

Ranking NM FY24 Team 6 IRA Act Now Roy and Clayton

Pool: Range

Program: EQIP States: NM (Admin) Pool Status: Active

Template: EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023

Template Status: Active

Last Margaret Gnann **Modified By:**

Last 01/17/202

Modified: 4

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land					N/A					
Crop										
Farmstead				N/A	N/A					
Pasture										
Range			N/A		N/A					

Resource Concern Categories

Categories					
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Air quality emissions	0	5	100		
Aquatic habitat	0	5	100		
Degraded plant condition	0	25	100		
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	5	100		
Fire management	0	5	100		
Inefficient energy use	0	5	100		
Pest pressure	0	5	100		
Soil quality limitations	0	5	100		
Terrestrial habitat	0	40	100		

Air quality emissions			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen	0	20	100
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	30	100
Emissions of ozone precursors	0	30	100

01/17/2024 Page 1 of 6

Air quality emissions			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	20	100
Objectionable odor	0		100

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100		
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100		
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100		

Fire management			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Inefficient energy use			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities	0	50	100
Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations	0	50	100

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

01/17/2024 Page 2 of 6

		- Ital	iking i ooi ikeport
Soil quality limitations			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	15	100
Compaction	0	20	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	15	80
Organic matter depletion	0	25	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	25	100
Subsidence	0		100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Alley Cropping	311	Conservation Practices
Waste Storage Facility	313	Conservation Practices
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Composting Facility	317	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Conservation Crop Rotation	328	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	329	Conservation Practices
Soil Carbon Amendment	336	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Burning	338	Conservation Practices
Cover Crop	340	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till	345	Conservation Practices
Anaerobic Digester	366	Conservation Practices
Roofs and Covers	367	Conservation Practices
Combustion System Improvement	372	Conservation Practices

01/17/2024 Page 3 of 6

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation	374	Conservation Practices
Forest Farming	379	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	380	Conservation Practices
Silvopasture	381	Conservation Practices
Fuel Break	383	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	Conservation Practices
Field Border	386	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	Conservation Practices
Filter Strip	393	Conservation Practices
Grassed Waterway	412	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices
Hedgerow Planting	422	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Pipeline	430	Conservation Practices
Irrigation System, Microirrigation	441	Conservation Practices
Sprinkler System	442	Conservation Practices
Mulching	484	Conservation Practices
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	Conservation Practices
Feed Management	592	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Wind Barriers	603	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices
Waste Separation Facility	632	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices

01/17/2024 Page 4 of 6

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Wetland Restoration	657	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	Conservation Practices
Energy Efficient Lighting System	670	Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: NM FY24 Team 6 IRA Act Now Roy and Clayton Range (Active)

in An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Is the majority of the project 75% located within the identified Roy and Clayton IRA Range Watershed, with the main land use as rangeland?	YES		
	NO		

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will this application address at least one CSAF Practice included	YES	
within this ranking pool?	NO	

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

01/17/2024 Page 5 of 6

Section: Program Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
How many CSAF practices will be planned in this application?	The application contains three or more core practices.	30
	The application contains two core practices.	20
	The application contains one core practice.	10
Did the applicant self-certify as a beginning farmer or rancher on the NRCS-CPA-1200?	YES	85
	NO	0
Did the applicant self-certify as a socially disadvantaged farmer or rancher on the NRCS-CPA-1200?	YES	85
	NO	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the core practice (314) Brush Management be planned in the application?	YES	100
	NO	0
Will the core practice (315) Herbaceous Weed Control be planned in the application?	YES	70
	NO	0
Will the core practice (528) Prescribed Grazing be planned in the application?	YES	30
	NO	0

01/17/2024 Page 6 of 6