

Connecticut Basin EQIP Ranking Sheet 2002

Producer Name:		14 Digit Hyd. Unit Code:	
Number of Animal Units to be Treated **IMPORTANT**			
Resource Concern:		Potential Points	Point Rating:
Location Factors:			
L1.	Groundwater impact: Existing contamination in Community or Private Well	400	
	or High likelihood of contamination in Community or Private Well	300	
Animal or Animal Waste Issues:			
A1.	Barnyard/Livestock Concentration Area to be adequately treated: Use corresponding score from Table 1 according to level of impact and distance to water body.	200	
A2.	Adequate Manure Storage to be Constructed: Use corresponding score from Table 2 according to number of animal units treated and likelihood of impact to water body.	450	
A3.	Milkhouse Waste to be adequately treated: Use corresponding score from Table 1 according to level of impact and distance to water body.	200	
A4.	Silo Leachate to be adequately treated: Use corresponding score from Table 1 according to level of impact and distance to water body.	200	
Nutrient Management & Pest Management Issues:			
E1.	Nutrient management according to plan. Use corresponding score from Table 3 according to intensity of management and % of total land under management.	300	
E2.	Integrated Pest Management Plan to be implemented. Use corresponding score from Table 4 according to type of crop and % of total land under management.	350	
Excessive Soil Erosion Issues:			
S1.	Treatment will adequately address concentrated flow/gully erosion on contracted area: This does not apply to streambank erosion.		
	Reduce by > 1,000 Cubic Feet/year:	100	
	250 to 1000 Cubic Feet/year:	50	
	less than 250 Cubic Feet/year:	30	
S2.	Cover Crop will be Used on annually cropped fields. 1 point/acre/year (of contracted cover crop establishment)		
S3.	Streambank stabilization will reduce sedimentation by:		
	Reduce by > 10,000 Cubic Feet/year:	100	
	5,001 to 10,000 Cubic Feet/year:	50	
	500 to 5,000 Cubic Feet/year:	30	
Grazing, Riparian, Forestry Issues:			
G1.	Producer will develop and implement a grazing management (prescribed grazing) plan: 3 points/acre up to 150 points	150	
G2.	Producer will implement livestock exclusion practice to protect stream corridors or wetlands: 2 points/100 feet of fence up to maximum of 100 pts.	100	
G3.	Restoration of cropped or grazed riparian areas to meet NRCS minimum standards for filter strip or riparian forest buffers: (10 points per 100 feet of streambank, up to maximum of 100 points)	100	

Connecticut Basin EQIP Ranking Sheet 2002

G4.	Producer will develop and/or implement a Forestry Management Plan with a certified forester to meet NRCS Resource Management System standards: 1 point/acre up to maximum of 250 pts.	250	
Offer Index =EQIP Contract Cost-shared Cost(\$)/ Individual's Score			
	Cost-shared cost:		
	Individual Score:		
	Individual's Offer Index:		
	Producer is willing to accept state BMP funds and will implement structural practices within 2 years.	Yes or No	
	I allow the release of this ranking worksheet to the Vermont Department of Agriculture for the purpose of acquiring BMP funds.		
	_____ Producer Signature	_____ Date	