

Decker, Denise - Washington, DC

From: BGgr34@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, March 14, 2009 10:46 PM
To: RA.dcwashing2.frpp
Subject: Docket Number NRCS-IFR-08006

274

To whom it concerns at USDA,

I am writing on behalf of farmland.org.

We believe you must address the following five issues to improve the program's flexibility for state and local partners:

- Make it clear that the federal government is not purchasing a federal property interest, but rather the right to enforce the terms of an easement when and if necessary;
- USDA should establish a meaningful certification process to reduce cumbersome and unneeded program requirements for experienced entities;
- While well-intentioned, the new forest management plan requirement is burdensome and should be eliminated or significantly revised;
- The rule should follow Congressional intent and give program partners the flexibility to design and implement their own impervious surfaces standards; and,
- The new national ranking criteria do not adequately recognize state and local farmland protection goals; entities that become certified should be allowed to use their own criteria for ranking farmland protection projects.

Sincerely,

Erin Yarrobino

Need a job? [Find employment help in your area.](#)