

Decker, Denise - Washington, DC

From: Kelly [kelly@bradfordmutual.com]
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 12:47 PM
To: RA.dcwashing2.frpp
Subject: Interim Final Rule

251

Dear Sirs:

The FFRP rule should be changed to reflect Congressional intent and to work more effectively for farmers and state and local program partners

Please be aware, the federal government is not purchasing a federal property interest, but rather the right to enforce the terms of an easement when and if necessary;
I feel the USDA should establish a meaningful certification process to reduce cumbersome and unneeded program requirements for experienced entities;

While well-intentioned, the new forest management plan requirement is burdensome and should be eliminated or significantly revised;

The rule should follow Congressional intent and give program partners the flexibility to design and implement their own impervious surfaces standards; and,

The new national ranking criteria do not adequately recognize state and local farmland protection goals; entities that become certified should be allowed to use their own criteria for ranking farmland protection projects.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kelly M. Reagan
Franklin Grove, IL