table 1. Income from Alternative Cropping Systems in Southeastern Montana and Southwestern North Dakota (Winter Wheat 32 bushels) | 7 |
| Table 2. Income from Alternative Cropping Systems in Southeastern Montana and Southwestern North Dakota (Winter Wheat 25 bushels) | 8 |
| Table 3. Income from Alternative Cropping Systems in Southeastern Montana and Southwestern North Dakota (Winter Wheat 38 bushels) | 9 |
| Table 4. Income from Alternative Cropping Systems in Southeastern Montana and Southwestern North Dakota | 10 |

Enterprise Costs and Returns for Different Cropping and Tillage Systems in Southeastern Montana and Southwestern North Dakota

Jerry Schaefer, Roger Hybner, and Julie Miller ¹⁾

Introduction

Comparisons of costs and returns for different cropping and tillage systems are difficult to estimate. There are many different variables of production to consider with the amount and timing of rainfall at a particular farm being the most important. Soil health is also different for each of these alternative tillage and cropping systems.

Six different farmers in southeastern Montana and southwestern North Dakota were interviewed to determine their production methods and costs. Based on these interviews a generic typical farm was developed. This generic farm was used to estimate the different costs and returns for different cropping and tillage systems as well as the soil health associated with each.

The six farmers interviewed had various production practices in their agricultural crop rotations. Conventional till, minimum till and zero till farming were utilized to raise cereal grains, pulse crops and annual and perennial legumes. Clay, clay loam and sandy loam were the three main soil types in the area surveyed. Annual precipitation ranged from 11 – 14 inches.

Depending on precipitation received, all operators had cereal grain acreage where management decisions were made in early July to harvest the crop for hay or leave it for grain. Winter wheat, spring wheat, hay barley, lentils, oats, corn and alfalfa were grown for hay with lentils, hay barley, corn and alfalfa also being used for additional grazing. All but one operation included cattle grazing of standing crop or crop stubble as part of their management practices. The fields grazed one year were rested at least one year prior to grazing again. Oilseed crops grown were safflower, flax, and yellow mustard. Seed crops included winter wheat, winter rye, spring wheat, durum, soft white winter wheat, barley, hay barley, buckwheat, and spring and winter field peas.

Planting equipment included conventional hoe, single and double disc drills and air seeders with single or double disk coulters on 7 – 10 inch row spacing. Seeding rates in pounds per acre ranged from 75 – 90 for winter wheat, 63 - 120 for spring wheat, 120 for durum, 70 – 90 for barley, 30 – 60 for lentils, 22 – 30 for safflower, 80 for peas, 4 for yellow mustard, and 30 for flax. Corn, 80-90 day maturity, was planted at 13,000 plants/acre. Reder and McNeal spring wheat, Erhart winter wheat, and Haybet hay barley were the most common varieties of cereal grains planted.

Roundup was used for weed control both in the spring before planting and in the fall after harvest on no-till acres. Surfactant and ammonium sulfate spray adjuvants were always used whenever Roundup was applied. Other herbicides used for weed control were

¹⁾ NRCS Economist, NRCS Agronomist, NRCS Economist

Fargo, Celebrity +, Bison and Everest. One producer noted a possible allelopathic influence from winter rye on the presence of wild buckwheat and wild oats

The two operators who used conventional till fallow had limited their cultivations to four per year. A chisel/shovel cultivator with a harrow and/or rodweeder attachment was the main piece of tillage equipment used. Use of an offset disc to do one round on strip edges in the fall for sawfly control and for spring cultivation to disc in fertilizer before planting on continuous crop rotations was also implemented.

Granular fertilizer was broadcast in the spring prior to planting or applied at seeding with the drill. Anhydrous ammonia was injected by an anhydrous implement in the fall. The most common granular formulations were 46-0-0 urea and 11-52-0 super phosphate. Annual applications of available nitrogen ranged from 18 to 40 lbs per acre with available phosphate ranging from 3 to 6 lbs per acre.

A Soil Tillage Intensity Rating (STIR) was calculated for each of the different crop rotations and tillage systems used by the six producers. The STIR is used to evaluate the effect of tillage on soil health in each of the different systems. A STIR with a low value has a minimal adverse effect on soil health. Over time a system with a low STIR value will have a minimal adverse effect on soil structure and overall soil health. The low STIR will improve organic matter, fertility, productivity and water holding capacity and soil structure. See Table 1. for the different STIR values for the different crop rotations and tillage systems.

Uses of the Report

The costs and returns shown in this report are averages and should only be used as a guide in decision making. Costs and returns vary from farm to farm because of geographical location, soil types, type and condition of machinery, weed and insect problems, and other factors. Actual farm records, if available, provide the best source of information for making decisions.

The ownership costs are based on a specified amount of annual use for each machine. Ownership costs tend to be inversely related to amount of use. Consequently, the ownership costs are applicable only to situations where the amount of annual use is the same as that assumed in this report.

Operating costs tend to be constant with respect to use. It costs a certain amount for repairs, fuel, etc. per hour or per acre regardless of the total amount of use. Since per unit operating costs do not vary with size of farm, they can be applied to any farm using similar equipment under similar conditions.

This cost-return report may be helpful:

1. In selecting enterprises and crop rotations which will yield the highest return to the farmer's resources.

2. In determining rental shares each party is making to a farm business. Each party's contributions may consist of a combination of production costs, capital investment, and labor.
3. In making up cash flow budgets.
4. In estimating income necessary to cover costs when one is considering future investments.

A Cautionary Note

The costs of production shown in this report do not include all costs of production. Costs for labor, management, and land are not included. The returns shown in this report are used to cover the costs of these three inputs of production.

Assumptions and Procedures for Generic Farm

1. Total farm crop acreage amounts to 1500 acres. This is the average of the producers interviewed with some having crop acres above and below the average.
2. Several different cropping and tillage systems were evaluated.
 - a. Conventional Till—Winter Wheat and Fallow (750 acres of each)
 - b. Conventional Till—Winter Wheat (500 acres), Recrop Spring Wheat (500 acres), Fallow (500 acres)
 - c. Conventional Till—Winter Wheat (700 acres), Recrop Barley (100 acres), Fallow (700 acres)
 - d. No-Till—Spring Wheat (750 acres) with alternative crops such as Safflower (150 acres), Yellow Mustard (150 acres), Lentils (150 acres), Winter Peas (150 acres), and Flax (150 acres)
3. Yields for each crop:

| | |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| Spring Wheat | 19 bushels |
| Winter Wheat after Fallow | 32 bushels |
| Barley | 38 bushels |
| Safflower | 650 pounds |
| Yellow Mustard | 300 pounds |
| Lentils | 1.5 tons |
| Winter Peas | 1000 pounds |
| Flax | 17 bushels |

4. Seeding rates for each crop:

| | |
|----------------|--------------|
| Spring Wheat | 80 pounds |
| Winter Wheat | 90 pounds |
| Barley | 60 pounds |
| Safflower | 22 pounds |
| Yellow Mustard | 4 pounds |
| Lentils | 30 pounds |
| Winter Peas | 80 pounds |
| Flax | 0.50 bushels |

5. Fertilizer rates for each crop:

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Spring Wheat | 160 pounds of 46-0-0 |
| Winter Wheat after Fallow | 60 pounds 18-46-0 |
| Barley | 60 pounds 18-46-0 100 pounds 34-0-0 |
| Safflower | 60 pounds of 11-52-0 |
| Yellow Mustard | None |
| Lentils | None |
| Winter Peas | None |
| Flax | None |

6. Fuel costs were \$1.80 per gallon for diesel and \$2.00 per gallon for gasoline.
7. Repairs were based on the following formula:

$$\text{Repairs} = (\text{Repair Factor} \times \text{List Price of Machine} \times \text{Hours of use per year}) \text{ divided by the estimated life of the machine}$$
8. Oil costs are estimated at 15 percent of the cost of fuel.
9. Depreciation costs are based on the following formula:

$$\text{Depreciation} = (\text{List Price of Machine} \times \text{Hours of use per year}) \text{ divided by the estimated life of the machine}$$
10. Interest on machinery = Average value of the machine times the interest rate.
11. Interest on operation capital was figured at 7 percent for 12 months.

12. Crop insurance rates for each crop:

| | |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Spring Wheat | \$5.85 |
| Winter Wheat after Fallow | \$6.50 |
| Barley | \$2.00 |
| Safflower | \$4.00 |
| Yellow Mustard | None |
| Lentils | None |
| Winter Peas | None |
| Flax | None |

13. Weed control for each crop:

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Spring Wheat | 16 ounces Roundup |
| Winter Wheat after Fallow | 10 ounces 2,4-D LV-6 1 ounce Banvel |
| Barley | 6 ounces Puma |
| Safflower | 16 ounces Roundup 16 ounces Poast |
| Yellow Mustard | 16 ounces Roundup 16 ounces Poast |
| Lentils | 16 ounces Roundup 16 ounces Poast |
| Winter Peas | 16 ounces Roundup 16 ounces Poast |
| Flax | 16 ounces Roundup Bison |

Results

Table 2 summarizes the difference in total farm income between the two tillage methods and four cropping systems. The no-till continuous cropping system had total farm income of \$75,275. The three conventional cropping systems had total farm incomes of \$31,899 (Winter Wheat-Barley-Fallow rotation), \$32,205 (Winter Wheat-Spring Wheat-Fallow rotation), and \$32,565 (Winter Wheat-Fallow rotation). The no-till continuous cropping system had more than twice as much total farm income as compared to a conventional cropping system. This difference in total farm income occurred regardless of the cropping system used for conventional cropping.

Two of the farmers interviewed were following a conventional tillage system. Fallow was tilled conventionally rather than using a minimum till or no-till system. Four of the farmers interviewed were following a continuous cropping system using a no-till cropping system. Other crops grown that were not listed in Table 2 are: oats, recrop winter wheat, durum, soft white wheat, winter rye, corn and hay barley.

There was a large difference in yields for conventional tilled winter wheat between the two farmers interviewed. This was probably due to the different average yearly moisture levels between the two farms. Table 3 shows the total farm income when an average yield of 25 bushels is used for winter wheat. When an average yield of 32 bushels is used for winter wheat, the difference in total farm income between the three conventional tillage systems is similar (See Table 2). This is not the case when the average yield is 25 bushels per acre. The winter wheat-spring wheat-fallow rotation had \$4,500 to \$4,900 higher income than the other two cropping systems.

Table 4 shows the total farm income when the average yield for winter wheat was increased to 38 bushels. The conventional till cropping system with the larger total farm income changes. The winter wheat-fallow rotation had a \$1,600 to \$4,900 higher income than the other two cropping systems. Regardless of the yield used for winter wheat, the total farm income was always less than the income from the continuous cropping system.

The STIR for the no-till continuous cropping system was 3. The STIR for the conventional tillage Winter Wheat-Spring Wheat-Fallow rotation was 95. The STIR for the conventional tillage Winter Wheat-Barley-Fallow rotation and the Winter Wheat-Fallow rotation were similar since only 100 acres of barley was recropped and the rest of the rotation was crop-fallow. The STIR for the conventional tillage Winter Wheat-Fallow rotation was 114.

| Table 1. Soil Tillage Intensity Rating (STIR) for Different Cropping Systems and Different Tillage Systems | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|------------|------|
| Cropping Rotation | | | | | | | Soil | STIR |
| 1. No Till Spring Wheat - Winter Wheat or Flax or Peas or Safflower - Lentils | | | | | | | Sandy Loam | 3 |
| 7 inch single disc drill, broadcast fertilizer preplant, graze winter wheat stubble and lentil crop | | | | | | | | |
| 2. No Till Spring Wheat - Winter Wheat - Flax or Peas or Safflower - Corn | | | | | | | Sandy Loam | 3 |
| 7 inch single disc drill, broadcast fertilizer preplant, graze winter wheat stubble and bale corn crop | | | | | | | | |
| 3. No Till Winter Wheat/Rye - Safflower or Peas or Buckwheat or Yellow Mustard - Spring Wheat - Spring Wheat | | | | | | | Sandy Loam | 6 |
| 7 inch single disc drill, fertilize with drill, graze wheat stubble 1 out of 4 years | | | | | | | | |
| 4. No Till Winter Wheat - Hay Barley - Winter Wheat - Hay Barley | | | | | | | Clay Loam | 6 |
| 7 inch disc drill, apply anhydrous fertilizer, graze stubble each year | | | | | | | | |
| 5. No Till Winter Wheat/Rye - Safflower or Peas or Buckwheat or Yellow Mustard - Spring Wheat - Spring Wheat | | | | | | | Sandy Loam | 7 |
| 7 inch single disc drill, apply fertilizer with drill, graze wheat stubble 2 out of 3 years | | | | | | | | |
| 6. No Till Winter Wheat/Rye - Safflower or Peas or Buckwheat or Yellow Mustard - Spring Wheat - Spring Wheat | | | | | | | Sandy Loam | 10 |
| 7 inch single disc drill, apply fertilizer with drill, no grazing, heavy harrow after second spring wheat crop | | | | | | | | |
| 7. No Till Winter Wheat - Hay Barley - Winter Wheat - Hay Barley | | | | | | | Sandy Loam | 10 |
| 7 inch double disc drill, apply anhydrous fertilizer each year, graze stubble each year | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Minimum Till Spring Wheat/Winter Wheat - Lentils - Hay Barley/Corn | | | | | | | Sandy Loam | 19 |
| 9. Minimum Till Spring Wheat/Winter Wheat - Lentils - Hay Barley/Corn | | | | | | | Sandy Loam | 23 |
| 7.5 inch double disc drill, broadcast fertilize preplant, harrow 2 times per year, graze stubble, corn and lentil crops | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Minimum Till Spring Wheat - Fallow - Winter Wheat - Barley | | | | | | | Clay Loam | 71 |
| 10 inch hoe drill, broadcast fertilizer preplant, 6-12 inch sweep cultivator/rodweeder, graze stubble one year, bale straw one year | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Minimum Till Winter Wheat - Barley/Oats - Fallow | | | | | | | Silty Clay | 80 |
| 10 inch hoe drill, no fertilizer, sweep shovel chisel/rodweeder/harrow, graze stubble | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Minimum Till Winter Wheat - Barley - Fallow | | | | | | | Clay Loam | 95 |
| 10 inch hoe drill, broadcast fertilizer preplant, 16 inch swee cultivator/rodweeder, graze stubble one year, bale straw one year, disc once | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|------------|--|-----|
| 13. Minimum Till Spring Wheat - Fallow - Winter Wheat - Fallow | | | | | | | Silty Clay | | 96 |
| 10 inch hoe drill, no fertilizer, sweep shovel chisel, graze stubble, disc | | | | | | | | | |
| following two years no/zero till | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 14. Minimum Till Spring Wheat - Fallow - Winter Wheat - Barley | | | | | | | Silty Clay | | 99 |
| 10 inch hoe drill, broadcast fertilizer preplant, three tillages with 13 inch sweep | | | | | | | | | |
| cultivator/rodweeder, graze stubble two years, bale straw one year, | | | | | | | | | |
| disc twice | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Conventional Till Winter Wheat - Fallow - Barley -Fallow | | | | | | | Clay Loam | | 100 |
| 10 inch hoe drill, broadcast fertilizer preplant, three tillages with 13 inch sweep | | | | | | | | | |
| cultivator/rodweeder, graze stubble one year, bale straw one year, | | | | | | | | | |
| disc twice | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 16. Conventional Till Spring Wheat - Fallow - Winter Wheat - Fallow | | | | | | | Silty Clay | | 114 |
| 10 inch hoe drill, no fertilizer, four tillages with fallow with 13 inch plus | | | | | | | | | |
| sweep shovel chisel, graze stubble | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 17. Conventional Till Winter Wheat - Fallow - Winter Wheat - Fallow | | | | | | | Silty Clay | | 123 |
| 10 inch hoe drill, no fertilizer, four tillages with fallow with 13 inch plus | | | | | | | | | |
| sweep shovel chisel/rodweeder, graze stubble | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2. Income from Alternative Cropping Systems in Southeastern Montana and Southwestern North Dakota

| | Spring Wht | Alternative Crops | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | | Safflower | Yellow Mustard | Lentils | Winter Peas | Flax |
| Yield | 19 | 650 | 300 | 1.5 | 1000 | 17 |
| Price | \$ 3.75 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 75.00 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 8.00 |
| Total Income | \$ 71.25 | \$ 97.50 | \$ 45.00 | \$ 112.50 | \$ 200.00 | \$ 136.00 |
| Operating Costs | \$ 45.46 | \$ 39.50 | \$ 20.78 | \$ 51.04 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 15.92 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 5.77 | \$ 6.63 | \$ 6.63 | \$ 4.91 | \$ 4.91 | \$ 4.91 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 25.79 | \$ 58.00 | \$ 24.22 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 165.96 | \$ 120.08 |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 20.02 | \$ 51.37 | \$ 17.59 | \$ 56.55 | \$ 161.05 | \$ 115.17 |
| Acres each Crop | 750 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 15,015 | \$ 7,706 | \$ 2,639 | \$ 8,483 | \$ 24,158 | \$ 17,276 |
| Total Income from Farm | | | | | | \$ 75,275 |

| | Winter Wht | Barley | Fallow |
|------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Yield | 32 | 38 | 0 |
| Price | \$ 3.00 | \$ 1.80 | 0 |
| Total Income | \$ 96.00 | \$ 68.40 | \$ - |
| Operating Costs | \$ 33.17 | \$ 47.96 | \$ 8.27 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 7.60 | \$ 5.39 | \$ 3.54 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 62.83 | \$ 20.44 | \$ (8.27) |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 55.23 | \$ 15.05 | \$ (11.81) |
| Acres each Crop | 700 | 100 | 700 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 38,661 | \$ 1,505 | \$ (8,267) |
| Total Income from Farm | | | \$ 31,899 |

| | Winter Wht | Spring Wht | Fallow |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Yield | 32 | 19 | 0 |
| Price | \$ 3.00 | \$ 3.75 | 0 |
| Total Income | \$ 96.00 | \$ 71.25 | \$ - |
| Operating Costs | \$ 32.55 | \$ 44.94 | \$ 8.52 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 6.78 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 4.18 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 63.45 | \$ 26.31 | \$ (8.52) |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 56.67 | \$ 20.44 | \$ (12.70) |
| Acres each Crop | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 28,335 | \$ 10,220 | \$ (6,350) |
| Total Income from Farm | | | \$ 32,205 |

| | Winter Wht | Fallow |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Yield | 32 | 0 |
| Price | \$ 3.00 | 0 |
| Total Income | \$ 96.00 | \$ - |
| Operating Costs | \$ 33.16 | \$ 8.30 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 7.66 | \$ 3.46 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 62.84 | \$ (8.30) |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 55.18 | \$ (11.76) |
| Acres each Crop | 750 | 750 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 41,385 | \$ (8,820) |
| Total Income from Farm | | \$ 32,565 |

Table 3. Income from Alternative Cropping Systems in Southeastern Montana and Southwestern North Dakota

| | Spring Wht | Alternative Crops | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | | Safflower | Yellow Mustard | Lentils | Winter Peas | Flax |
| Yield | 19 | 650 | 300 | 1.5 | 1000 | 17 |
| Price | \$ 3.75 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 75.00 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 8.00 |
| Total Income | \$ 71.25 | \$ 97.50 | \$ 45.00 | \$ 112.50 | \$ 200.00 | \$ 136.00 |
| Operating Costs | \$ 45.46 | \$ 39.50 | \$ 20.78 | \$ 51.04 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 15.92 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 5.77 | \$ 6.63 | \$ 6.63 | \$ 4.91 | \$ 4.91 | \$ 4.91 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 25.79 | \$ 58.00 | \$ 24.22 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 165.96 | \$ 120.08 |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 20.02 | \$ 51.37 | \$ 17.59 | \$ 56.55 | \$ 161.05 | \$ 115.17 |
| Acres each Crop | 750 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 15,015 | \$ 7,706 | \$ 2,639 | \$ 8,483 | \$ 24,158 | \$ 17,276 |
| Total Income from Farm | | | | | | \$ 75,275 |

| | Winter Wht | Barley | Fallow |
|------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Yield | 25 | 38 | 0 |
| Price | \$ 3.00 | \$ 1.80 | 0 |
| Total Income | \$ 75.00 | \$ 68.40 | \$ - |
| Operating Costs | \$ 33.17 | \$ 47.96 | \$ 8.27 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 7.60 | \$ 5.39 | \$ 3.54 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 41.83 | \$ 20.44 | \$ (8.27) |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 34.23 | \$ 15.05 | \$ (11.81) |
| Acres each Crop | 700 | 100 | 700 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 23,961 | \$ 1,505 | \$ (8,267) |
| Total Income from Farm | | | \$ 17,199 |

| | Winter Wht | Spring Wht | Fallow |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Yield | 25 | 19 | 0 |
| Price | \$ 3.00 | \$ 3.75 | 0 |
| Total Income | \$ 75.00 | \$ 71.25 | \$ - |
| Operating Costs | \$ 32.55 | \$ 44.94 | \$ 8.52 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 6.78 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 4.18 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 42.45 | \$ 26.31 | \$ (8.52) |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 35.67 | \$ 20.44 | \$ (12.70) |
| Acres each Crop | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 17,835 | \$ 10,220 | \$ (6,350) |
| Total Income from Farm | | | \$ 21,705 |

| | Winter Wht | Fallow |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Yield | 25 | 0 |
| Price | \$ 3.00 | 0 |
| Total Income | \$ 75.00 | \$ - |
| Operating Costs | \$ 33.16 | \$ 8.30 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 7.66 | \$ 3.46 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 41.84 | \$ (8.30) |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 34.18 | \$ (11.76) |
| Acres each Crop | 750 | 750 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 25,635 | \$ (8,820) |
| Total Income from Farm | | \$ 16,815 |

Table 4. Income from Alternative Cropping Systems in Southeastern Montana and Southwestern North Dakota

| | Spring Wht | Alternative Crops | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | | Safflower | Yellow Mustard | Lentils | Winter Peas | Flax |
| Yield | 19 | 650 | 300 | 1.5 | 1000 | 17 |
| Price | \$ 3.75 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 75.00 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 8.00 |
| Total Income | \$ 71.25 | \$ 97.50 | \$ 45.00 | \$ 112.50 | \$ 200.00 | \$ 136.00 |
| Operating Costs | \$ 45.46 | \$ 39.50 | \$ 20.78 | \$ 51.04 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 15.92 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 5.77 | \$ 6.63 | \$ 6.63 | \$ 4.91 | \$ 4.91 | \$ 4.91 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 25.79 | \$ 58.00 | \$ 24.22 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 165.96 | \$ 120.08 |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 20.02 | \$ 51.37 | \$ 17.59 | \$ 56.55 | \$ 161.05 | \$ 115.17 |
| Acres each Crop | 750 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 15,015 | \$ 7,706 | \$ 2,639 | \$ 8,483 | \$ 24,158 | \$ 17,276 |
| Total Income from Farm | | | | | | \$ 75,275 |

| | Winter Wht | Barley | Fallow |
|------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Yield | 38 | 38 | 0 |
| Price | \$ 3.00 | \$ 1.80 | 0 |
| Total Income | \$ 114.00 | \$ 68.40 | \$ - |
| Operating Costs | \$ 33.17 | \$ 47.96 | \$ 8.27 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 7.60 | \$ 5.39 | \$ 3.54 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 80.83 | \$ 20.44 | \$ (8.27) |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 73.23 | \$ 15.05 | \$ (11.81) |
| Acres each Crop | 700 | 100 | 700 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 51,261 | \$ 1,505 | \$ (8,267) |
| Total Income from Farm | | | \$ 44,499 |

| | Winter Wht | Spring Wht | Fallow |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Yield | 38 | 19 | 0 |
| Price | \$ 3.00 | \$ 3.75 | 0 |
| Total Income | \$ 114.00 | \$ 71.25 | \$ - |
| Operating Costs | \$ 32.55 | \$ 44.94 | \$ 8.52 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 6.78 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 4.18 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 81.45 | \$ 26.31 | \$ (8.52) |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 74.67 | \$ 20.44 | \$ (12.70) |
| Acres each Crop | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 37,335 | \$ 10,220 | \$ (6,350) |
| Total Income from Farm | | | \$ 41,205 |

| | Winter Wht | Fallow |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Yield | 38 | 0 |
| Price | \$ 3.00 | 0 |
| Total Income | \$ 114.00 | \$ - |
| Operating Costs | \$ 33.16 | \$ 8.30 |
| Ownership Costs | \$ 7.66 | \$ 3.46 |
| Returns over Operating Costs | \$ 80.84 | \$ (8.30) |
| Returns over Total Costs | \$ 73.18 | \$ (11.76) |
| Acres each Crop | 750 | 750 |
| Total Income from Crop | \$ 54,885 | \$ (8,820) |
| Total Income from Farm | | \$ 46,065 |