

Soil Survey Manual

Soil Science Division Staff



Soil Survey Manual

By Soil Science Division Staff

United States Department of Agriculture Handbook No. 18

Issued March 2017
Minor Amendments February 2018

This manual is a revision and enlargement of U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook No. 18, the *Soil Survey Manual*, previously issued October 1962 and October 1993. This version supersedes both previous versions.

Nondiscrimination Statement

In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, found online at How to File a Program Discrimination Complaint and at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by: (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or (3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	xvii
Introduction to the Fourth Edition	xxiii
Purpose	xxiii
Need for Additions and Revisions	xxv
Online Access	xxvii
Citation and Authorship	xxvii
Acknowledgements	xxviii
References	xxviii
Chapter 1.—Soil and Soil Survey	1
Soil Survey—Definition and Description	1
Early Concepts of Soil	3
Early Development of Soil Classification	7
Modern Concept of Soil	8
Development of Soil Taxonomy	9
Scientific Foundation of Soil Survey	10
Development of the Soil Survey in the U.S.	13
References	18
Chapter 2.—Landscapes, Geomorphology, and Si	te
Description	21
Introduction	21
Capturing Soil-Landscape Relationships at Various Scales	25
Placing Soil-Landscape Relationships in Their Proper Conte	ext 28
Consistently Describing Landscapes, Landforms, and	
Geomorphology	
Parent Material	
Bedrock	
Lithostratigraphic Units	69
Erosion	70

	Land Cover	77
	Vegetation	78
	Ecological Sites	79
	Integrated Natural Resource Inventories	80
	References	80
CI	hapter 3.—Examination and Description of Soil	
	Profiles	83
	Introduction	83
	General Terms Used to Describe Soils	84
	Studying Pedons	87
	Designations for Horizons and Layers	91
	Near Surface Subzones	114
	Root-Restricting Depth	118
	Particle-Size Distribution	119
	Soil Texture	120
	Rock Fragments and Pararock Fragments	131
	Artifacts	137
	Compound Texture Modifiers	140
	Fragments on the Surface	141
	Soil Color	145
	Soil Structure	155
	Internal Ped and Void Surface Features	163
	Concentrations	168
	Pedogenic Carbonates	173
	Redoximorphic Features	177
	Consistence	180
	Roots	193
	Pores	195
	Animals	197
	Selected Chemical Properties	198
	Soil Water	205
	Soil Temperature	
	References	
CI	hapter 4.—Soil Mapping Concepts	235
	Soil Mapping Process	235
	Field Operation and Equipment	241

Soil Identification and Classification.	245
Soil Map Units	248
Kinds of Map Units	256
Minor Components Within Map Units	260
Designing and Documenting Map Units	262
Naming Map Units	265
Orders of Soil Surveys	268
Correlation Steps	276
Quality Control and Quality Assurance	280
Records and Documentation	281
Soil Handbook	284
Soil Maps Made by Other Methods	289
Supporting Data	291
References	292
Chapter 5.—Digital Soil Mapping	295
Principles and Concepts	295
Stages and Processes	299
Applications of Digital Soil Mapping	341
Summary	346
References	346
Chapter 6.—Tools for Proximal Soil Sensing	355
Introduction	355
Common Geophysical Methods	356
Less Common Proximal Sensing Methods	376
References	387
Chapter 7.—Soil Survey Data Collection,	
Management, and Dissemination	395
Introduction	395
Automated Data Processing in Soil Survey	396
Recording Data and Information—Field and Lab	400
Soil Information Systems	415
History of Soil Data Management in the U.S	
References	432
Chapter 8.—Interpretations: The Impact of Soil	
Properties on Land Use	433
Introduction	433

	Interpretive Models	436
	Current U.S. Interpretive System	440
	Map Units and Soil Interpretations	446
	Interpretive Soil Properties	449
	Dynamic Soil Properties	456
	Interpretive Applications	457
	Areal Application of Interpretations	475
	References	478
C	hapter 9.—Assessing Dynamic Soil Properties	
	and Soil Change	481
	Importance of DSPs	481
	How to Collect DSPs for Soil Survey	483
	Data Collection Plan	489
	Analyzing Dynamic Soil Property Data	497
	Summary of DSPs in Soil Survey	501
	References	502
C	hapter 10.—Subaqueous Soil Survey	505
	Introduction	
	Sampling, Description, Characterization, and Classification	506
	Soil-Landscape Relationships	513
	Survey Methods and Procedures	516
	Significance of Subaqueous Soil Information	519
	References	521
C	hapter 11.—Human-Altered and Human-	
	Transported Soils	525
	Introduction	525
	Background	526
	Importance	531
	Occurrence	532
	Identification	532
	Description	536
	Survey Methods and Procedures	537
	Pedon Descriptions	549
	References	552
A	ppendix 1.—Official Soil Series Description	555
	Olton Series	555

Appendix 2.—Detailed Map Unit Description	561
OcA—Olton clay loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	561
Appendix 3.—NCSS Soil Characterization	
Database	563
Appendix 4.—Web Soil Survey	573
Soil Survey Maps and Map Products	573
Index	587

List of Figures

Chapter 1.— Soil and Soil Survey	
No figures.	
Chapter 2.—Landscapes, Geomorphology, and Site	
Description	
Figure 2-1	23
A talus cone in a canyon illustrating a distinct, sharp break between landforms.	
Figure 2-2	26
A scarp slope of a cuesta above an alluvial flat.	
Figure 2-3	27
Diagram of the change of dominant surface fragment sizes and percentages along a transect of a scarp slope on a cuesta.	
Figure 2-4	27
A comparison of digital maps with $60 \times 60 \text{ m}$ and $10 \times 10 \text{ m}$ grid sizes.	
Figure 2-5	28
Images showing changes in slope class interpretation as affected by DEM resolution from LiDAR.	
Figure 2-6	32
A canyonlands landscape in the San Rafael Swell, Utah.	
Figure 2-7	33
Loess hill and river valley landforms in western Iowa, along the Missouri River.	
Figure 2-8	34
Turf hummock microfeatures in a wet meadow in Oregon.	
Figure 2-9	39
A quarry as an example of an anthropogenic landform.	
Figure 2-10	40
Soil conservation terraces as an example of an anthropogenic microfeature.	
Figure 2-11	41
Effect of slope aspect on vegetation and tree seedling survival.	

Figure 2-12	42
Simple versus complex slopes and slope positions.	
Figure 2-13	43
Complex slopes on a hillslope of interbedded sedimentary rocks in Wildcat Hills, Nebraska.	
Figure 2-14	46
Slope shape based on combinations of surface curvature.	
Figure 2-15	47
Three-dimensional depiction of geomorphic components of hills.	
Figure 2-16	48
Three-dimensional depiction of geomorphic components of terraces and stepped landforms.	
Figure 2-17	49
Three-dimensional depiction of geomorphic components of mountains.	
Figure 2-18	50
Three-dimensional depiction of geomorphic components of flat plains.	
Figure 2-19	51
Water ponding in the microlows in an area of Vertisols that exhibits gilgai microfeatures.	
Figure 2-20	51
Illustrations and descriptive terms for drainage patterns.	
Chapter 3.—Examination and Description of Soil	
Profiles	
Figure 3-1	89
A shallow soil pit with a face that has been cleaned and prepared for describing the soil profile.	
Figure 3-2	90
A horizontal view of a fragipan from a soil in Tennessee.	
Figure 3-3	98
A soil with a permanently frozen ice layer between depths of 60 and 130 cm.	
Figure 3-4	113
Examples of topography classes for horizon boundaries.	
Figure 3-5	115
Five kinds of near surface subzones.	
Figure 3-6	121
Relationships among particle-size classes of the USDA system and four other systems.	

Figure 3-7	125
USDA textural triangle showing the percentages of clay, silt, and sand in the 12 basic texture classes.	
Figure 3-8	135
A soil in which the layers below a depth of about 20 cm are very cobbly loamy sand.	
Figure 3-9	143
An area of bouldery soil (class 1).	
Figure 3-10	143
An area of very bouldery soil (class 2).	
Figure 3-11	144
An area of extremely bouldery soil (class 3).	
Figure 3-12	144
An area of rubbly soil (class 4).	
Figure 3-13	145
An area of very rubbly soil (class 5).	
Figure 3-14	147
The arrangement of color chips according to value and chroma on the Munsell soil-color card of hue 10YR.	
Figure 3-15	148
A schematic diagram showing relationships among hue, value, and chroma in the Munsell color system.	
Figure 3-16	157
Examples of soil structure types.	
Figure 3-17	158
Prismatic soil structure.	
Figure 3-18	159
Peds with angular blocky structure.	
Figure 3-19	163
Large reversible trans-horizon cracks extending from the soil surface deep into the subsoil of a clayey soil.	
Figure 3-20	164
Shiny clay films coating the surface of a ped.	
Figure 3-21	165
Sand grains coated and bridged with illuvial clay.	
Figure 3-22	166
Prominent slickensides in the Bss horizon of a Vertisol.	
Figure 3-23	169
Masses of secondary calcium carbonate in the calcic horizon of an Aridisol.	

Figure 3-24	170
A soil with a reticulately mottled zone with plinthite below a depth of about 2 feet.	
Figure 3-25	171
A cluster of gympsum crystals (selenite) in an Aridisol.	
Figure 3-26	176
Schematic diagram of diagnostic carbonate morphology for the four main stages of carbonate accumulation in two morphogenetic sequences.	
Figure 3-27	179
Redoximorphic features consisting of a redox concentration as an iron mass and an iron depletion.	
Figure 3-28	190
A field test on a soil with a moderately fluid manner of failure class.	
Figure 3-29	206
Jarosite concentrations that formed due to oxidation in a drained marsh soil containing sulfides.	
Figure 3-30	212
Model-based curve for a medium textured horizon and the relationship of water state class limits to water contents determined from the desorption curve.	
Figure 3-31	224
Bulk density classes: low, medium, and high.	
Figure 3-32	225
Saturated hydraulic conductivity classes based on bulk density and texture relationships.	
Chapter 4.—Soil Mapping Concepts	
Figure 4-1	242
A truck-mounted hydraulic probe.	
Figure 4-2	243
A backhoe excavation and safety measures in deep trenches.	
Figure 4-3	247
Illustration of polypedons.	
Figure 4-4	258
Three images of an area that meets the definition of a soil complex.	
Figure 4-5	259
Block diagram depicting the relationship of the soils in the Monona-Ida-Judson association in the general soil map of Woodbury County, Iowa.	
Figure 4-6	277
Diagram illustrating soil correlation as a continuous process.	

apter 5.—Digital Soil Mapping	
Figure 5-1	306
Comparison of spectral bands of common sensors to the reflectance spectra of common materials.	
Figure 5-2	320
Flow chart illustrating the general steps in selecting environmental covariates.	
Figure 5-3	322
Simplistic representation of sampling locations as determined by simple random, systematic, stratified random, and multistage random sampling designs.	
Figure 5-4	324
A comparison of the distribution of simple random, stratified random, and cLHS sampling methods over the data range of a slope gradient covariate.	
Figure 5-5	327
ISODATA unsupervised classification of both terrain and spectral data derivatives in eastern Emery County, Utah.	
Figure 5-6	329
Simplistic representation of hard classification and fuzzy classification.	
Figure 5-7	330
Supervised fuzzy classification of Landsat imagery for an area along the east shore of the Great Salt Lake, Utah.	
Figure 5-8	332
Output from a hierarchical decision-tree knowledge-based classification for four classes.	
Figure 5-9	333
Classification using random forests method for parent material classes in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness, Minnesota.	
Figure 5-10	336
Interpolation using ordinary kriging of soil K concentration in the Salt Lake City Valley, Utah.	
Figure 5-11	341
Example of the confusion index for soil class prediction over approximately 300 km² in the Powder River Basin, Wyoming.	
Figure 5-12	342
Example of prediction intervals and prediction interval width for soil depth to a restricting layer over approximately 50 km² in San Juan County Utah	

Fi	igure 5-13	343
	Example of a disaggregation of SSURGO in West Virginia.	
Chap	oter 6.—Tools for Proximal Soil Sensing	
Fi	igure 6-1	359
	A typical GPR system.	
Fi	igure 6-2	360
	A radar record showing well expressed spodic and argillic horizons in a Pomona soil in north-central Florida.	
Fi	igure 6-3	361
	A radar record showing a discontinuity separating a loamy eolian mantle from sandy glacial outwash in southern Rhode Island.	
Fi	igure 6-4	362
	A terrain-corrected radar record in which a water table provides a high-amplitude reflector in a dune field in Indiana.	
Fi	igure 6-5	363
	A radar record from an area of Freetown soils showing the thickness of organic soil materials that overlie coarse textured glacial outwash.	
Fi	igure 6-6	367
	Three of the commercially available ground conductivity meters used in soil investigations.	
Fi	igure 6-7	369
	Spatial variations in EC_a within the upper 150 cm of the soil profiles at a site in northern Texas.	
Fi	igure 6-8	369
	Spatial variations in EC_a within the upper 150 cm of five soils in northern Iowa.	
Fi	igure 6-9	371
	Spatial distribution of EC_a across a cultivated field in north-central Montana.	
Fi	igure 6-10	373
	A towed electrode-array soil EC_a mapping system behind a utility vehicle in a field of corn stubble.	
Fi	igure 6-11	374
	Maps of apparent conductivity prepared from shallow and deep data collected in west-central Illinois.	
Fi	igure 6-12	376
	A common capacitively induced coupling resistivity system.	
Fi	igure 6-13	376
	A soil electrical conductivity depth profile from an agricultural test plot at Ohio State University.	

Figure 6-14	377
Magnetic surveying with a cesium vapor gradiometer integrated with a global positioning system receiver.	
Figure 6-15	380
A portable XRF spectrometer.	500
Figure 6-16	382
A probe equipped with insertion load sensors and two spectrometers.	
Figure 6-17	383
A vehicle-mounted passive gamma-ray sensor.	
Figure 6-18	386
A sampling mechanism for a towed system that simultaneously maps soil pH and apparent electrical conductivity.	
Chapter 7.—Soil Survey Data Collection, Management, and Dissemination	
Figure 7-1	406
Standard pedon description form.	
Figure 7-2	413
Conceptual model showing the relationships and degree of generalization of data between different map scales and products.	
Figure 7-3	416
An illustration of the increased number of users of NRCS's Web Soil Survey application.	
Chapter 8.—Interpretations: The Impact of Soil	
Properties on Land Use	4.4.1
Figure 8-1 Membership function for slope percent for a limitation style	441
interpretation. Figure 8-2	112
Graphs representing the three basic suitability styles.	443
	111
Figure 8-3 Diagram of a hypothetical parent rule for dwellings with basements.	444
Figure 8-4	476
A soil map showing the distribution of mapping units on the landscape and an interpretive map showing limitations for local roads and streets.	
Figure 8-5	479
Conceptual framework of raster-based soil interpretation	

Chapter 9.—Assessing Dynamic Soil Properties and Soil Change	
Figure 9-1	183
Relationship between soil functions and some dynamic soil properties.	403
Figure 9-2	488
A generalized cross-section of a soil landscape near Olivia, Minnesota.	
Figure 9-3	491
Documentation from the Georgia Longleaf Pine Dynamic Soil Property project.	
Figure 9-4	492
Example of pedon placement for a paired site in Dodge County, Nebraska.	
Figure 9-5	493
Example of detailed plot sampling instructions for a rangeland DSP project in Utah.	
Figure 9-6	498
Dynamic soil property data in relation to ecological sites, soil interpretations, and monitoring data.	
Figure 9-7	500
Dynamic soil properties of 0–2 cm samples for two DSP projects for soil organic carbon measured as total carbon and water stable aggregates.	
Chapter 10.—Subaqueous Soil Survey	
Figure 10-1	508
A pontoon boat used for subaqueous soil sampling.	
Figure 10-2	509
Equipment for vibracore sampling.	
Figure 10-3	510
A core barrel and core catcher.	
Figure 10-4	511
A core from subaqueous sampling.	
Figure 10-5	512
Incubation pH for three horizons from a Fluventic Sulftwassent.	
Figure 10-6	514
Examples of subaqueous landscape units across a coastal lagoon.	
Figure 10-7	515
Soil-landscape relationships across a coastal lagoon in Rhode Island and Connecticut	

Figure 10-8	519
GPR output for a freshwater lake with thick organic materials.	
Chapter 11.—Human-Altered and Human-	
Transported Soils	
Figure 11-1	527
Landfill complex in Virginia.	
Figure 11-2	528
Machu Picchu, Peru.	
Figure 11-3	529
Profile of the Laguardia soil series showing artifacts in multiple deposits of human-transported material.	
Figure 11-4	530
An ancient Roman urban anthroscape.	
Figure 11-5	533
McMurdo Station, Antarctica.	
Figure 11-6	551
A profile of the Ladyliberty soil series.	
Appendix 1.—Official Soil Series Description	
Figure A-1	560
Profile of the Olton series.	
Appendix 2.—Detailed Map Unit Description	
No figures.	
Appendix 3.—NCSS Soil Characterization Database	
No figures.	
Appendix 4.—Web Soil Survey	
Figure A-2	574
Soil map showing an area of interest on the Southern High Plains of western Texas and eastern New Mexico.	
Figure A-3	574
The map legend and conventional symbols found on soil maps.	
Figure A-4	579
Map showing land capability class.	
Figure A-5	580
Map showing hydrologic soil groups.	
Figure A-6.	581
Map showing ecological sites.	
Figure A-7	582
Shortgrass/blue gramma dominant community of the Deep Hardland ecological site (R077CY022TX)	

LIST OF	FIGURES
---------	---------

	•
XV	1

Figure A-8	83
State-and-transition model showing pathways and causes of change	
in the plant communities.	

List of Tables

Chapter 1.—Soil and Soil Survey No tables.	
Chapter 2.—Landscapes, Geomorphology, and Site Description	
Table 2-1	31
Physiographic Location, Relative Scale (in Descending Order) and Examples in the U.S.	
Table 2-2	35
Prominent Geomorphic Environments and Processes in the U.S. and Examples	
Table 2-3	44
Definitions of Slope Classes	
Table 2-4	47
Geomorphic Component Terms for Hills	
Table 2-5	48
Geomorphic Component Terms for Terraces and Stepped Landforms	
Table 2-6	49
Geomorphic Component Terms for Mountains	
Table 2-7	50
Geomorphic Component Terms for Flat Plains	
Table 2-8	62
Types of Landslide Deposits	
Table 2-9	64
General Groups of Parent Materials Based on Geomorphic Process or Setting	
Table 2-10	69
Lithostratigraphic Units and Their Hierarchical Rank and Definition	
Table 2-11	71
Kinds of Accelerated Frasian	

Table 2-12	76
Degree Classes for Accelerated Soil Erosion	
apter 3.—Examination and Description of Soil	
Profiles	
Table 3-1	126
General Soil Texture Groups	
Table 3-2	134
Terms for Rock Fragments and Pararock Fragments	
Table 3-3	135
Guide for Determining Rock Fragment Modifier of Texture for Soils with a Mixture of Rock Fragment Sizes	
Table 3-4	142
Classes of Surface Stones and Boulders in Terms of Cover and Spacing	
Table 3-5	153
Color Contrast Class Terms and Their Criteria	
Table 3-6	160
Size Class Terms for Peds with Various Soil Structure Types	
Table 3-7	183
Rupture Resistance Classes for Blocklike Specimens	
Table 3-8	185
Rupture Resistance Classes Applied to Crushing Plate- Shaped Specimens	
Table 3-9	186
Plasticity Classes	
Table 3-10	186
Toughness Classes	
Table 3-11	187
Stickiness Classes	
Table 3-12	188
Manner of Failure Classes	
Table 3-13	191
Penetration Resistance Classes	
Table 3-14	192
Excavation Difficulty Classes	

Table 3-15	199
Reaction Class Terms and Their Ranges in pH	
Table 3-16	200
Effervescence Class Terms	
Table 3-17	202
Salinity Class Terms	
Table 3-18	207
Frequency and Duration of Inundation Classes (Flooding or Ponding)	
Table 3-19	209
Water State Classes	
Table 3-20	215
Classes of Internal Free Water	
Table 3-21	216
Example of a Water State Annual Pattern	
Table 3-22	221
Classes of Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity	
Table 3-23	222
Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity Class Limits in Equivalent Units	
Chapter 4.—Soil Mapping Concepts	
Table 4-1	250
Kinds of Map Unit Components Used in Soil Survey	
Table 4-2	254
Miscellaneous Areas Used as Map Unit Components	
Table 4-3	267
Phases Most Commonly Used in Naming Soil Map Units	
Table 4-4	270
Key for Identifying Orders of Soil Surveys	
Table 4-5	281
Major Applications of Soil Survey Standards	
Chapter 5.—Digital Soil Mapping	
Table 5-1	312
Selected Primary and Compound Terrain Attributes Used in Digital Soil Mapping	

Table 5-2	315
Spectral Band Ratios Used in Digital Soil Mapping	
Table 5-3	338
Confusion Matrix of Three Modeled Soil Subgroup	
Classes	
Chapter 6.—Tools for Proximal Soil Sensing	
Table 6-1	357
Methods of Proximal Soil Sensing and Their Primary Application in Soil Survey	
Chapter 7.—Soil Survey Data Collection,	
Management, and Dissemination	
No tables.	
Chapter 8.—Interpretations: The Impact of Soil Properties on Land Use	
Table 8-1	438
Interpretive Soil Properties and Limitation Classes for Septic Tank Absorption Fields	
Table 8-2	439
Values of Applicable Interpretive Properties for Septic Systems for an Aksarben Component	
Table 8-3	477
Limitation Ratings for Local Roads and Streets for the Albrights Map Unit (AbB)	
Chapter 9.—Assessing Dynamic Soil Properties	
and Soil Change	
Table 9-1	493
DSP Project Data Elements Collected at Site (Across Plot) Scales	
Table 9-2	494
DSP Project Data Elements Collected at Pedons; Multiple Locations per Site/Plot	
Table 9-3	496
Measurements of Dynamic Soil Properties on Individual Samples	
Chapter 10.—Subaqueous Soil Survey	
No tables.	

Chapter 11.—Human-Altered and Human-	
Transported Soils	
Table 11-1	543
Soil Taxonomy Subgroups and HAHT Soil Concepts	
Table 11-2	544
Soil Taxonomy Family Terms and HAHT Soil Concepts	
Appendix 1.—Official Soil Series Description	
No tables.	
Appendix 2.—Detailed Map Unit Description	
No tables.	
Appendix 3.—NCSS Soil Characterization	
Database	
Table A-1	564
Primary Characterization Data	
Table A-2	570
Supplementary Characterization Data	
Appendix 4.—Web Soil Survey	
Table A-3	575
Map Unit Symbols and Names Displayed on the Soil Map for the Area of Interest	
Table A-4	576
Aggregation Methods	
Table A-5	584
Engineering Properties and Classifications	
Table A-6	585
Soil Chemical Properties	

Introduction to the Fourth Edition

By Craig Ditzler, Kenneth Scheffe, and H. Curtis Monger, USDA–NRCS.

The Soil Survey Manual, USDA Handbook No. 18, provides the major principles and practices needed for making and using soil surveys and for assembling and using related data. The term "soil survey" is used here to encompass the process of mapping, describing, classifying, and interpreting natural three-dimensional bodies of soil on the landscape. This work is performed by the National Cooperative Soil Survey in the United States and by other similar organizations worldwide. The Manual provides guidance, methodology, and terminology for conducting a soil survey but does not necessarily convey policies and protocols required to administer soil survey operations. The soil bodies contain a sequence of identifiable horizons and layers that occur in repeating patterns in the landscape as a result of the factors of soil formation as described by Dokuchaev (1883) and Jenny (1941). Soil scientists gain an understanding of the factors of soil formation in their area, along with the resulting expression of their interaction in the soil, and are then able to make maps of the natural soil bodies quite efficiently (Hudson, 1992). The maps of soil bodies are related to, but different from, maps of single soil properties, such as organic matter or pH. The latter are made by sampling and statistical modeling to show how these properties vary over the landscape.

Purpose

The Manual is intended primarily for use by soil scientists engaged in the work of making soil surveys. It is an especially important reference for soil scientists early in their careers as they learn the many complex aspects of making a soil survey. It is also an important reference for experienced soil surveyors who want to review the details regarding many of the standards used in soil survey. For example, chapter 3, "Examination and Description of Soil Profiles," contains the accepted

xxiv Introduction

terms and definitions for specific soil properties that are used when describing soil profiles in the field. It also contains extensive information describing each soil property and the proper procedures for observing or measuring it in the field. The Manual is therefore an important companion to other soil survey references, such as the National Soil Survey Handbook (USDA-NRCS, 2016), the Field Book for Describing and Sampling Soils (Schoeneberger and Wysocki, 2012), and the Keys to Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2014).

Although the Manual is oriented to the needs of those actively engaged in preparing soil surveys, workers and students who have limited soil science experience or are less familiar with the soil survey process can also use the information. Teachers, researchers, and students of soil science and related disciplines, especially those interested in pedology, soil morphology, soil geography, ecology, geomorphology, and the science underlying soil survey, will find this manual useful. Resource specialists, such as wetland scientists, foresters, and agronomists, and others who use soil surveys in their work, can refer to the Manual to better understand how soil surveys are made and how to interpret the technical information they provide. Parts of the Manual, especially those concerning the description of soils in the field and the soil properties considered when predicting soil behavior under a specific use, have been adopted by private-sector soil scientists as standards. The Soil Survey Manual has proven to be an important source of information for government agencies, nongovernmental organizations, and private-sector resource specialists in other countries involved in soil survey projects. Because the Manual describes all facets of the soil survey process, it is an important guide for developing proposals to conduct soil surveys and to create detailed plans for projects in other parts of the world.

The Manual serves as the guiding document for activities of the National Cooperative Soil Survey (NCSS), a cooperative undertaking led by the United States Department of Agriculture. The NCSS includes other Federal and State agencies, universities, non-governmental organizations, and private-sector soil scientists interested in making soil surveys and/or interpreting and using soil survey information. The original Federal authority for the Soil Survey of the United States is contained in the record of the 53rd Congress, Chapter 169, Agricultural Appropriations Act of 1896. The authority was elaborated in Public Law 74-46, the Soil Conservation Act of April 27, 1936, and again in Public Law 89-560, Soil Surveys for Resource Planning and Development, September 7, 1966. The Manual is the primary reference on the principles and technical details used by the local, State, and Federal contributors to soil surveys authorized under these acts.

Need for Additions and Revisions

Since the third edition (1993) of the Manual was printed, significant changes have occurred that affect the ways soil surveys are made. In the United States, greater emphasis is now placed on the maintenance and modernization of previously completed soil surveys. Because of this, some soil scientists are now evaluating and improving existing surveys rather than making new soil surveys. The wide application of computer technology, in both the office and the field, has led to a proliferation of electronic data sources, including digital elevation models (DEMs), Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR), digital geology maps and vegetation maps, and multi-spectral remote sensing data. The electronic data sources, combined with computer models that capture and apply knowledge of the interaction of the soil-forming factors, have allowed soil scientists to partially, and in a few cases totally, automate the soil mapping process. This has had an important impact on the scientist's ability to formalize and document the soil-landscape models used to produce soil survey maps. It has also led to improved consistency in the maps produced using these methods. In addition, tools used for proximal sensing of soil properties, such as ground-penetrating radar and electromagnetic induction, have been increasingly used in special soil survey field studies. Greater attention is also being given to recognizing anthropogenic influences on soils. This has resulted in a need for the development of new standards for horizon nomenclature for humanaltered soils, new terminology for describing human-made materials (artifacts) in soil profiles, and new classification groups. Soil surveys have also been conducted to a greater extent in shallow water (subaquatic) environments. New field procedures, descriptive terms, and taxonomic classes have been developed for conducting this innovative work.

Because of these changes, a major revision of the Manual was considered essential. Many parts have been revised, some parts have been extensively rewritten, and some new sections have been added. Entirely new subject matter in this edition of the Soil Survey Manual includes:

- Chapter 5, "Digital Soil Mapping." This chapter presents many concepts and principles that have been developed regarding the use of computers and digital technology to aid in the making of soil surveys.
- Chapter 6, "Tools for Proximal Soil Sensing." This chapter covers recent advances in the use of noninvasive tools for rapidly collecting information about soil properties.

xxvi Introduction

- Chapter 9, "Assessing Dynamic Soil Properties and Soil Change." This chapter provides important information for documenting key soil properties, particularly in the near surface layers that are significantly impacted by soil management practices.
- Chapter 10, "Subaqueous Soil Survey." This chapter covers the
 emerging specialized field of making soil surveys in shallow
 water environments. This work is proving to be highly valuable to
 resource managers, especially in coastal estuarine environments.
- Chapter 11, "Human-Altered and Human-Transported Soils."
 This chapter provides valuable guidance on making soil surveys in environments heavily impacted by humans. Examples include urban areas, mined sites, and drastically changed soils used for agriculture.
- Appendices. The new appendices reflect the current form and content of web-accessible soil survey information in the United States. They are cross referenced in various places throughout the text.

Other significant revisions include:

- The former chapter 3 ("Examination and Description of Soils") is now split into two chapters: "Landscapes, Geomorphology, and Site Description" (chapter 2) and "Examination and Description of Soil Profiles" (chapter 3). This effectively separates the details for describing landscapes, geomorphology, and local site characteristics from the details for describing individual soil profiles. Both chapters incorporate all of the changes and additions to standard technical terms and their definitions that have been adopted by the National Cooperative Soil Survey since the previous publication of the Manual.
- The former chapters 2 ("Soil Systematics") and 4 ("Mapping Techniques") are combined and revised into a new chapter 4, "Soil Mapping Concepts." Information in the previous edition on procedures that have since become obsolete or nearly so (such as the use of stereoscopes and aerial photo pairs to visualize landforms in three dimensions, "color checking" to manually inspect maps for proper joining of units, and use of dot-grids to determine the aerial extent of map units) has been omitted.
- The former chapters 5 ("Information Recording and Management") and 7 ("Disseminating Soil Survey Information") are revised and updated into the new chapter 7, "Soil Survey Data Collection, Management, and Dissemination." The new chapter discusses the use of computer databases to effectively

store and manage soil survey information as well as provide information to end users. It also includes a historical summary of the development of the National Soil Information System (NASIS) in the United States. The summary may be useful to those outside the U.S. who are considering the development of a similar database.

• The former chapter 6 ("Interpretations") is revised and updated into the new chapter 8 ("Interpretations: The Impact of Soil Properties on Land Use"). The new chapter describes some of the latest strategies for making current interpretations more quantitative and providing interpretive information for anticipated uses.

Online Access

Given the rapid pace of technological change, flexibility is needed to provide information in a timely manner. In addition to a bound, hard-copy version of the *Soil Survey Manual*, a web-based version is also provided. The electronic version has convenient access and distribution of the information, and it affords users the option to "print on demand" individual parts or the entire document. The user can view each section of the Manual as a stand-alone chapter or view the entire document. The sections are arranged to correspond to the approximate chronological order of the work required to complete a soil survey. The reader has the choice of focusing on individual parts of interest or exploring the larger picture of conducting a soil survey project from beginning to end. Additional supplementary information not provided in the printed version will be included with the electronic version.

Citation and Authorship

The previous edition of the *Soil Survey Manual* (Soil Survey Division Staff, 1993) simply listed the author as the Soil Survey Division Staff. The contents of the Manual represented the collective contributions of many people over several decades. The new edition continues to recognize the innumerable past contributors by including the Soil Science Divison Staff as an author for chapters that retain significant portions of the previous publication. These chapters contain information that has been used for decades as well as new information related to improved methods and/ or new terminology. For the updated chapters, authors responsible for

xxviii Introduction

revisions are listed in addition to the Soil Science Division Staff. For entirely new chapters, only individual contributing authors are cited by name. Technical content of the Manual was revised and edited by Craig Ditzler, Kenneth Scheffe, and H. Curtis Monger. English content was revised and edited by Jennifer Sutherland and Aaron Achen.

Recommended Citations

For individual chapters, provide authors and chapter title. For example:

Adamchuk, V.I., B. Allred, J. Doolittle, K. Grote, and R.A. Viscarra Rossel. 2017. Tools for proximal soil sensing. *In* C. Ditzler, K. Scheffe, and H.C. Monger (eds.) Soil survey manual, USDA Handbook 18, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., pp. 355–394.

For the complete manual:

Soil Science Division Staff. 2017. Soil survey manual. C. Ditzler, K. Scheffe, and H.C. Monger (eds.). USDA Handbook 18. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Acknowledgements

The following individuals provided valuable assistance in the development and review of this edition of the Manual: Tim Warner, West Virginia University; Colby Brungard, New Mexico State University; Katey Yoast, USDA Food and Nutrition Service; Christopher Dorian, private consultant; and Natural Resource Conservation Service employees W. Dwain Daniels, Tony Jenkins, Dylan Beaudette, Julie Baker, Tammy Umholtz, Robert Long, Thomas D'Avello, Travis Nauman, Jessica Philippe, and Stephen Roecker.

Seminal contributions were made during the 1954 to 1977 era of field-based soil-geomorphic research projects in Iowa, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oregon, and West Texas. These projects were sponsored by the SCS Soil Survey Investigations Division (SSI) under the direction of G.D. Smith. SCS-SSI scientists who investigated fundamental soil-geomorphic principles in these projects included geologists R.V. Ruhe, J.W. Hawley, and C. Balster and pedologists L.H. Gile, R.B. Grossman, F.F. Peterson, R.B. Daniels, E. Gamble, J.E. Witty, W.C. Lynn, W.D. Nettleton, and R. Parsons.

References

- Dokuchaev, V.V 1883. Russian chernozem. (Translated from Russian by N. Kaner, 1967.) Available from U.S. Department of Commerce, Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information, Springfield, VA.
- Hudson, B.D. 1992. The soil survey as a paradigm-based science. Soil Science Society of America Journal 56:836-841.
- Jenny, Hans. 1941. Factors of soil formation: A system of quantitative pedology. McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, NY.
- Schoeneberger, P.J., and D.A. Wysocki. 2012. Geomorphic Description System, version 4.2. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Soil Survey Center, Lincoln, NE.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- Soil Survey Staff. 2014. Keys to soil taxonomy, 12th edition. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242 [Accessed 22 August 2016]