

Ranking Pool: NM FY24 Team 10 IRA Act Now

Program: EQIP States: NM (Admin) Pool Status: Active

**Template:** EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023 **Template Status:** Active

Last 01/17/202

**Last** Margaret Gnann **Modified By:** Modified: 4

#### **Land Uses and Modifiers**

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land					N/A					
Crop			х							
Pasture										
Range			N/A		N/A					
Water	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A					

#### **Resource Concern Categories**

Categories					
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Air quality emissions	0	5	100		
Aquatic habitat	0	5	100		
Degraded plant condition	0	5	100		
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	5	100		
Fire management	0	5	100		
Inefficient energy use	0	10	100		
Pest pressure	0	5	100		
Soil quality limitations	0	30	100		
Terrestrial habitat	0	30	100		

Air quality emissions			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen	0	20	100
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	20	100
Emissions of ozone precursors	0	20	100

01/17/2024 Page 1 of 6

Air quality emissions			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	20	100
Objectionable odor	0	20	100

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100		
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100		
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100		

Fire management			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Inefficient energy use			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities	0	50	100
Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations	0	50	100

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

01/17/2024 Page 2 of 6

Soil quality limitations			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	15	100
Compaction	0	20	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	15	80
Organic matter depletion	0	20	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	20	100
Subsidence	0	10	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

## **Practices**

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	329	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Burning	338	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Combustion System Improvement	372	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	380	Conservation Practices
Silvopasture	381	Conservation Practices
Fuel Break	383	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	Conservation Practices
Filter Strip	393	Conservation Practices
Grassed Waterway	412	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices

01/17/2024 Page 3 of 6

Practice Name Practice Code Practice Name		
Hedgerow Planting	422	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Pipeline	430	Conservation Practices
Sprinkler System	442	Conservation Practices
Mulching	484	Conservation Practices
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	Conservation Practices
Energy Efficient Lighting System	670	Conservation Practices
Energy Efficient Building Envelope	672	Conservation Practices

## **Ranking Weights**

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

# Display Group: NM FY 24 Team 10 IRA Act Now (Active)

1 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

### **Survey: Applicability Questions**

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

01/17/2024 Page 4 of 6

Section: Applicability			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Is the majority of the project 75% located within the Roswell Artesian Basin Watershed located in Team 10?	YES		
	NO		

## **Survey: Category Questions**

Section: Category Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Will this application address at least one CSAF Practice included within this ranking pool?	YES		
	NO		

## **Survey: Program Questions**

Section: Program Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
How many CSAF practices will be planned in this application?	The application contains three or more core practices.	30	
	The application contains two core practices.	20	
	The application contains one core practice.	10	
Did the applicant self-certify as a beginning farmer or rancher on the NRCS-CPA-1200?	YES	85	
	NO	0	
Did the applicant self-certify as a socially disadvantaged farmer or rancher on the NRCS-CPA-1200?	YES	85	
	NO	0	

## **Survey: Resource Questions**

Section: Resource Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Choose the measurable statement that best describes the proposed contract as it relates to addressing irrigation efficiency, with installation of a combination of irrigation system improvements and/or land management practices, utilizing FIRI (choose only 1)	Increases irrigation efficiency by 0.5 to 1% using FIRI.	5	
	Increases irrigation efficiency > 1 to 3% using FIRI.	10	
	Increases irrigation efficiency >3 to 5% using FIRI.	15	
	Increases irrigation efficiency >5 to 10% using FIRI.	20	
	Increases irrigation efficiency >10 to 20% using FIRI.	25	
	Increases irrigation efficiency greater than 20% using FIRI.	30	
	None of the above.	0	

01/17/2024 Page 5 of 6

Section: Resource Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
How many of the Priority resource concerns identified by the LWG will be addressed with practice(s) in proposed contract?	Application addresses 1 of the following Climate- Smart Agriculture and Forestry (CSAF) Mitigation Categories: Soil Quality Limitations Resource Concern Category or Inefficient Energy Use	15	
	Application addresses both of the following Climate- Smart Agriculture and Forestry (CSAF) Mitigation Categories: Soil Quality Limitations Resource Concern Category and Inefficient Energy Use	30	
Will the proposed contract include implementation of a micro irrigation (441), or drip system that will be switching from higher to lower	YES	25	
pressure irrigation systems without increasing irrigated acres, resulting in enhanced application efficiency and reduced energy use?	NO	0	
Will the proposed contract include implementation of a sprinkler system (442), center pivots included, that will be utilizing variable rate irrigation (VRI) technology, switching from higher to lower pressure	YES	30	
irrigation systems, and sprinkler head renozzling without increasing irrigated acres, resulting in enhanced application efficiency and reduced energy use?	NO	0	
Will the proposed contract include implementation of practices (372 or 533) that will replace an existing pumping plant with a higher efficiency		15	
pumping plant?	NO	0	
Will the proposed contract include installation of irrigation pipeline (430), that replaces an earthen channel, that is supplied by pumping	YES	15	
water, with a closed conduit, resulting in enhanced conveyance efficiency and reduced energy use?	NO	0	
Will the proposed contract include implementation Conservation Cover	YES	20	
(327) to improve soil health?	NO	0	
Will the proposed contract include implementation of Cover Crops (340) to maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content?	YES	25	
	NO	0	
Management (500) to impress or Maintain Organic Matter?	YES	10	
	NO	0	

01/17/2024 Page 6 of 6